

Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission

1050 N. Highland Street • Suite 200A-N • Arlington, VA 22201 703.842.0740 • 703.842.0741 (fax) • www.asmfc.org

Douglas E. Grout (NH), Chair James J. Gilmore, Jr., (NY), Vice-Chair Robert E. Beal, Executive Director

Vision: Sustainably Managing Atlantic Coastal Fisheries

Atlantic Herring Technical Committee Meeting Summary Conference Call February 18, 2016

Technical Committee Members: Renee Zobel (Chair), Matt Cieri (ME)

Public: Aaron Kornbluth (Pew)

ASMFC Staff: Ashton Harp

The TC reviewed the options selected by the Atlantic Herring Section at the February 2016 meeting (Appendix 1). Each state is reviewing the changes to management and will begin writing new regulations in March. States will work together as much as possible when drafting the spawning area closure regulations to ensure consistency.

In preparation for implementation of the new spawning closure monitoring system the TC will develop an agreed upon protocol. Specific topics to address in the protocol and at the next meeting include:

- Designated person to run the model weekly; options include:
 - One person running the model for all three spawning areas
 - Maine running the model for EM and WM; Massachusetts running the model for MA/NH
- Central, secure location to upload and store (dependent and independently collected) sampling data so that it is accessible to state agencies and staff
 - PRIORITY ACTION: Staff to identify an appropriate data warehouse, will reach out to ACCSP
- Identify when sampling will begin for each spawning area, most likely it will be:
 - EM and WM: at least by August 1
 - MA/NH: at least by September 1
- Identify the state agency person to contact during spawning timeframe, and designee if out of town
- Identify chain of command when initiating a spawning area closure

At the February 2016 Section meeting there was a request for a list of criteria to evaluate the 'effectiveness' of the new spawning area closure monitoring system that utilizes samples to forecast the onset of spawning. The TC discussed this topic, but noted that clear guidance was not provided by the Section. An objective analysis may prove difficult, this topic will be discussed again at the next TC meeting. It was noted that finalized sampling and landings data from the 2016 fishing season will not be available until spring 2017. A timeline will be developed to illustrate the when analysis from sampling and landing data can take place and when it can be shared.

Appendix 1: Atlantic Herring Amendment 3 to the FMP TC Briefing Document February 2016

The Board approved Amendment 3 to the Atlantic Herring FMP, Board select options below. The planned implementation is June 1, 2016.

Spawning Area Closure Monitoring System		
Selected Option	The Board adopted <i>Option C. GSI30-based forecast system</i> for the Spawning Area Closure Monitoring System. This system would be implemented for one year and will then be reviewed by the Technical Committee and Section for effectiveness. If the GSI-based system is effective it can be continued either indefinitely or for a time-certain by a majority vote of the Herring Section. If GSI-based system is not effective, then the spawning area closure monitoring system will automatically revert to Option B.	
Full text for the	GSI ₃₀ -Based Forecast System	
chosen management option, as written in A3	The closure date for a spawning area will be projected based on a minimum of three (3) fishery dependent or independent samples, each containing at least 25 female herring in ICNAF gonadal stages III-V. Because larger herring spawn first, female GSI values will be standardized to that of a 30 cm fish, (95th percentile of observed female herring lengths) using the following formula:	
	$(35)_{30} = (35)_{005} + 1.04$ (30 - 1 L _{cm})	
	When a significant positive relationship is detected between GSI ₃₀ and date, the slope of this line will be used to forecast a closure date. The forecasted closure date will be the day where GSI ₃₀ is projected to exceed the selected trigger value. As additional samples are collected, the forecast will be updated and fine-tuned. Once the forecasted date is within 5 days, the spawning closure will be announced. If no significant increase in GSI ₃₀ is detected prior to the default closure date, the default closure date would apply (see <i>Section 4.2.6.2</i> for default dates).	
	GSI ₃₀ Trigger Value : Spawning occurs at the completion of maturity stage V. Therefore, a point near the high end of observed GSI values for stage V fish should be used as the trigger. A higher value closes the fishery later and just prior to spawning, whereas a lower value provides additional protection for maturing fish. In other words, higher GSI values indicate increased maturation and spawning readiness.	

Default Closure Dates			
Selected Option	Option C: Default Dates Associa Option C2: 80 th Percentile (GSI ₃₀	ted with GSI ₃₀ Trigger Values; S Trigger = 25)	ub-
Full text for the chosen management option, as written in A3	Option C: Default Dates Associated with GSI ₃₀ Trigger Values If sufficient samples are not available, closures will begin on the following dates associated with the respective GSI ₃₀ trigger value. Sub-Option C2: 80 th Percentile (GSI ₃₀ Trigger = 25) Closes the fishery in the later stages of maturity, but before spawning.		
		Eastern Maine Spawning Area: Western Maine Spawning	August 28 October 4
		Area: Massachusetts/New Hampshire Spawning Area:	October 4

Spawning Area Boundaries	
Selected	Status quo, 3 spawning areas
Option	

Spawning Closure Period	
Selected	Status quo, 4 weeks
Option	

Spawning Re-Closure Protocol	
Selected Option	Option B: Defined Protocol
Full text for the chosen management option,	Option B: Defined Protocol
as written in A3	Sampling will resume in the final week of the initial closure period or at the end of the initial closure period. If one (1) sample taken from within a spawning closure area, by Maine, New
	Hampshire or Massachusetts, indicates significant numbers of spawn herring then closures will resume for an additional two (2) weeks. Significant numbers of snawn herring is defined
	as 25% or more mature herring, by number in a

sample, have yet to spawn. Mature or "spawn" herring are defined as Atlantic herring in ICNAF gonadal stages V and VI. Sample is defined as a
minimum of 100 randomly selected adult sized fish from a fishery dependent or independent
source.

Fixed Gear Set-Aside	
Selected Option	Option B: Remove the rollover provision
Full text for the chosen management option,	Option B: Remove the rollover provision
as written in A3	The fixed gear set-aside will be available to
	fixed gear fishermen west of Cutler through
	December 31. When 92% of the Area 1A TAC
	has been reached, all directed Atlantic
	herring fisheries in Area 1A will closed.
	Unused portions of the fixed gear set-aside
	will not be rolled from one year to the next.

Empty Fish Hold Provision	
Selected Option	Option C1: Federal/State Empty Fish Hold
•	Provision for Select Vessels
	This option is similar to Option B1, with the
	additional underlined text, and is contingent
	on federal adoption. Meaning if NMFS adopts
	Framework Adjustment 4 then the states will
	implement this option instead.
Full text for the chosen management option,	This option would require that fish holds on
as written in A3	Category A/B Atlantic herring vessels with
	ability to pump fish are empty of fish before
	leaving the dock on any trip when declared
	into the Atlantic herring fishery. A waiver
	may be issued for instances when there are <u>a</u>
	pumpable quantity of fish in the hold as
	determined by an appropriate law
	enforcement officer (the intent is for waivers
	to be issued for refrigeration failure and non-
	marketable fish that have been reported by
	the vessel). Only vessels departing on a
	fishing trip (i.e. declared into the fishery) are
	required to have holds empty of fish. As such,

	waivers would not be required for vessels transporting fish from dock to dock.