

2016 REVIEW OF THE
ATLANTIC STATES MARINE FISHERIES COMMISSION
FISHERY MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR

SPINY DOGFISH
(*Squalus acanthias*)

2015/2016 FISHING YEAR



Spiny Dogfish Plan Review Team

Max Appelman, Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission, Chair
Dr. Gregory Skomal, Massachusetts Department of Marine Fisheries
Tina Moore, North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality
William Whitmore, Greater Atlantic Regional Fisheries Office
Peter Burns, Greater Atlantic Regional Fisheries Office

Approved October 24, 2016

Executive Summary

The Mid-Atlantic (MAFMC) and New England Fishery Management Councils (NEFMC) have managed spiny dogfish within the U.S. EEZ since 1999. The Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission (ASMFC) implemented a complimentary Fishery Management Plan for state waters in 2002.

Spiny dogfish was declared rebuilt in 2008 when female SSB exceeded the target level for the first time since implementation of the Interstate FMP. Spiny dogfish are not overfished and overfishing is not occurring (NEFSC 2015a and 2015b). Female SSB was estimated to be 168,207 metric tons (370.8 million pounds) in 2015. In 2015, F on exploitable females was estimated to be 0.210 and has remained below the target level since 2005.

In 2015, total landings along the Atlantic coast were estimated at 8,726 metric tons (19.2 million pounds). U.S. commercial landings were estimated at 8,663 metric tons (19.1 million pounds). Atlantic coast landings from Canada were estimated at 1 metric ton (2,205 pounds). Landings from distant water fleets were estimated at 23 metric tons (50,706 pounds). U.S. recreational harvest was estimated at 39 metric tons (86,832 pounds).

The commercial quota for the 2015/2016 season was 50,610,988 pounds, and commercial landings for the 2015/2016 season were estimated at 22.0 million pounds. No regions or states exceeded their quota during the 2015/2016 season.

In 2015, all states have implemented management programs consistent with the Interstate FMP and Addendum I-V for Spiny Dogfish (with the exception of Connecticut; the state just recently came into compliance with Addendum V). Delaware requested *de minimis* status for the 2016/2017 fishing season.

Table of Contents

Executive Summary.....ii

Table of Contents.....iii

I. Status of the Fishery Management Plan..... 1

II. Status of the Stocks..... 3

III. Status of the Fishery 4

IV. Status of Management Measures..... 5

V. Status of Research and Monitoring 5

VI. Annual State Compliance..... 6

VII. Plan Review Team Recommendations..... 7

VIII. Research Recommendations 7

IX. References 7

X. Tables 9

XI. Figures..... 14

I. Status of the Fishery Management Plan

<u>Date of FMP Approval:</u>	November 2002
<u>Amendments</u>	None
<u>Addenda</u>	Addendum I (November 2005) Addendum II (October 2008) Addendum III (April 2011) Addendum IV (August 2012) Addendum V (October 2014)
<u>Management Unit:</u>	Entire coastwide distribution of the resource from the estuaries eastward to the inshore boundary of the EEZ
<u>States with Declared Interest:</u>	Maine – North Carolina (South Carolina, Georgia and Florida declared “no interest” in the FMP in 2014)
<u>Active Boards/Committees:</u>	Spiny Dogfish Management Board, Advisory Panel, Technical Committee, and Plan Review Team

In 1998, NMFS declared spiny dogfish overfished and initiated the development of a joint fishery management plan (FMP) between the Mid-Atlantic (MAFMC) and New England Fishery Management Councils (NEFMC) in 1999. NMFS approved the Federal Fishery Management Plan (FMP) in September 1999, but implementation did not begin until May 2000 at the start of the 2000/2001 fishing year.

