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## Executive Summary

Atlantic striped bass from Maine through North Carolina are managed under Amendment 6 and Addenda I-IV to the Interstate Fishery Management Plan.

A benchmark stock assessment was peer reviewed by the $57^{\text {th }}$ Stock Assessment Review Committee and approved by the Board for management use in October 2013. Addendum IV to Amendment 6 was approved by the Board in October 2014, and implemented prior to the start of the 2015 fishing season. The addendum contained new fishing mortality reference points, and required coastal and Chesapeake Bay states/jurisdictions to reduce removals by 25 and 20.5\%, respectively, in order to reduce F to a level at or below the new target. A 2016 stock assessment update indicated that Addendum IV successfully reduced F below the target in 2015. The Board initiated an addendum in 2017 to consider liberalizing regulations, but decided to not advance the addendum for public comment due to concerns that changing the management program could result in F exceeding the target.

In 2017, total Atlantic striped bass removals (i.e., commercial and recreational harvest and dead discards) was estimated at 3.33 million fish, which is a $7 \%$ decrease relative to 2016. Total striped bass harvest in 2017 is estimated at 1.72 million fish or 17.1 million pounds. The recreational fishery harvested 1.12 million fish ( 12.3 million pounds) in 2017, while the commercial fishery harvested 592,576 fish ( 4.80 million pounds). Dead discards from the recreational fishery are estimated at 1.08 million fish.

In 2017, all states implemented management and monitoring programs consistent with Amendment 6 and Addenda I-IV. Monitoring requirements vary by state, and may include monitoring commercial and recreational catch, effort, and catch composition; monitoring commercial tagging programs; and performing juvenile abundance surveys, spawning stock surveys, and research tagging programs. In 2017, the total coastal and Chesapeake Bay commercial quotas were not exceeded, however, Massachusetts exceeded its quota by 22,523 pounds which will be deducted from its 2018 quota. For the 2018 review of JAIs, the analysis evaluates the 2015, 2016, and 2017 JAI values. No state's JAI met the criteria for recruitment failure, nor was any states JAI value below its Q1 threshold in 2017.

The PRT noted inconsistent language between the regulations implemented by Maryland for its 2018 summer and fall recreational fishery in the Chesapeake Bay and the motion passed by the board at its February 2018 meeting. Additionally, regulations under Maine's Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife are inconstant with the FMP (regulations are consistent with the FMP under Maine's Department of Marine Resources). Maine is working to resolve this issue and will provide an update in supplemental materials, or during the August 2018 Board meeting.
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The Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission (Commission) developed a fisheries management plan (FMP) for Atlantic Striped Bass in 1981 in response to declining juvenile recruitment and landings. The FMP recommended increased restrictions on commercial and recreational fisheries, such as minimum size limits and harvest closures on spawning grounds. Two amendments were passed in 1984 recommending additional management measures to reduce fishing mortality. To strengthen the management response and improve compliance and enforcement, the Atlantic Striped Bass Conservation Act (P.L. 98-613) was passed in late 1984. The Striped Bass Act ${ }^{1}$ mandated the implementation of striped bass regulations passed by the Commission and gave the Commission authority to recommend to the Secretaries of Commerce and Interior that states be found out of compliance when they failed to implement management measures consistent with the FMP.

The first enforceable plan under the Striped Bass Act, Amendment 3, was approved in 1985, and required size regulations to protect the 1982-year class - the first modest size cohort since the previous decade. The objective was to increase size limits to allow at least $95 \%$ of the females in the 1982 cohort to spawn at least once. Smaller size limits were permitted in producer areas than along

[^0]the coast. Several states, beginning with Maryland in 1985, opted for a more conservative approach and imposed a total moratorium on striped bass landings for several years. The amendment contained a trigger mechanism to relax regulations when the 3-year moving average of the Maryland juvenile abundance index (JAI) exceeded an arithmetic mean of 8.0 - which was attained with the recruitment of the 1989 year class. Also, in 1985, the Commission determined the Albemarle Sound-Roanoke River (A-R) stock in North Carolina contributed minimally to the coastal migratory population, and was therefore allowed to operate under an alternative management program.

Amendment 4, implemented in 1989, aimed to rebuild the resource rather than maximize yield. The amendment allowed state fisheries to reopen under a target fishing morality ( $F$ ) of 0.25 , which was half the estimated $F$ needed to achieve maximum sustainable yield (MSY). The amendment allowed an increase in the target F once spawning stock biomass (SSB) was restored to levels estimated during the late 1960s and early 1970s. The dual size limit concept was maintained, and a recreational trip limit and commercial season was implemented to reduce the harvest to $20 \%$ of that in the historic period of 1972-1979. A series of four addenda were implemented from 1990-1994 to maintain protection of the 1982 year class.

In 1990, to provide additional protection to striped bass and ensure the effectiveness of state regulations, NOAA Fisheries passed a final rule (55 Federal Register 40181-02) prohibiting possession, fishing, (i.e., catch and release fishing), harvest and retention of Atlantic striped bass in the Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ), with the exception of a defined transit zone within Block Island Sound. Atlantic striped bass may be possessed and transported through this defined area, provided that the vessel is not used to fish while in the EEZ and the vessel remains in continuous transit.

