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Date of FMP:          Original FMP: August 1981
Amendments:          Plan Revision: September 1992
                     Amendment 1: July 2001
                     Amendment 2: December 2012
Management Unit:      Maine through Florida
States With Declared Interest: Maine – Florida, excluding Pennsylvania
Additional Jurisdictions: Potomac River Fisheries Commission, National Marine Fisheries Service, United States Fish and Wildlife Service
Stock Status:         Overfished status is unknown, but overfishing is occurring (revised assessment; ASMFC 2011)

I. Status of the Fishery Management Plan

Amendment 1 to the Interstate Fisheries Management Plan (FMP) for Atlantic Menhaden was approved at the 2001 Spring Meeting of the Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission (Commission). Management authority is vested in the states because the vast majority of landings come from state waters. All Atlantic coast states and jurisdictions except Pennsylvania and the District of Columbia have declared an interest in the Atlantic menhaden management program. The goal of Amendment 1 is “to manage the Atlantic menhaden fishery in a manner that is biologically, economically, socially and ecologically sound while protecting the resource and those who benefit from it.”

Amendment 1, developed during 1999-2000, established new overfishing/overfished definitions based on fishing mortality and Spawning Stock Biomass (SSB). Addendum I to Amendment 1, approved in August 2004, revised the biological reference points, changed the frequency of stock assessments, and updated the habitat section. The biomass target and threshold are based on fecundity instead of SSB. A new fishing mortality target and threshold were also adopted. Stock assessments take place every third year, however the Technical Committee is required to meet annually to review the previous year’s landings and indices.
Addendum II, approved in October 2005, initiated a research program to examine the possibility of localized depletion of menhaden in Chesapeake Bay. Read more about the research in Section V of this report. Addendum III, approved in Fall 2006, established a harvest cap for the reduction fishery in the Chesapeake Bay. The annual total allowable harvest from the Chesapeake Bay by the reduction fishery is set at 109,020 metric tons. If harvest is greater than the cap in a given year, the cap will be reduced by the overage amount for the following year. Similarly, if harvest is less than the cap, the cap can be increased to a maximum of 122,740 metric tons for the following year. The cap established by Addendum III remains in effect through the 2010 fishing season. Addendum IV, approved in November 2009, extends the provisions of Addendum III and the Chesapeake bay reduction fishery harvest cap through 2013.

Addendum V, approved in November 2011 established a new F threshold and target rate based on maximum spawning potential (MSP) with the goal of increasing abundance, spawning stock biomass, and Atlantic menhaden availability as a forage species.

Amendment 2, approved in December 2012, establishes a 170,800 metric ton total allowable catch (TAC) for the commercial fishery beginning in 2013 and continuing until completion of, and Board action on, the next benchmark stock assessment, scheduled for 2014. The TAC is allocated by state based on landings history of each state’s fishery from 2009-2011; allocation will be revisited three years after implementation. States are accountable for their respective quotas and must pay back any overages the following year. The amendment includes provisions to allow for the transfer of quota between states and a bycatch allowance of 6,000 pounds for non-directed fisheries that are operating after a state’s quota has been landed. Further, it reduces the Chesapeake Bay reduction fishery harvest cap by 20% (this is an adjustment of the original cap which was in place since 2006); and establishes requirements for timely reporting and improved biological monitoring. Lastly, new SSB reference points were implemented that match the MSP based fishing mortality reference points approved through Addendum V.

Technical Addendum I to Amendment 2, approved in May 2013, establishes a pilot program for New England states to use the episodic events set aside if such an event occurs.

II. Status of the Stock

Threshold reference points are the basis for determining stock status (i.e., whether overfishing is occurring or a stock is overfished). When the fishing mortality rate (F) exceeds the F-threshold, then overfishing is occurring. When the reproductive output (measured as spawning stock biomass or population fecundity) falls below the spawning stock biomass-threshold, then the stock is overfished, meaning there is insufficient mature female biomass (SSB) or egg production (population fecundity) to replenish the stock.