In August 2000, the Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission (ASMFC) took emergency action to close state waters to the commercial harvest, landing, and possession of spiny dogfish when the Federal waters closed in response to the quota being fully harvested. With the emergency action in place, the Commission had time to develop an interstate FMP, which prevented the undermining of the Federal FMP and prevented further overharvest of the coastwide spiny dogfish population. Needing additional time to complete the interstate FMP, the ASMFC extended the emergency action twice through January 2003. During that time, the majority of spiny dogfish landings were from state waters because states had either no possession limits or less conservative possession limits than those of the Federal FMP.

The ASMFC approved the Interstate FMP for Spiny Dogfish in November 2002 (first implemented for the 2003-2004 fishing year). In general, the Interstate FMP (“FMP”) for spiny dogfish compliments the Federal FMP. The goal of the FMP is “to promote stock rebuilding and management of the spiny dogfish fishery in a manner that is biologically, economically, socially, and ecologically sound.” In support of this goal, the FMP established the following objectives:

2016 REVIEW OF THE SPINY DOGFISH INTERSTATE FISHERY MANAGEMENT PLAN

1. Reduce fishing mortality and rebuild the spawning stock biomass to prevent recruitment failure and support a more sustainable fishery.
2. Coordinate management activities between state, Federal and Canadian waters to ensure complementary regulations throughout the species range.
3. Minimize the regulatory discards and bycatch of spiny dogfish within state waters.
4. Allocate the available resource in [a] biologically sustainable manner that is equitable to all the fishers.
5. Obtain biological and fishery related data from state waters to improve the spiny dogfish stock assessment that currently depends upon data from the Federal bottom trawl survey.

The original Interstate and Federal FMPs established an annual quota that was allocated via fixed percentages between two seasonal periods; 57.9% to Period I (May 1st to October 31st) and 42.1% to Period II (November 1st to April 30th). When the quota allocated to a period is exceeded, the amount over the allocation is deducted from the same period in the subsequent fishing year. The periods could have separate possession limits that are specified on an annual basis. The FMPs also allowed for a five percent rollover of the annual coastwide quota once the stock is rebuilt, and allows each state to harvest up to 1,000 spiny dogfish for biomedical supply or scientific research.

In November 2005, the Spiny Dogfish and Coastal Sharks Management Board (Board¹) approved Addendum I to the Interstate FMP for Spiny Dogfish. Addendum I provides the Board with the flexibility to establish spiny dogfish specifications (quota and possession limits) for up to five years. The MAFMC and the NEFMC took similar action under Framework 1 (providing flexibility to adopt specifications for up to five years without the requirement of annual review and approval by NOAA Fisheries), which became effective February 2006.

In October 2008, the Board approved Addendum II which established regional quotas in place of the FMPs semi-annual period allocation. Under the addendum, the annual quota is allocated regionally with 58% to the states of Maine to Connecticut (Northern region), 26% allocated to the states of New York to Virginia (Southern region), and the remaining 16% allocated to North Carolina. The Board allocated a specific percentage to North Carolina because spiny dogfish are not available to their fishermen until late into the fishing season when most of the quota has already been harvested. Also included in the addendum to maintain the conservation goal of the plan is a quota payback provision whereby any overage of a regional or state quota would be deducted from the corresponding region/state in the subsequent fishing year. The seasonal allocation scheme as described in the 2002 FMP are still applied to federal waters.

In March 2011, the Board approved Addendum III (the addendum was implemented prior to the 2011/2012 fishing year). The addendum divided the combined Southern region and the North

¹ In May 2014, the Spiny Dogfish and Coastal Shark Management Board became two independent management boards

Carolina quotas from Addendum II (i.e., 42% of the annual coastwide quota) into state-specific shares (Table 2) for those states of New York – North Carolina. Also, the addendum permits those states to implement possession limits that best suits their needs, and allows for quota transfer (states in the Northern region continue to share 58% of the coastwide quota and thus do not have individual quotas necessary for transfers). Lastly, the addendum allows for rollovers of up to five percent of that state or regions final allocation. The Board has continued to implement the allocation percentages described in Addendum III, and may revisit those allocations at any time through the adaptive management process (e.g., an addendum). The seasonal allocation scheme as described in the 2002 FMP are still applied to federal waters.