In 1995, Chesapeake Bay, Delaware Bay and Hudson River striped bass were declared recovered by the Commission (the A-R stock was declared recovered in 1997), and Amendment 5 was adopted to increase the target $F$ to 0.33 , midway between the existing $F$ target ( 0.25 ) and $F_{\text {msr. }}$. Target $F$ was allowed to increase again to 0.40 after two years of implementation. Regulations were developed to achieve the target F (which included measures aimed to restore commercial harvest to $70 \%$ of the average landings during the 1972-1979 historical period) and states were allowed to submit proposals for alternative regulations that were conservationally equivalent. From 1997-2000, a series of five addenda were implemented to respond to the latest stock status information and adjust the regulatory regime to achieve each change in target F .

In 2003, Amendment 6 was adopted to address five limitations within the existing management program: 1) potential inability to prevent the Amendment 5 exploitation target from being exceeded; 2) perceived decrease in availability or abundance of large striped bass in the coastal migratory population; 3) a lack of management direction with respect to target and threshold biomass levels; 4) inequitable effects of regulations on the recreational and commercial fisheries, and coastal and producer area sectors; and 5) excessively frequent changes to the management program. Accordingly, Amendment 6 completely replaced all previous Commission plans for Atlantic striped bass. ${ }^{2}$

[^1]The goal of Amendment 6 is to perpetuate, through cooperative interstate management, migratory stocks of striped bass; to allow commercial and recreational fisheries consistent with the long-term maintenance of a broad age structure, a self-sustaining spawning stock; and also to provide for the restoration and maintenance of their essential habitat. In support of this goal, the following objectives are included:

- Manage striped bass fisheries under a control rule designed to maintain stock size at or above the target female spawning stock biomass level and a level of fishing mortality at or below the target exploitation rate.
- Manage fishing mortality to maintain an age structure that provides adequate spawning potential to sustain long-term abundance of striped bass populations.
- Provide a management plan that strives, to the extent practical, to maintain coastwide consistency of implemented measures, while allowing the States defined flexibility to implement alternative strategies that accomplish the objectives of the FMP.
- Foster quality and economically viable recreational, for-hire, and commercial fisheries.
- Maximize cost effectiveness of current information gathering and prioritize state obligations in order to minimize costs of monitoring and management.
- Adopt a long-term management regime that minimizes or eliminates the need to make annual changes or modifications to management measures.
- Establish a fishing mortality target that will result in a net increase in the abundance (pounds) of age 15 and older striped bass in the population, relative to the 2000 estimate.

Amendment 6 modified the F target and threshold, and introduced a new set of biological reference points (BRPs) based on female SSB, as well as a list of management triggers based on the BRPs. The coastal commercial quotas for striped bass were restored to $100 \%$ of the states' average landings during the 1972-1979 historical period, except for Delaware's coastal commercial quota which remained at the level allocated in 2002. In the recreational fisheries, all states were required to implement a two-fish bag limit with a minimum size limit of 28 inches, except for the Chesapeake Bay fisheries and fisheries that operate in the A-R (i.e., internal coastal waters of NC), and states with approved alternative regulations. The Chesapeake Bay and A-R regulatory programs were predicated on a more conservative $F$ target than the coastal migratory stock, which allowed these jurisdictions to implement separate seasons, harvest caps, and size and bag limits as long as they remain under that $F$ target. No minimum size limit can be less than 18 inches under Amendment 6. The same minimum size standards regulate the commercial fisheries as the recreational fisheries, except for a minimum 20 inch size limit in the Delaware Bay spring American shad gillnet fishery.

[^2]States are permitted the flexibility to deviate from these standards by submitting proposals for review to the Striped Bass Technical Committee (TC), Advisory Panel (AP), and Plan Review Team (PRT) and contingent upon the approval of the Atlantic Striped Bass Management (Board). A state may request a change only if it can demonstrate that the action is "conservationally equivalent" to the management standards or will not contribute to the overfishing of the resource. This practice has resulted in a variety of regulations among states (see Table 8 and Table 9).

In 2007, Addendum I was implemented to establish a bycatch monitoring and research program to increase the accuracy of data on striped bass discards and also recommend development of a webbased angler education program. Also in 2007, President George W. Bush issued an Executive Order (E.O. 13449) prohibiting the sale of striped bass (and red drum) caught within the EEZ. The order also requires the Secretary of Commerce to encourage management for conservation of resources, including State designation as gamefish where the state determines appropriate under applicable law, and to periodically review the status of the populations within US jurisdictional waters.

In 2010, Addendum II was approved. The addendum established a new definition of recruitment failure such that each index would have a fixed threshold indicating failure, rather than a threshold that changes annually with the addition of each year's data. The new definition of recruitment failure is "a value that is below $75 \%$ of all values in a fixed time series appropriate to each juvenile abundance index."

In 2012, Addendum III was approved. The addendum requires all states and jurisdictions with a commercial fishery to implement a uniform commercial harvest tagging program. The addendum was initiated in response to significant poaching events in the Chesapeake Bay and aims to limit illegal harvest of striped bass.

In 2014, Addendum IV was approved. The addendum was initiated in response to the 2013 benchmark assessment which indicated a steady decline in SSB since the mid-2000s. The addendum established new $F$ reference points (i.e., target and threshold), and a suite of regulatory measures to reduce $F$ to a level at or below the new target by 2016. All coastal jurisdictions were required to implement regulations to achieve a $25 \%$ reduction from 2013 removals, and Chesapeake Bay fisheries implemented regulations to achieve a $20.5 \%$ reduction from 2012 removals. Addendum IV also formally defers management of the A-R stock to the state of North Carolina using A-R stock-specific BRPs approved by the Board (NCDMF 2013, 2014). Striped bass in the ocean waters of North Carolina continue to be managed under Amendment 6 and Addenda I-IV.