Based on the 2012 stock assessment update, overfishing is occurring because Full F/F_{15\%} for the terminal year was greater than 1, meaning the terminal year estimate of F(2011) is greater than the F threshold. Additionally, the sensitivity runs conducted in the 2012 update, excluding the retrospective analysis, all suggest overfishing is occurring in the terminal year (2011), and all of the bootstrap runs completed for the uncertainty analysis result in an overfishing stock status.
Thus, the stock status in regards to overfishing seems stable for the model changes explored and the uncertainty specified during the update assessment.

The new biomass reference points, based on the 2012 stock assessment update, are $SSB_{\text{target}} = SSB_{30\%} = 61,100$ and $SSB_{\text{threshold}} = SSB_{15\%} = 30,551$ (units are billions of ova). The terminal year estimate of $SSB$ from the 2012 stock assessment is approximately 44% of the $SSB$ threshold. In addition, four sensitivity runs estimated terminal year $SSB$ as 41 to 48% of the threshold value. However, one sensitivity run indicated that terminal year $SSB$ was 120% of the threshold value. In other words, the base run and four sensitivity runs indicate the stock is overfished, while one sensitivity run indicates that the stock is not overfished. The Technical Committee concluded that there was not sufficient evidence to determine overfished status. There was discussion that five of six runs indicated the stock was overfished, which might provide support for this status determination. However, these five runs all employed a flat-top fishery selectivity curve, while the final run employed dome-shaped selectivity. There has been a great deal of attention surrounding the appropriate selectivity pattern recently, and without conclusive evidence regarding the shape of selectivity, the Technical Committee reached consensus that the number of runs in favor of a given status was not an indicator of its validity. The Technical Committee will investigate the effects of the selectivity curve shape during the 2014 benchmark stock assessment, but until then, the overfished status of the Atlantic menhaden stock remains uncertain. The Technical Committee previously determined that overfishing is occurring relative to the MSP-based fishing mortality reference points.

The next stock assessment is a benchmark planned for late 2014.

III. Status of Assessment Advice

The peer review panel drafted a report including its conclusions of the assessment and recommendations for moving forward. Below is a summary of their applicable findings.

- The Panel was also concerned about the use of $F_{\text{med}}$ and the fecundity associated with it as reference points. The concern is that there is no information on the relationship of the target and threshold fecundity in relation to virgin fecundity levels. Projections were run to examine this, and the estimated annual fecundity since 1998 was only 5 to 10% of the virgin fecundity.
  - Through Addendum V and Amendment 2, the Board implemented new fishing mortality and SSB reference points based on maximum spawning potential (MSP) in 2011 and 2012, respectively.
- The Panel recommends that a model specification similar to the Panel’s reference run be considered for future assessments. This includes capped effective sample size at 200, allow the gaps in the pound net index and bait fishery age composition where data are not available, modification of the reduction and bait fleets to northern and southern fleets, and time-varying domed selectivity for the southern region.

This model specification combines information of the bait and reduction fisheries occurring together regionally because they are essentially using the same gear but fishing
on different age components of the stock in the two areas. Removing the estimated age composition and indices for years where it is absent is desirable because the data from years where it is available is providing the correct amount of information, from a statistical perspective, to the assessment model. Allowing domed selectivity of the fisheries in the southern region allows for the lack of availability of older fish in that region when the fishery is occurring. The reduction of effective sample sizes is intended to better reflect the actual information content of the age composition data (the residuals in the base model were inconsistent with the large assumed effective sample sizes). Also, the time-varying selectivity in the southern region had the best AIC of comparable runs and reduced the undesirable pattern of residuals in the southern fishery.

IV. Status of the Fishery

The 2012 coastwide harvest of Atlantic menhaden (reduction and bait [preliminary]) was 224,200 metric tons; this is a 2% decrease from the 228,800 metric tons landed in 2011.

Reduction Fishery
The 2012 harvest for reduction purposes only was 160,627 metric tons. This represents an 8% decrease from the 2011 landings of 174,021 metric tons, and a 2% decrease from the previous 5-year (2007-2011) average of 163,289 metric tons (Figure 1). Omega Protein’s plant at Reedville, Virginia, is the only active Atlantic menhaden reduction factory on the Atlantic coast. During 2012, thirteen purse seine vessels (eight regular steamers and five snapper boats) unloaded Atlantic menhaden for reduction at Reedville, Virginia.