In August 2012, the Board approved Addendum IV. This Addendum addressed the differences in the definitions of overfishing between the NEFMC, MAFMC and the ASMFC. The Board adopted the fishing mortality (F) threshold to be consistent with the Federal plan. Overfishing is defined as an F rate that exceeds the $F_{threshold}$. The $F_{threshold}$ is defined as F_{MSY} (or a reasonable proxy thereof) and based upon the best available science. The maximum fishing mortality threshold (F_{MSY}) or a reasonable proxy may be defined as a function of (but not limited to): total stock biomass, spawning stock biomass (SSB), total pup production, and may include males, females, both, or combinations and ratios thereof which provide the best measure of productive capacity for spiny dogfish. Currently $F_{MSY} = 0.2439$ which is that level of F that allows for the production of 1.5 female pups per female that will recruit to the spawning stock biomass.

In October 2014, the Board approved Addendum V. The addendum mandates that all spiny dogfish must be landed with fins-naturally-attached to the corresponding carcass (i.e., the removal of any fin of spiny dogfish at-sea in state waters is prohibited). The addendum modified the FMP to maintain consistency with the Shark Conservation Act of 2010, which prohibits the removal of all shark fins (except smooth dogfish) at-sea.

II. Status of the Stocks

Stock size estimates (e.g., female SSB) for spiny dogfish rely heavily on fishery-independent data collected during the NEFSC spring bottom trawl survey. Due to mechanical problems, the 2014 survey was unable to sample strata in the mid-Atlantic region. As a result, the 2015 assessment update for spiny dogfish was unable to produce reliable estimates of stock size for 2014, as well as stock size projections utilized for annual specifications. Accordingly, at the direction of the MAFMC and the Science and Statistical Committee, the NEFSC examined alternative methods to smooth out the effects of the missing 2014 survey data on projected estimates of SSB, F, and other stock status indicators (NEFSC 2015b). A Kalman filter approach was ultimately chosen as the best method to smooth out the effects of the missing data, and to project SSB forward.

Based on results of the most recent assessment, and in comparison to the biological reference points below, spiny dogfish are not overfished and overfishing is not occurring (NEFSC 2015a and 2015b). Spiny dogfish was declared rebuilt in 2008 when female SSB exceeded the target level for the first time since implementation of the Interstate FMP. Female SSB has remained above

the target level and was estimated to be 168,207² metric tons (370.8 million pounds) in 2015 (Table 1 and Figure 1). In 2015, F on exploitable females was estimated to be 0.210 and has remained below the target level since 2005 (Table 1 and Figure 2).

	Female Spawning Stock Biomass (SSB)	Fishing Mortality (F)
Target	B_{msy} Proxy = SSB_{max} (the biomass that results in the maximum projected recruitment) = 159,288 metric tons	There is no F target defined for management use at this time
Threshold	$\frac{1}{2}$ of SSB_{max} = 79,644 metric tons	F_{msy} Proxy = 0.244

The next stock assessment for spiny dogfish is tentatively scheduled for 2018. In the interim, in order to inform fishery specifications, the NEFSC will conduct annual data updates to summarize the most recent information on the status of spiny dogfish.

III. Status of the Fishery

In the U.S., majority of spiny dogfish commercial fisheries operate in state waters targeting aggregations of large females. As a result, an estimated 94% of the commercial landings (2014) are comprised of females which is consistent with the longterm pattern (NEFSC 2015a).