In February 2017, the Board initiated the development of Draft Addendum V to consider liberalizing coastwide commercial and recreational regulations. The Board's action responded to concerns raised by Chesapeake Bay jurisdictions regarding continued economic hardship endured by its stakeholders since the implementation of Addendum IV and information from the 2016 stock assessment update indicating that the Addendum IV measures successfully reduced F to a level below the target in 2015. The draft addendum proposed alternative measures aimed to increase total removals by $10 \%$ relative to 2015 in order to achieve the target F in 2017. However, the Board chose to not advance the draft addendum forward for public comment largely due to harvest estimates having increased in 2016
without changing regulations. Instead, the Board decided to wait until it reviews the results of the 2018 benchmark stock assessment before considering making changes to the management program.

## Albemarle-Roanoke Striped Bass FMP

Estuarine striped bass in North Carolina are currently managed under Amendment 1 to the North Carolina Estuarine Striped Bass Fishery Management Plan (FMP) and its subsequent revision (NCDMF 2013, 2014). It is a joint plan between the North Carolina Marine Fisheries Commission (NCMFC) and the North Carolina Wildlife Resources Commission (NCWRC). Amendment 1, adopted in 2013, lays out separate management strategies for the Albemarle Sound-Roanoke Rive (A-R) stock and the estuarine (non-migratory) central and southern striped bass stocks in the Tar/Pamlico, Neuse, and Cape Fear rivers. Management programs in Amendment 1 utilize annual total allowable landings (TAL), daily possession limits, open and closed harvest seasons, gill net mesh size and yardage restrictions, seasonal attendance requirements, barbless hook requirements in some areas, minimum size limits, and slot limits to maintain a sustainable harvest and reduce regulatory discard mortality in all sectors. Amendment 1 also maintains the stocking regime in the central and southern systems and the harvest moratorium on striped bass in the Cape Fear River and its tributaries (NCDMF 2013). Striped bass fisheries in the Atlantic Ocean of North Carolina are managed under ASMFC's Amendment 6 and subsequent addenda to the Interstate FMP for Atlantic Striped Bass.

## II. Status of the Stocks

## Atlantic Striped Bass Stocks

The 2013 benchmark stock assessment for Atlantic striped bass was peer-reviewed at the $57^{\text {th }}$ Stock Assessment Workshop (SAW)/Stock Assessment Review Committee (SARC). Based on recommendations by the $46^{\text {th }}$ SAW/SARC in 2007, the statistical catch-at-age (SCA) model was generalized to allow specification of multiple fleets (an ocean fleet, a Chesapeake Bay fleet, and commercial discard fleet), different stock-recruitment relationships, and year- and age-specific natural mortality rates, among other changes (ASMFC 2013; NEFSC 2013a, 2013b). New F reference points were chosen to link the target and threshold F with the target and threshold female SSB. The 2013 assessment, and the new F reference points, were approved by the Board for management use at its October 2013 meeting.

The 2013 SCA model was updated in 2016 to estimate F, SSB, abundance, and recruitment of striped bass during 1982-2015 (ASMFC 2016). Based on results of the 2016 update, and in comparison to the biological reference points below, Atlantic striped bass are not overfished and are not experiencing overfishing.

|  | Female SSB | Fully-Recruited $\boldsymbol{F}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Threshold | SSB $_{1995}=57,626$ metric tons | 0.22 |
| Target | SSB $_{\text {threshold }} \times 1.25=72,032$ metric tons | 0.18 |

In 2015, female SSB was estimated at 58,853 metric tons ( mt ) ( 129.7 million pounds) which is above the SSB threshold but below the SSB target (Figure 1). The 2015 estimate is a decrease from the 2014 estimate of $63,918 \mathrm{mt}$ ( 140.9 million pounds). In 2015, recruitment (age-1 abundance) was estimated
at 122.7 million fish which is above average for the most recent 20 years ( 98.0 million fish) and is the second highest value since 2005; the 2012 estimate (i.e., the 2011 year-class) was 123.9 million fish (Figure 1). In 2015, fully-recruited F was estimated at 0.16 which is below both the $F$ threshold and $F$ target (Figure 2).

Overall, the assessment results indicate that female SSB has declined steadily since the 2003 time series high and is approaching the SSB threshold. Although there appears to be an increasing trend in recreational catch over the last five years, the decline in SSB may be reflected in the coastwide harvest which has been decreasing from about 2007 to present (Figure 5). A new benchmark assessment is currently underway and scheduled for completion at the end of 2018. It's important to note that the 2018 benchmark will incorporate the newly calibrated recreational catch and harvest estimates based on the Marine Recreational Information Program's (MRIP) Fishing Effort Survey (FES). Accordingly, the results of the assessments (e.g., estimates of stock biomass and recruitment) will not be directly comparable to previous assessment results.