Bait Fishery
The preliminary estimate of the coastwide bait harvest for 2012 is 63,566 metric tons; this is an increase of 17% from the 2011 bait harvest of 52,720 metric tons, and an increase of 30% from the average harvest of the previous five years (2007-2011) of 44,802 metric tons. Moreover, bait landings in 2012 were the highest in time series that began in 1985 (Figure 1).

The Mid-Atlantic and Chesapeake Bay regions harvested 98% of total bait landings coast wide in 2012. New Jersey (61%), Virginia (23%), Maryland (10%), Potomac River Fisheries Commission (4%), and Massachusetts (1%) landed the five largest shares while all other states landed less than 1% of the 2012 commercial bait landings.

V. Status of Research and Monitoring

Commercial fisheries monitoring

Reduction fishery - The NMFS Southeast Fisheries Science Center Beaufort Laboratory in Beaufort, North Carolina, continues to monitor and process landings and biosamples data collected on the Atlantic menhaden purse-seine reduction fishery. The Beaufort Laboratory processes and ages all reduction samples collected on the East Coast. In addition, the purse-seine reduction fishery continues to provide Captains Daily Fishing Reports (CDFRs) to the Beaufort Laboratory where NMFS personnel enter data into a database for storage and analysis.
**Bait fishery** - The SAFIS daily electronic dealer reporting system allows near real time data acquisition for federally permitted bait dealers in the Mid-Atlantic and Northeast. However through 2012, landings by Virginia’s purse-seine for-bait vessels (snapper rigs) in Chesapeake Bay have been tabulated (at season’s end) using CDFRs maintained on each vessel during the fishing season. A bait-fishery sampling program for size and age composition (of mostly the purse-seine catch) has been conducted since 1994. In New Jersey and New England, state fisheries personnel collect and process the bait samples and forward the data to the NMFS Beaufort Laboratory. Maryland has been collecting age and length samples since 2005. In 2010, the Potomac River Fisheries Commission began collecting samples for size and age composition from their pound net fishery; Beaufort Laboratory personnel process the fish. The Beaufort Laboratory ages all bait samples collected.

**Atlantic menhaden research**
The following research projects relevant to menhaden assessment and management have been recently completed:

  - A simulation study was performed to estimate the monthly and annual water quality impacts caused by the reduction fishery harvesting its current total allowable catch in Chesapeake Bay of Atlantic menhaden, a filter-feeding fish that consume phytoplankton. The study concluded that average feeding rates are relatively low and that the probable impact of the fishery on water quality is negligible.

  - A larval ingress study was conducted at the Chesapeake Bay mouth during 2005-2008. Two peaks in larval menhaden spawning activity were identified – one in November/December and a second in January/February – with stronger recruitment resulting from the later pulse. Environmental variables were not correlated consistently with temporal and spatial variability in abundance of larvae at ingress. Larval abundance was not correlated with juvenile survey abundance in the three study years.

- **Report (Not peer-reviewed, funded by Omega Protein):** Sulikowski, J., Morgan, A., Carlson, A., and Butterworth, D. 2012. *Inferences from aerial surveys on the abundance of Atlantic menhaden from outside the normal fishery range: implications for improved management of this resource*.
  - A pilot study was initiated to test the feasibility of an aerial survey for menhaden in New England to estimate the abundance of ages 3+ that may reside outside the area fished. The ratio of estimated biomass for the northern vs. southern region was estimated through the use of commercial spotter plane data from the fishery. Results suggest that biomass estimates of menhaden in absolute terms for the New England survey was negatively biased, possibly due to deep-swimming schools.
not observed. The relative biomass ratio suggested that New England biomass may be more than twice that of southern region biomass.