In 2015, total landings along the Atlantic coast were estimated at 8,726 metric tons (19.2 million pounds) which is slightly below average since 1981 (10,332 metric tons or 22.8 million pounds). In 2015, U.S. commercial landings were estimated at 8,663 metric tons (19.1 million pounds). Atlantic coast landings from Canada were significant from the early 1990s to the mid-late 2000s (hovering around 2,000 metric tons or 4.5 million pounds). In 2015, Canadian landings were estimated at 1 metric ton (2,205 pounds) which is the lowest reported value since 1988, but is more in line with the short term trend. In 2015, distant water fleets reported landings estimated at 23 metric tons (50,706 pounds). Recreational harvest is estimated via the Marine Recreational Information Program (MRIP). In 2015, recreational harvest (i.e., A + B1) of spiny dogfish on the Atlantic coast was estimated at 39 metric tons (86,832 pounds) which is a 26% increase compared to 2014. Landings estimates for the U.S. commercial and recreational sectors, Canada, and distant water fleets are detailed in Table 2.

In 2015, total dead discards from the U.S. commercial and recreational sectors were estimated at 3,322 metric tons (7.3 million pounds). Recreational releases (i.e., B2, fish caught by recreational anglers and released back to the water) are also estimated via the MRIP. In 2015, U.S. recreational releases of spiny dogfish were estimated at 1,224 metric tons (2.7 million pounds). Applying a 20% post-release mortality rate, 2015 recreational dead discards were estimated at 245 metric tons (539,757 pounds) marking a 74% decrease compared to 2014 (although more in line with the long term average). Commercial dead discards for U.S. landings

² 2015 female SSB estimated via a Kalman filter approach. Point estimates prior to 2015 using the Kalman filter were not available at the time of this report.

are estimated by multiplying total discards by the gear-specific mortality rates (NEFSC 2016). In 2015, U.S. commercial dead discards were estimated at 3,077 metric tons (6.8 million pounds). Dead discard estimates for the U.S. commercial and recreational sectors are detailed in Table 3.

IV. Status of Management Measures

Specifications

The spiny dogfish commercial fishery runs from May 1 – April 30. The coastwide quota for the 2015/2016 season was set at 50,610,988 million pounds with a maximum possession limit of 5,000 pounds for the northern region. Possession limits for states of New York – North Carolina vary by state and are detailed in Table 5.

Quotas

Per Addendum III, 58% of the annual quota is allocated to the northern region (states from Maine – Connecticut), and the remaining 42% is allocated to the states of New York – North Carolina via fixed percentages. Table 4 details 2015/2016 commercial quotas by region and state. Addendum III also specifies that when the quota allocated to a region or state is exceeded in a fishing season, the amount over the allocation will be deducted from the corresponding region or state in the subsequent fishing season. All regions and states harvested within their quota in 2014/2015 season, therefore no deductions were applied to 2015/2016 quotas. Five percent of the 2014/2015 final quota allocations rolled over for all regions and states for an adjusted 2015/2016 quota of 53,079,438 pounds (Table 4).

According to the Standard Atlantic Fishery Information System (SAFIS) and annual state compliance reports (see Section VI for more detail), commercial landings from the 2015/2016 fishing year were estimated at 9,990 metric tons (22.0 million pounds), which was less than half of the total available quota (Table 2). Massachusetts (36%), Virginia (19%), New Jersey (16%) and North Carolina (10%) accounted for the majority of commercial landings by weight (Table 4).

V. Status of Research and Monitoring

Under the Interstate FMP for Spiny Dogfish, the states are not required to conduct any fishery dependent or independent studies. The Interstate FMP requires an annual review of recruitment, spawning stock biomass, and fishing mortality which relies heavily on the NEFSC's spring trawl survey data. However, states are encouraged to submit any spiny dogfish information collected while surveying for other species. Table 5 details state implemented fishery-independent monitoring information relative to spiny dogfish compiled from annual state compliance reports. Please see individual reports for more information.

Exempted Fishing Permits (scientific/education permits)

States may issue exempted fishing permits for the purpose of biomedical supply, educational, or other scientific purposes. In 2015, North Carolina (55) and New Jersey (11) issued exempted fishing permits. Four reported catch of spiny dogfish totaling 125 fish (all but 11 were released alive).