## Albemarle Sound-Roanoke River Striped Bass Stocks

The most recent A-R benchmark stock-specific assessment (data through 2012) utilized the ASAP3 statistical catch-at-age model. The model was peer reviewed by an outside panel of experts and approved for management use by the Board in October 2014. The benchmark assessment produced new BRPs and annual harvest quota to prevent overfishing. The model was most recently updated in 2016 with catch and index data through 2014 (Flowers and Godwin 2016). Based on results of the 2016 update, and in comparison to the BRPs below, A-R Atlantic striped bass are not overfished and are not experiencing overfishing.

|  | F | Female SSB | Total Allowable Landings (TAL) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Threshold | 0.41 | $772,588 \mathrm{lbs}$. | $275,000 \mathrm{lb}$ (split evenly between <br> Target |
|  | 0.33 | $965,735 \mathrm{lbs}$. | recreational and commercial sectors) |

In 2014, female SSB was estimated at 2,024,583 pounds which is above the peak in 2003 and the highest value in the time series (Figure 3). In 2014, F was estimated at 0.06 which is below both the $F$ threshold and target (Figure 4). Caution should be used, however, when evaluating the estimates of SSB and F in the terminal year. The estimated SSB value in 2014 is likely an overestimate based on past years of retrospective bias exhibited by the model. Subsequent assessments, incorporating additional years of data, and possibly a revised stock-recruit relationship, will likely reduce the magnitude of the 2014 value (Flowers and Godwin 2016). A-R striped bass experienced a period of unusually strong recruitment (number of age-1 fish entering the population) from 1994-2001 followed by a period of lower recruitment from 2002-2014 (Figure 3).

Overall, the trends in the A-R stock abundance are quite similar to the Atlantic striped bass stocks described above, with a steady decline in female SSB since about 2003. Total stock abundance reached its peak in the early 2000s, declined gradually through about 2009 and increasing slightly beginning in 2011 through the terminal year. A new benchmark A-R stock assessment with data through 2016 is currently underway and scheduled to be completed in early 2019.

## III. Status of the Fishery

## Chesapeake Bay and Coastal Atlantic Striped Bass Fisheries

In 2017, total Atlantic striped bass removals (i.e., commercial and recreational harvest ${ }^{3}$ plus dead discards) was estimated at 3.33 million fish ${ }^{4}$, which is a $7 \%$ decrease relative to 2016. In 2017, total striped bass commercial and recreational harvest was estimated at 1.72 million fish or 17.06 million pounds, which is a $19 \%$ decrease by number and $31 \%$ decrease by weight relative to 2016 (Table 1 and Figure 5). In 2017, the commercial and recreational fisheries harvested 28 and $72 \%$ respectively by weight, and $39 \%$ of total harvest by weight came from within the Chesapeake Bay compared to $32 \%$ in 2016.

In 2017, the commercial fishery (coastal and Chesapeake Bay combined) harvested 4.80 million pounds or 592,576 fish, which is a $2 \%$ decrease relative to 2016 in number of fish but less than $0.5 \%$ decrease by weight (Table 2 and Table 3; Figure 6). The Chesapeake Bay jurisdictions accounted for 62\% of 2017 commercial landings by weight; Maryland landed 32\%, Virginia landed 20\%, and PRFC landed $10 \%$. Additional landings came from Massachusetts (17\%), New York (15\%), Rhode Island (4\%), and Delaware (3\%). Due to ongoing stock assessment efforts, the 2017 commercial dead discards estimate was not available at the time of this report. Accordingly, the PRT used the previous 10-year average of 535,377 fish (due to the high interannual variability of commercial discard estimates) as the 2017 commercial discard estimate in order to compare total removals in 2016 to 2017 (Table 6).

Total recreational catch (coastal and Chesapeake Bay combined, and as calculated by the Marine Recreational Information Program (MRIP) via the Coastal Household Telephone Survey effort estimates) increased slightly in 2017 relative to 2016, however total harvest decreased (Figure 7). The 2017 total recreational catch estimate ( $A+B 1+B 2$ ) is 13.1 million fish which is a $1 \%$ increase relative to 2016. Total recreational harvest ( $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B} 1$ ) in 2017 is estimated at 1.12 million fish ( 12.3 million pounds) which is a $26 \%$ decrease by number relative to 2016 ( $38 \%$ decrease by weight) (Table 4 and Table 5; Figure 7). Maryland landed the largest proportion of the recreational harvest in number of fish ${ }^{5}$ (52\%), followed by Massachusetts (16\%), New York (10\%), New Jersey (8\%), and Virginia (5\%) (Table 4 and Table 5). In the Chesapeake Bay, striped bass catch and harvest decreased in 2017 relative to 2016. The 2017 recreational catch ( $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B} 1+\mathrm{B} 2$ ) estimate from the Chesapeake Bay is 4.05 million fish, a $32 \%$ decrease from 2016. 2017 Recreational harvest ( $A+B 1$ ) from the Chesapeake Bay is estimated at 632,043 fish ( 3.83 million pounds) which is an $11 \%$ decrease relative to 2016, and accounts for $56 \%$ of total recreational harvest in 2017.

In 2017, recreational anglers caught and released (B2) an estimated 12.0 million fish which is a $4 \%$ increase relative to 2016. Applying a 9\% post-release mortality rate results in a dead discards estimate of 1.08 million fish (Table 6). Further analysis indicates that recreational releases increased by $38 \%$ along the coast relative to 2016, while anglers in the Chesapeake Bay experienced a $35 \%$ decrease in

[^3]fish caught and released. This makes sense based on current understanding of the strong 2011 year class emigrating out of its natal bays and estuaries and becoming increasingly available to coastal fisheries. Furthermore, the PRT expects harvest along the coast to increase in the coming seasons as these fish continue to grow into the legal size range. The PRT also notes that the equally strong 2014 year class is expected to move through the fishery in the coming seasons.

## Albemarle Sound and Roanoke River Atlantic Striped Bass Fisheries

In 2017, total commercial and recreational harvest in the Albemarle Sound Management Area (ASMA) and the Roanoke River Management Area (RRMA) was 176,924 pounds ( 46,705 fish). Commercial harvest in the ASMA was 75,793 pounds ( 14,708 fish). Recreational harvest in the ASMA was 35,913 pounds ( 10,737 fish), and recreational harvest in the RRMA was 65,218 pounds ( 21,260 fish ).