The following research projects relevant to menhaden assessment and management are ongoing:

- Dr. Robert Latour of the Virginia Institute of Marine Science is developing a statistical design for an aerial survey of adult Atlantic menhaden along the Eastern Seaboard of the United States. An aerial survey could be used to develop a coastwide adult index of abundance which is currently lacking in the stock assessment. Funding for implementation of the aerial survey has not been identified.
- Dr. Cynthia Jones and Mr. Jason Schaffler of Old Dominion University are using stable isotope and trace element analyses to assess Atlantic menhaden population structure and connectivity, and to identify essential areas. Signatures of juvenile menhaden from Massachusetts to Florida are being determined and adults collected from the fishery are being assigned back to region of origin. To date, age-1 trace element analysis is complete, and juvenile signature analysis from 2009-2011 is nearly complete.
- Drs. Edward Houde and David Secor at the University of Maryland Center for Environmental Science Chesapeake Biological Laboratory are comparing the precision of relative abundance estimates of YOY menhaden sampled by seining and mid-water trawling gears in principal sub-estuaries of the Chesapeake Bay. Hydrographic and environmental correlates associated with YOY menhaden catches will be investigated. Size, age, and spatial variability of YOY caught will be compared with Maryland DNR juvenile index surveys. The first field season was completed in 2012; however, funding for future research is uncertain.

VI. Status of Management Measures and Issues

Amendment 2 was adopted in December of 2012, and was implemented on July 1, 2013 (see Section I for FMP details).

The Board placed a high priority on continuing work on developing ecosystem reference points using a multispecies modeling approach (MSVPA). Ecosystem reference points would explicitly address the forage needs of menhaden’s predator species such as striped bass, weakfish, and bluefish. This work is anticipated to take some time because of its complexity.

VII. Implementation of FMP Compliance Requirements for 2012

All states are required to submit annual compliance reports by April 1.

Amendment 1 to the Interstate FMP for Atlantic Menhaden requires all states to implement the reporting requirement contained in Section 4.2.5.1. All menhaden purse seine and bait seine vessels (or snapper rigs) are required to submit the Captain’s Daily Fishing Reports (CDFRs). Existing reporting requirements may serve as an alternative to implementing this measure. Table 1 shows state compliance with this requirement and current regulations and reporting.
The cap for reduction landings from the Chesapeake Bay was set at 122,740 metric tons for 2012. Reported reduction landings from Chesapeake Bay for 2012 were approximately 85,000 metric tons.

Amendment 2, implemented a change to the Chesapeake Bay Cap by the reduction fishery, starting in 2013 and continuing indefinitely. More specifically, the new cap is 87,216 metric tons (a 20% reduction from 109,020 which was the average landings from 2001-2005). Harvest for reduction purposes shall be prohibited within the Chesapeake Bay when 100% of the 87,216 cap is harvested from the Chesapeake Bay.

The maximum rollover of unlanded fish is 10,976 metric tons (a 20% reduction from the prior maximum rollover amount of 13,720 metric tons). The rollover applies to the following year only, and will not be carried for multiple years.

VIII. Research Needs/ PRT Recommendations

Compliance Recommendation
New Hampshire, South Carolina, Georgia, and Florida requested *de minimis* status for the 2013 fishing season through their Amendment 2 implementation plans. To be eligible for *de minimis* status, a state’s bait landings must be less than 1% of the total coastwide bait landings for the most recent two years. State(s) with a reduction fishery are not eligible for *de minimis* consideration. Based on 2011-2012 bait landings data, NH, SC, GA, and FL are eligible for *de minimis* status in 2013.

If granted *de minimis* status by the Board, states are exempt from implementation of biological sampling and pound net catch and effort data reporting, but must still submit a plan to implement all other compliance criteria as detailed in Amendment 2. The Board also approved a *de minimis* exemption for NH, SC and GA from implementation of timely reporting.

Annual compliance reports are required from all states, including those granted *de minimis* status. The PRT recommends that New Hampshire, South Carolina, Georgia, and Florida be granted *de minimis* status.

The Board unanimously approved *de minimis* status for New Hampshire, South Carolina, Georgia, and Florida on May 22, 2013 during review of state’s implementation plans for Amendment 2.

Reporting Recommendations
The PRT requests that:
- all menhaden bait landings are updated every year over the entire time series to ensure preliminary landings are final.
- New York investigates whether the state gill net landings are included in the NMFS Commercial Database or ACCSP Data Warehouse figures.
• New York includes in its annual compliance reports a summary table of menhaden landings by major gear type for each year. Landings by minor gear types can be grouped into one column.