VI. Annual State Compliance

The following lists the specific compliance criteria that a state or jurisdiction must implement in order to be in compliance with the Interstate FMP for Spiny Dogfish (*Section 5.1*):

1. States are required to close state waters to the commercial landing, harvest and possession of spiny dogfish for the duration of the seasonal period when the commercial quota is projected to be harvested in their state or region.
2. States are required to report landings weekly to NOAA Fisheries
3. Dealer permits issued pursuant to state regulations must submit weekly reports showing at least the quantity of spiny dogfish purchased (in pounds), the name, and permit number of the individuals from whom the spiny dogfish were purchased.
4. States in the northern region are required to implement possession limits as determined through the annual specification process.
5. States may issue exempted fishing permits for the purpose of biomedical supply not to exceed 1,000 spiny dogfish per year.
6. State regulations must prohibit “finning” as described in Addendum V.

Additionally, each state must submit a compliance report detailing its spiny dogfish fisheries and management program for the previous fishing year. Compliance reports are due annually on July 1st (Table 6) and must include at a minimum:

1. the previous fishing year’s fishery and management program including activity and results of monitoring, regulations that were in effect and harvest, including estimates of non-harvest losses;
2. the planned management program for the current fishing year summarizing regulations that will be in effect and monitoring programs that will be performed, highlighting any changes from the previous year; and
3. the number of spiny dogfish exempted fishing permits issued in the previous fishing year, the actual amount (in numbers of fish and pounds) collected under each exempted fishing permit, as well as any other pertinent information (i.e. sex, when and how the spiny dogfish were collected). The report should also indicate the number of exempted fishing permits issued for the current fishing year.

Under the Spiny Dogfish FMP, a state may request *de minimis* status if its commercial landings of spiny dogfish are less than 1% of the coastwide commercial total. If granted, the state is exempt from the monitoring requirements of the commercial spiny dogfish fishery for the following fishing year. However, all states, including those granted *de minimis* status, must continue to report any spiny dogfish commercial or recreational landings within their jurisdiction via annual state compliance reports³.

³ In 2014, Georgia, South Carolina and Florida declared “no interest” in the FMP and were removed from the Spiny Dogfish Management Board. These states are no longer required to submit annual compliance reports.

Maine, Connecticut, New York, and Delaware qualify for *de minimis* status, however only Delaware is requesting *de minimis* status for the 2016/2017 fishing season (Table 6).

VII. Plan Review Team Recommendations

Based on annual state compliance reports, all states have regulations in place that meet or exceed the requirements of the Interstate FMP for Spiny Dogfish and Addenda I-V. However, Connecticut did not meet the May 1, 2015, compliance schedule of Addendum V and only recently came into compliance with the addendum via an agreement with fishermen which states that if spiny dogfish are found to be landed with fins detached, then the fishery will be closed state-wide. Also, the PRT recommends granting Delaware with *de minimis* status for the 2016/2017 fishing year.

VIII. Research Recommendations

The following research priorities pertaining to spiny dogfish were identified in Special Report No. 89 (2013):

Fishery-Dependent Priorities

High

- Determine area, season, and gear specific discard mortality estimates coastwide in the recreational, commercial, and non-directed (bycatch) fisheries.
- Characterize and quantify bycatch of spiny dogfish in other fisheries.
- Increase the biological sampling of dogfish in the commercial fishery and on research trawl surveys.
- Further analyses of the commercial fishery is also warranted, especially with respect to the effects of gear types, mesh sizes, and market acceptability on the mean size of landed spiny dogfish.

Fishery-Independent Priorities

- Conduct experimental work on NEFSC trawl survey gear performance, with focus on video work to study the fish herding properties of the gear for species like dogfish and other demersal groundfish.
- Investigate the distribution of spiny dogfish beyond the depth range of current NEFSC trawl surveys, possibly using experimental research or supplemental surveys.
- Continue to analyze the effects of environmental conditions on survey catch rates.