## IV. Status of Research and Monitoring

Amendment 6 and its Addenda I-IV set the regulatory and monitoring measures for the coastwide striped bass fishery in 2017. Amendment 6 requires certain jurisdictions to implement fisherydependent monitoring programs for striped bass. All jurisdictions with commercial fisheries or substantial recreational fisheries are required to define the catch and effort composition of these fisheries. Additionally, all states and jurisdictions with a commercial fishery must implement a commercial harvest tagging program pursuant to Addendum III to Amendment 6.

Amendment 6 also requires certain states to monitor the striped bass population independent of the fisheries. Juvenile abundance indices are required from Maine (Kennebec River), New York (Hudson River), New Jersey (Delaware River), Maryland (Chesapeake Bay tributaries), Virginia (Chesapeake Bay tributaries), and North Carolina (Albemarle Sound). Spawning stock sampling is mandatory for New York (Hudson River), Pennsylvania (Delaware River), Delaware (Delaware River), Maryland (Upper Chesapeake Bay and Potomac River), Virginia (Rappahannock River and James River), and North Carolina (Albemarle Sound-Roanoke River). Amendment 6 requires NOAA Fisheries, USFWS, Massachusetts, New York, New Jersey, Maryland, Virginia, and North Carolina to continue their tagging programs, which provide data used to determine survivorship and migration patterns.

## V. Status of Management Measures and Issues

## Coastal Commercial Quota

In 2017, the coastal commercial quota was 2,776,071 pounds and was not exceeded, however Massachusetts exceeded its allocation by 22,523 pounds which will be deducted from its 2018 quota. Table 7a contains state-specific quotas and harvest that occurred in 2017, as well as final 2018 quotas.

## Chesapeake Bay Commercial Quota

In 2017, the Chesapeake Bay-wide quota was $3,120,247$ pounds and was allocated to Maryland, the PRFC, and Virginia based on historical harvest. In 2017, the bay-wide quota was not exceeded and all bay-jurisdictions maintained harvest below its respective quota. Table 7b contains jurisdiction-specific quotas and harvest that occurred in 2017 for the Chesapeake Bay, as well as final 2018 quotas.

Commercial harvest from within the Chesapeake Bay accounted for $57 \%$ of total commercial landings by weight, compared to 59\% in 2016 and 61\% in 2015.

## Chesapeake Bay Spring Trophy Fishery

Recreational fishermen in the Chesapeake Bay are permitted to take adult migrant fish during a limited seasonal fishery, commonly referred to as the Spring Trophy Fishery. From 1993 to 2007 the fishery operated under a quota. Beginning in 2008, the Board approved non-quota management until stock assessment indicates that corrective action is necessary to reduce F on the coastal stock. The Spring Trophy Fishery is managed via bag limits and size restrictions. The 2017 estimate of migrant fish harvested during the trophy season was 22,892 fish (22,853 fish in Maryland and 39 fish in Virginia) a decrease relative to 2016 ( 74,349 fish) and below the 2006-2017 average of 42,973 fish (Horne 2018).

## Wave-1 Recreational Harvest Estimates

Evidence suggests that North Carolina, Virginia, and possibly other states have had sizeable wave-1 (January/February) recreational striped bass fisheries beginning in 1996 (NEFSC 2013b). MRIP, formerly the Marine Recreational Fisheries Statistics Survey (MRFSS), has sampled for striped bass in North Carolina during wave-1 since 2004 (other states are not currently covered during wave-1). For Virginia, harvest in wave- 1 is estimated via the ratio of landings and tag returns in wave-6 and regression analysis (refer to the methods described in ASMFC 2016 for more detail).

However, based on fishery-independent data collected by NCDMF, ASMFC and USFWS, striped bass distributions on their overwintering grounds during December through February has changed significantly since the mid-2000s. The migratory portion of the stocks has been well offshore in the EEZ (>3 miles) effecting both Virginia's and North Carolina's striped bass winter ocean fisheries in recent years. Furthermore, North Carolina has reported zero striped bass landings during wave-1 in the ocean for 2012-2017. Similarly, its commercial fishery has reported zero striped bass landings from the ocean during that time.

## Addendum II: Juvenile Abundance Index Analysis

The following states are required to conduct striped bass young-of-year juvenile abundance index (JAI) surveys on an annual basis: Maine for the Kennebec River; New York for the Hudson River; New Jersey for the Delaware River; Maryland for the Maryland Chesapeake Bay tributaries; Virginia for the Virginia Chesapeake Bay tributaries; and North Carolina for the A-R stock.

The PRT annually reviews trends in all required JAIs. Per Addendum II, recruitment failure is defined as a value that is below $75 \%$ (the first quartile, or Q1) of all values in a fixed time series appropriate to each JAI (see Addendum I/ for details). If any survey's JAI falls below their respective Q1 for three consecutive years, appropriate action should be recommended by the PRT to the Management Board.