IX. Literature Cited

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Met Reporting Requirement of Amendment 1</th>
<th>Summary of Regulations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ME</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Commercial license and endorsement if gillnetting. Unlawful to fish more than 2000 feet of bait gillnet in territorial waters. Bait gillnet shall have less than 3.5 inches diamond or square stretch mesh throughout the entire net. Area pilot program with daily catch limits and vessel restrictions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>State law prohibits the use of mobile gear in state waters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No specific menhaden regulations. Purse seining prohibited in some areas (mostly nearshore), and no purse seines larger than 100 fathoms may be used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RI</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Menhaden harvest by purse seine for reduction (fish meal) purposes is outlawed. No purse seines larger than 100 fathoms in length or 15 fathoms in depth may be used. Commercial gear and vessels need to be inspected and may not have a useable fish storage capacity greater than that that can hold 120,000 pounds of menhaden. Daily catch limit of 120,000 pounds per vessel when standing stock estimate reaches 3,000,000 pounds. When 50% of estimated weekly standing stock is harvested, or estimated weekly standing stock drops below a 1,500,000 pound threshold, the fishery closes until further notice. Permanent closures in specific areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Purse seines prohibited in state waters. Menhaden can be caught by other gear and sold as bait. Personal gillnet restricted to mesh greater than 3 inches and net shall not exceed 60 feet in length.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Purse seines limited to certain times/areas. Purse seine season commences on the Monday following the fourth day of July and ending on the third Friday in October.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NJ</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Prohibited purse seining for reduction purposes in state waters. Mandatory reporting for purse seine (bait) fishery. Bait fishery subject to gear restrictions and closed seasons. In 2011, implemented a limited entry program for purse seine fishery. To purchase a license applicant must have purchased a license at least one year during 2002-2009 and a license in 2010. Length of vessel under permit is allowed to increase by 10% (not to exceed 90 feet) and up to 20% greater horsepower.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Purse-seine fishing prohibited; menhaden harvested by pound net primarily.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRFC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>All trawling and purse nets are prohibited. In 2011, Pound net fishery which is limited entry must use at least six PRFC approved fish cull panels properly installed in each pound net to help release undersized fish.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>Harvest Cap Details</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA</td>
<td>The annual menhaden harvest cap for the purse seine fishery for Atlantic menhaden shall be no more than 109,020 metric tons, subject to annual adjustment for underages or overages, and shall not exceed 122,740 metric tons in any one year. It is unlawful for any person to take or catch with a purse net in the waters of the Commonwealth menhaden between the Saturday following the third Friday in November and the Sunday proceeding the first Monday in May. In waters east of the Chesapeake Bay Bridge Tunnel within the three-mile limit such prohibition shall be between the Friday before Christmas and the Sunday preceding the first Monday in May. It is also unlawful for any person to use any purse net or other net having a stretched mesh of less than 1 ¾ inches. Any purse seine vessel or bait seine vessel (snapper rig) licensed to take menhaden by purse net is required to submit the Captain’s Daily Fishing Reports to the National Marine Fisheries Service, in accordance with the provision of Amendment 1, effective July 1, 2001.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC</td>
<td>Combination of gear restrictions and seasonal and area closures (e.g., no purse seine fishing within 3 miles of coast of Brunswick Co. from May – October).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>Purse seines prohibited in state waters</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA</td>
<td>State waters closed to purse seine fishing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL</td>
<td>Purse seines prohibited in state waters; primarily a cast net fishery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Menhaden Bait Landings by Region (2008 – 2012) [in 1,000s of metric tons]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>New England (ME - CT)</th>
<th>Mid-Atlantic (NY - MD Coast)</th>
<th>Chesapeake Bay (MD Bay, VA, PRFC)</th>
<th>South Atlantic (NC - FL)</th>
<th>Total (ME-FL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>8.53</td>
<td>17.54</td>
<td>21.16</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>47.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>15.29</td>
<td>19.70</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>39.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>23.09</td>
<td>16.59</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>42.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>33.86</td>
<td>17.07</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>52.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>38.92</td>
<td>23.53</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>63.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Landings from the reduction purse seine fishery (1940–2012) and bait fishery (1985–2012) for Atlantic menhaden.
Figure 2. Annual commercial landings by region from the Atlantic menhaden bait fishery, 2008–2012.