Modeling / Quantitative Priorities

- Continue work on the change-in-ratio estimators for mortality rates and suggest several options for analyses.
- Examine observer data to calculate a weighted average discard mortality rate based on an assumption that the rate increased with catch size.

Life History, Biological, and Habitat Priorities

- Conduct a coastwide tagging study to explore stock structure, migration, and mixing rates.
- Standardize age determination along the entire East Coast. Conduct an ageing workshop for spiny dogfish, encouraging participation by NEFSC, NCDMF, Canada DFO, other interested agencies, academia, and other international investigators with an interest in dogfish ageing.
- Identify how spiny dogfish abundance and movement affect other organisms.

Management, Law Enforcement, and Socioeconomic Priorities

- Monitor the changes to the foreign export markets for spiny dogfish, and evaluate the potential to recover lost markets or expand existing ones.
- Update on a regular basis the characterization of fishing communities involved in the spiny dogfish fishery, including the processing and harvesting sectors, based upon Hall-Arber et al. (2001) and McCay and Cieri (2000).
- Characterize the value and demand for spiny dogfish in the biomedical industry on a state by state basis.
- Characterize the spiny dogfish processing sector

IX. References

Northeast Fisheries Science Center (NEFSC). 2015a. Update on the Status of Spiny Dogfish in 2015 and Projected Harvests at the Fmsy Proxy and Pstar of 40%. Report to the Mid Atlantic Fishery Management Council (MAFMC) Scientific and Statistical Committee (SSC) August 26, 2015. 65 pages.

NEFSC. 2015b. Evaluation of Alternative Smoothing Options for Spiny Dogfish Abundance Estimates. Report to MAFMC SSC November 22, 2015. 28 pages.

NEFSC. 2016. Update of Landings, Discards and Survey Indices for Spiny Dogfish in 2016. Report to the MAFMC SSC August 29, 2016. 31 pages.

Special Report No. 89 of the Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission. 2013. Research priorities and recommendations to support interjurisdictional fisheries management.

X. Tables

Table 1: Spiny dogfish female spawning stock biomass (SSB) in millions of pounds and fishing mortality (F) point estimates, 1991-2015. A Kalman Filter was applied to the 2015 point-estimate. Point-estimates from 1991-2014 via the Kalman filter were not available at the time of this report. Although the absolute values will change after the Kalman filter is applied, the time series trend is similar. Source: NEFSC 2015a and 2015b.

Year	Female SSB	F
1991	516	0.082
1992	594	0.177
1993	485	0.327
1994	410	0.465
1995	294	0.418
1996	266	0.355
1997	252	0.234
1998	202	0.306
1999	114	0.289
2000	116	0.152
2001	136	0.109
2002	143	0.165
2003	129	0.168
2004	118	0.474
2005	105	0.128
2006	234	0.088
2007	312	0.090
2008	429	0.110
2009	360	0.113
2010	362	0.093
2011	373	0.114
2012	476	0.149
2013	466	NA
2014	NA	0.214
2015	371	0.210

Table 2: Landings estimates (pounds) of spiny dogfish off the Atlantic coast by commercial fisheries of the United States, Canada, and foreign fleets, and U.S. recreational harvest, 1981-2015. All values in pounds. Source: NEFSC 2015a and MRIP.