For the 2018 review of JAls, the analysis evaluates the 2015, 2016, and 2017 JAI values. No state's JAI met the criteria for recruitment failure (Figure 8). Furthermore, no state's JAI value in 2017 was below its respective Q1 threshold. Maine's JAI was below the Q1 threshold in 2015, and below average in 2016 and 2017. New York's 2016 JAI value was below the Q1 threshold, but the JAI was above average in 2015 and slightly below average in 2017. New Jersey's JAI was slightly above the Q1 threshold in

2015, above average in 2016 and slightly below average in 2017. Maryland's JAI was below the Q1 threshold in 2016, but above average in 2015 and 2017 (the 2015 value is the $7^{\text {th }}$ highest in the time series). Virginia's JAI was slightly below average in 2016 and 2017, and slightly above average in 2015. North Carolina's JAI for the A-R stock has declined from well above average in 2015 to slightly below average in 2016, and is just above the Q1 threshold in 2017.

## Addendum III: Commercial Fish Tagging Program

Addendum III to Amendment 6 includes compliance requirements for monitoring commercial fishery harvest tagging programs. In 2017, all states implemented commercial tagging programs consistent with the requirements of Addendum III. Table 10 describes commercial tagging programs by state.

## Addendum IV: Performance Review

Addendum IV was implemented prior to the start of the 2015 fishing season, and required coastal and Chesapeake Bay jurisdictions to reduce removals by 25 and $20.5 \%$, respectively, relative to the base period ${ }^{6}$ in order to reduce $F$ to a level at or below the new target. Overall, 2017 regulations achieved a $21 \%$ reduction relative to 2013 removals (harvest plus dead discards) or an $18 \%$ reduction relative to bass period removals ${ }^{7}$. The coastal commercial fishery achieved a $28 \%$ reduction in harvest relative to the base period and the Chesapeake Bay commercial fishery achieved a $30 \%$ reduction. The coastal recreational fishery achieved a 41\% reduction in removals (harvest plus dead discards) relative to the base period, and the Chesapeake Bay recreational fishery saw a $75 \%$ increase.

## Albemarle-Roanoke Striped Bass FMP

The Interstate FMP for Atlantic Striped Bass requires North Carolina to inform the Commission of changes to striped bass management in the Albemarle Sound/Roanoke River (A-R) System. North Carolina must adhere to the compliance criteria in Amendment 6. No changes were made to the A-R Striped Bass FMP in 2017.

## Law Enforcement Reporting

States are asked to report and summarize law enforcement cases that occurred the previous season in annual compliance reports. In 2017, reported law enforcement cases (e.g., the number of warnings and citations) were similar to those reported in previous years. The most common violations were recreationally harvested fish under the legal size limit and possessing fish in excess of the bag limit.

## VI. Annual State Compliance and Plan Review Team Recommendations

In 2017, and based on annual state compliance reports (ASMFC 2018), the PRT determined that each state and jurisdiction implemented a management program consistent with the requirements of

[^4]Amendment 6 and addenda I-IV (Table 11). Refer to Table 8 and Table 9 for a summary of 2017 striped bass fishing regulations by state.

Addendum III to Amendment 6 includes compliance requirements for monitoring commercial fishery harvest tagging programs. The PRT determined that all states and jurisdictions with commercial striped bass fisheries implemented a commercial harvest tagging program in 2017 consistent with the requirements of Addendum III. Table 10 describes each state's program requirements.

Amendment 6 includes compliance requirements for monitoring programs (summarized in Section IV). Compliance with these requirements is summarized in Table 11. The PRT determined that each state and jurisdiction carried out the required monitoring programs in the 2017 fishing year. No planned monitoring program changes were reported for 2018.

Reported regulatory changes for 2018:

- Regulations under Maine's Department of Marine Resources are consistent with the FMP, however, regulations under Maine's Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife are inconsistent with the FMP. Current inland regulations are no bag limit and no size limit. Maine is working to resolve this issue and will provide an update in supplemental materials or during the Board meeting.
- Maryland implemented a 19" minimum size limit in the Chesapeake Bay recreational fishery (2 fish bag limit where only one fish can be greater than 28 "), May 16 - Dec 15. Anglers must use non-offset circle hooks when live-lining or chumming. Anglers must use non-offset circle hooks or "J" hooks when using fish, crabs or worms as bait or when using processed baits while not live-lining or chumming (treble hooks are prohibited). The PRT noted inconsistent language between the regulations implemented by Maryland for its 2018 summer and fall recreational fishery in the Chesapeake Bay and the motion (and discussion supporting that motion) passed by the board at its February 2018 meeting. Specifically, the board motion states "non-offset circle hooks required when fishing with bait, non-artificial lures."


## VII. Research Recommendations

The following categorized and prioritized research recommendations were developed by the 2013 Benchmark Stock Assessment Subcommittee and the 57 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ SARC:

## Fishery-Dependent Priorities

High

- Continue collection of paired scale and otolith samples, particularly from larger striped bass, to facilitate development of otolith-based age-length keys and scale-otolith conversion matrices. ${ }^{1}$


## Moderate

- Develop studies to provide information on gear specific discard morality rates and to determine the magnitude of bycatch mortality. ${ }^{2}$
- Improve estimates of striped bass harvest removals in coastal areas during wave 1 and in inland waters of all jurisdictions year round.
- Evaluate the percentage of fishermen using circle hooks. ${ }^{3}$


## Fishery-Independent Priorities

## Moderate

- Develop a refined and cost-efficient, fisheries-independent coastal population index for striped bass stocks.
o The PRT recommends the SBTC be tasked with exploring whether the Cooperative Winter Tagging Cruise, NEAMAP, and/or NMFS Trawl Survey datasets may prove useful in this respect.


## Modeling / Quantitative Priorities

High

- Develop a method to integrate catch-at-age and tagging models to produce a single estimate of F and stock status. ${ }^{4}$
- Develop a spatially and temporally explicit catch-at-age model incorporating tag based movement information. ${ }^{5}$
o The PRT recommends that the SAS be tasked with reviewing recent published literature examining tag-based movement information to see if they would contribute to the development of such a model (e.g., Callihan et al. 2014)
- Review model averaging approach to estimate annual fishing mortality with tag based models. Review validity and sensitivity to year groupings. ${ }^{6}$
- Develop methods for combining tag results from programs releasing fish from different areas on different dates.
- Examine potential biases associated with the number of tagged individuals, such as gear specific mortality (associated with trawls, pound nets, gill nets, and electrofishing), tag induced mortality, and tag loss. ${ }^{7}$
- Develop field or modeling studies to aid in estimation of natural mortality or other factors affecting the tag return rate.


## Moderate

- Develop maturity ogives applicable to coastal migratory stocks.
- Examine methods to estimate annual variation in natural mortality. ${ }^{8}$
- Develop reliable estimates of poaching loss from striped bass fisheries.
- Improve methods for determining population sex ratio for use in estimates of SSB and biological reference points.
- Evaluate truncated matrices and covariate based tagging models.

Low

- Examine issues with time saturated tagging models for the 18 inch length group.
- Develop tag based reference points.


## Life History, Biological, and Habitat Priorities

## High

- Continue in-depth analysis of migrations, stock compositions, etc. using mark-recapture data. ${ }^{9}$
- Continue evaluation of striped bass dietary needs and relation to health condition. ${ }^{10}$
- Continue analysis to determine linkages between the mycobacteriosis outbreak in Chesapeake Bay and sex ratio of Chesapeake spawning stock, Chesapeake juvenile production, and recruitment success into coastal fisheries.


## Moderate

- Examine causes of different tag based survival estimates among programs estimating similar segments of the population.
- Continue to conduct research to determine limiting factors affecting recruitment and possible density implications.
- Conduct study to calculate the emigration rates from producer areas now that population levels are high and conduct multi-year study to determine inter-annual variation in emigration rates.


## Low

- Determine inherent viability of eggs and larvae.
- Conduct additional research to determine the pathogenicity of the IPN virus isolated from striped bass to other warm water marine species, such as flounder, menhaden, shad, and largemouth bass.


## Management, Law Enforcement, and Socioeconomic Priorities

## Moderate

- Examine the potential public health trade-offs between the continued reliance on the use of high minimum size limits ( 28 inches) on coastal recreational anglers and its long-term effects on enhanced PCB contamination among recreational stakeholders. ${ }^{11,13}$
- Evaluate striped bass angler preferences for size of harvested fish and trade-offs with bag limits.


## Habitat Recommendations

- Passage facilities should be designed specifically for passing striped bass for optimum efficiency at passing this species.
- Conduct studies to determine whether passing migrating adults upstream earlier in the year in some rivers would increase striped bass production and larval survival, and opening downstream bypass facilities sooner would reduce mortality of early emigrants (both adult and early-hatched juveniles).
- All state and federal agencies responsible for reviewing impact statements and permit applications for projects or facilities proposed for striped bass spawning and nursery areas shall ensure that those projects will have no or only minimal impact on local stocks, especially natal rivers of stocks considered depressed or undergoing restoration. ${ }^{11}$
- Federal and state fishery management agencies should take steps to limit the introduction of compounds which are known to be accumulated in striped bass tissues and which pose a threat to human health or striped bass health.
- Every effort should be made to eliminate existing contaminants from striped bass habitats where a documented adverse impact occurs.
- Water quality criteria for striped bass spawning and nursery areas should be established, or existing criteria should be upgraded to levels that are sufficient to ensure successful striped bass reproduction.
- Each state should implement protection for the striped bass habitat within its jurisdiction to ensure the sustainability of that portion of the migratory stock. Such a program should include: inventory of historical habitats, identification of habitats presently used, specification of areas targeted for restoration, and imposition or encouragement of measures to retain or increase the quantity and quality of striped bass essential habitats.
- States in which striped bass spawning occurs should make every effort to declare striped bass spawning and nursery areas to be in need of special protection; such declaration should be accompanied by requirements of non-degradation of habitat quality, including minimization of non-point source runoff, prevention of significant increases in contaminant loadings, and prevention of the introduction of any new categories of contaminants into the area. For those agencies without water quality regulatory authority, protocols and schedules for providing input on water quality regulations to the responsible agency should be identified or created, to ensure that water quality needs of striped bass stocks are met. ${ }^{12}$
- ASMFC should designate important habitats for striped bass spawning and nursery areas as HAPC.
- Each state should survey existing literature and data to determine the historical extent of striped bass occurrence and use within its jurisdiction. An assessment should be conducted of those areas not presently used for which restoration is feasible.


## Footnotes

[^5]
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## IX. Tables

Tables 1-6 only report catch and harvest estimates back to 1990 due to space constraints.