Year	Canada	Distant Water Fleets	U.S. Commercial	U.S. Recreational	Total Landings
1981	1,243,406	2,147,300	15,134,716	3,290,809	21,816,231
1982	857,597	802,482	11,928,240	155,228	13,743,546
1983		1,022,944	10,794,944	147,828	11,965,715
1984	4,409	862,006	9,811,419	201,247	10,879,082
1985	28,660	2,231,075	8,880,246	196,525	11,336,507
1986	44,092	811,300	6,057,436	403,806	7,316,634
1987	619,498	306,442	5,959,859	674,738	7,560,538
1988	2,205	1,426,389	6,845,658	793,826	9,068,078
1989	368,172	564,383	9,903,197	923,156	11,758,908
1990	2,885,848	866,416	32,475,331	393,464	36,621,058
1991	676,818	515,881	29,049,484	288,410	30,530,593
1992	1,913,610	147,710	37,165,286	535,770	39,762,376
1993	3,163,630	59,525	45,509,707	263,846	48,996,708
1994	4,012,408	4,409	41,441,357	341,311	45,799,486
1995	2,107,617	30,865	49,775,493	148,935	52,062,910
1996	950,191	520,290	59,823,640	56,990	61,351,111
1997	983,261	471,789	40,457,417	146,560	42,059,027
1998	2,325,874	1,338,204	45,476,080	133,761	49,273,919
1999	4,609,860	1,221,359	32,748,858	119,595	38,699,673
2000	6,042,863	886,257	20,407,500	11,262	27,347,883
2001	8,421,648	1,492,528	5,056,497	61,877	15,032,551
2002	7,901,358	1,044,990	4,847,674	451,666	14,245,687
2003	2,870,415	1,417,571	2,579,437	87,466	6,954,888
2004	5,207,312	727,525	2,164,011	264,970	8,363,819
2005	5,004,487	727,525	2,528,114	77,823	8,337,949
2006	5,377,068	22,046	4,957,360	175,290	10,531,764
2007	5,255,814	68,343	7,723,004	190,018	13,237,179
2008	3,466,368	288,805	9,057,020	251,427	13,063,620
2009	249,122	180,779	11,854,242	94,133	12,378,275
2010	13,228	279,987	11,993,133	35,418	12,321,766
2011	273,373	315,261	20,899,798	70,556	21,558,987
2012	143,300	302,033	23,501,249	41,413	23,987,996
2013	NA	134,482	16,120,181	80,859	16,335,523
2014	119,049	68,343	23,481,408	68,996	23,737,797
2015	2,205	50,706	19,098,623	86,832	19,238,366

Table 3: Total dead discards estimates (pounds) from the U.S. Atlantic coast spiny dogfish fishery by sector, 1981-2015. Commercial dead discards estimated via applying gear-specific mortality rates to discard estimates. Source: MRIP and NEFSC 2016.

Year	Commercial	Recreational (20% B2)	Total Dead Discards
1981	43,625,021	130,521	43,755,541
1982	50,245,935	153,982	50,399,918
1983	49,177,576	238,002	49,415,579
1984	46,931,730	186,871	47,118,601
1985	39,768,479	425,091	40,193,570
1986	38,222,379	523,373	38,745,752
1987	35,239,087	465,470	35,704,557
1988	35,307,210	386,152	35,693,362
1989	34,724,970	594,784	35,319,753
1990	41,754,621	515,830	42,270,451
1991	28,668,217	594,951	29,263,168
1992	41,401,992	449,048	41,851,040
1993	25,898,443	489,373	26,387,816
1994	18,435,804	426,776	18,862,580
1995	23,812,762	288,134	24,100,896
1996	13,136,779	145,103	13,281,882
1997	9,255,656	371,849	9,627,505
1998	7,305,008	268,875	7,573,883
1999	9,865,123	236,901	10,102,025
2000	6,128,182	304,436	6,432,619
2001	10,236,492	928,526	11,165,018
2002	10,392,799	737,755	11,130,554
2003	7,998,031	1,321,838	9,319,869
2004	12,011,321	1,450,007	13,461,328
2005	10,775,411	1,476,032	12,251,443
2006	10,847,557	1,565,462	12,413,019
2007	12,456,478	1,715,901	14,172,379
2008	9,843,805	1,188,294	11,032,099
2009	11,735,909	1,137,116	12,873,025
2010	8,146,291	871,034	9,017,325
2011	9,533,163	1,019,230	10,552,393
2012	10,081,275	605,902	10,687,177
2013	9,875,386	1,169,360	11,044,746
2014	10,657,861	2,090,825	12,748,685
2015	6,783,726	539,757	7,323,483

Table 4: Commercial quotas and landings estimates in pounds for May 1, 2015 - April 30, 2016 by region and state. Adjusted quota reflects a 5% rollover from 2014/2015 season. Due to confidentiality, NY – NC landings estimates have been redacted. Source: SAFIS, and annual state compliance reports.

State	Fixed Percent Allocation	Preliminary 2015/2016	Adjusted 2015/2016 quota	2015/2016* Landings	Proportion of Total Landings
Northern Region	58.00%	29,354,960	30,786,690	10,476,140	48%
NY	2.71%	1,370,067	1,436,889		
NJ	7.64%	3,868,781	4,057,473		
DE	0.90%	453,484	475,601		
MD	5.92%	2,996,230	3,142,366		
VA	10.80%	5,463,565	5,730,040		
NC	14.04%	7,103,900	7,450,379		
Total		50,610,988	53,079,438	22,023,902	

* Landings estimates from annual state compliance reports are cross-referenced with the SAFIS database, and the larger of the two estimates for each state and region is reported here.

Table 5: State implemented fishery-independent monitoring programs that encounter spiny dogfish. Source: annual state compliance reports. Note: this list is not comprehensive.

Fishery-Independent Monitoring Programs That Encounter Spiny Dogfish	Number of Spiny Dogfish Encountered	Comments
ME-NH Inshore Trawl survey	1 (spring), 14 (fall)	7 females, 8 males
RI DFW, Monthly and seasonal trawl survey	4	November
CT Long Island Sound Trawl Survey	19	Spring (April-June)
NJ Ocean Stock Assessment (trawl) Survey	5122 lbs	< 5% of that in 2013 and 2014
DE Bay Bottom Trawl (30- and 16-foot)	318 (30-ft)	0 (16-ft)
NC DMF Gill Net Survey	11	Post-2015, Inshore waters only
SC DNR nearshore bottom longline survey	NA	NA

Table 6: State-by-state compliance with the Interstate Fishery Management Plan for Spiny Dogfish, 2015/2016 reporting period. Source: annual state compliance reports, 2016. 'C' is compliant; 'NC' is noncompliant. South Carolina, Georgia and Florida were removed from the Spiny Dogfish Board in 2014

State	Report Submitted (Due July 1)	De Minimis Request	Biomedical [^] Permit Harvest	Finning Prohibition	Possession limit (per trip)
Maine	C	No	No	C	4,000 lbs
New Hampshire	C	No	No	C	5,000 lbs
Massachusetts	C	No	No	C	5,000 lbs
Rhode Island	C	No	No	C	5,000 lbs
Connecticut	C	No	No	C	5,000 lbs
New York	C	No	No	C	5,000 lbs
New Jersey	C	No	Yes	C	5,000 lbs
Delaware	C	Yes	No	C	10,000 lbs
Maryland	C	No	No	C	up to 10,000 lbs*
Virginia	C	No	No	C	5,000 lbs
North Carolina	C	No	Yes	C	20,000 lbs

[^] includes harvest under exempted fishing permit for other scientific and educational purposes

* possession limits range from 1,000 – 10,000 pounds depending on permit category

XI. Figures

Figure 1: Spiny dogfish spawning stock biomass, 1990 – 2015. Point-estimate for 2015 was derived via application of a Kalman filter. Estimates from 1991-2014 via the Kalman filter were not available at the time of this report. Although the absolute values will change after the Kalman filter is applied, the time series trend is similar. NEFSC 2015a and 2015b.




Figure 2: Fishing mortality rates in the spiny dogfish fishery, 1990 – 2014. 2013 point-estimate not available at time of this report. Source: NEFSC 2015a and 2015b.