Table 1. Total harvest of Atlantic striped bass, 1990-2017. Recreational data source: MRIP query on June 11, 2018; estimates based on MRIP's previous Coastal Household Telephone Survey. Commercial data source: 2016 stock assessment update for 1990-2015; state compliance reports for 2016-2017. Estimates exclude inshore harvest from A-R.

| Year | Commercial |  | Recreational ( $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B1}$ ) |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pounds | Numbers | Pounds | Numbers | Pounds | Number |
| 1990 | 689,858 | 115,636 | 2,226,546 | 163,242 | 2,916,404 | 278,878 |
| 1991 | 1,471,703 | 153,798 | 3,644,788 | 262,469 | 5,116,491 | 416,267 |
| 1992 | 1,434,495 | 230,714 | 4,034,251 | 300,180 | 5,468,746 | 530,894 |
| 1993 | 1,749,628 | 312,860 | 5,652,412 | 428,719 | 7,402,040 | 741,579 |
| 1994 | 1,776,176 | 307,443 | 6,798,579 | 565,167 | 8,574,755 | 872,610 |
| 1995 | 3,390,937 | 534,914 | 12,509,985 | 1,089,182 | 15,900,922 | 1,624,096 |
| 1996 | 3,367,185 | 766,518 | 13,233,025 | 1,175,112 | 16,600,210 | 1,941,630 |
| 1997 | 5,882,643 | 1,108,612 | 16,020,370 | 1,515,297 | 21,903,013 | 2,623,909 |
| 1998 | 6,443,874 | 1,233,089 | 12,722,184 | 1,352,191 | 19,166,058 | 2,585,280 |
| 1999 | 6,545,069 | 1,103,812 | 13,767,366 | 1,319,794 | 20,312,435 | 2,423,606 |
| 2000 | 6,698,988 | 1,057,712 | 17,634,667 | 1,963,702 | 24,333,655 | 3,021,414 |
| 2001 | 6,235,788 | 952,820 | 19,468,334 | 2,012,403 | 25,704,122 | 2,965,223 |
| 2002 | 5,999,275 | 658,091 | 18,521,685 | 1,807,951 | 24,520,960 | 2,466,042 |
| 2003 | 7,072,686 | 874,817 | 22,585,868 | 2,411,972 | 29,658,554 | 3,286,789 |
| 2004 | 7,320,357 | 913,160 | 29,366,502 | 2,395,131 | 36,686,859 | 3,308,291 |
| 2005 | 7,134,538 | 973,572 | 30,097,085 | 2,406,630 | 37,231,623 | 3,380,202 |
| 2006 | 6,783,628 | 1,054,664 | 30,866,676 | 2,701,736 | 37,650,304 | 3,756,400 |
| 2007 | 7,050,692 | 1,023,358 | 27,035,889 | 2,407,929 | 34,086,581 | 3,431,287 |
| 2008 | 7,188,715 | 1,010,955 | 30,841,285 | 2,310,314 | 38,030,000 | 3,321,269 |
| 2009 | 7,215,818 | 1,043,512 | 22,935,130 | 1,939,703 | 30,150,948 | 2,983,215 |
| 2010 | 6,979,612 | 1,030,938 | 22,972,427 | 1,958,404 | 29,952,039 | 2,989,342 |
| 2011 | 6,783,239 | 931,490 | 27,234,776 | 2,205,892 | 34,018,015 | 3,137,382 |
| 2012 | 6,514,238 | 839,329 | 19,503,265 | 1,481,120 | 26,017,503 | 2,320,449 |
| 2013 | 5,816,204 | 765,101 | 27,445,234 | 2,174,891 | 33,261,438 | 2,939,992 |
| 2014 | 5,937,662 | 766,298 | 23,608,567 | 1,763,073 | 29,546,229 | 2,529,371 |
| 2015 | 4,820,489 | 620,034 | 16,857,432 | 1,235,902 | 21,677,921 | 1,855,936 |
| 2016 | 4,818,212 | 605,677 | 19,881,179 | 1,524,853 | 24,699,391 | 2,130,151 |
| 2017 | 4,796,395 | 592,576 | 12,266,638 | 1,122,848 | 17,063,033 | 1,715,424 |
| prev 5 yr avg | 5,581,361 | 721,046 | 21,459,135 | 1,635,968 | 27,040,496 | 2,355,180 |
| prev 10 yr avg | 6,312,488 | 864,548 | 23,831,518 | 1,900,208 | 30,144,006 | 2,763,839 |

Table 2. Commercial harvest (pounds) of Atlantic striped bass by state, 1990-2017. Source: 2016 stock assessment update for 1990-2015; state compliance reports for 2016-2017. ^Estimates exclude inshore harvest from A-R.

| Year | ME | NH | MA | RI | CT | NY | NJ | DE | MD | PRFC | VA | NC^ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 |  |  | 148,000 | 4,000 |  | 81,870 |  | 6,509 | 2,887 | 169,060 | 267,735 | 9,797 | 689,858 |
| 1991 |  |  | 235,000 | 28,000 |  | 105,163 |  | 21,079 | 191,066 | 216,755 | 668,454 | 6,186 | 1,471,703 |
| 1992 |  |  | 239,200 | 39,000 |  | 226,611 |  | 17,795 | 552,451 | 127,398 | 204,338 | 27,702 | 1,434,495 |
| 1993 |  |  | 262,600 | 40,000 |  | 109,362 |  | 28,032 | 916,764 | 142,742 | 213,665 | 36,463 | 1,749,628 |
| 1994 |  |  | 199,600 | 39,810 |  | 171,279 |  | 33,897 | 884,970 | 149,891 | 204,124 | 92,605 | 1,776,176 |
| 1995 |  |  | 782,000 | 113,461 |  | 500,784 |  | 38,198 | 856,568 | 198,478 | 557,741 | 343,707 | 3,390,937 |
| 1996 |  |  | 696,815 | 122,562 |  | 504,350 |  | 117,560 | 1,523,293 | 346,834 |  | 55,771 | 3,367,185 |
| 1997 |  |  | 785,942 | 96,519 |  | 460,762 |  | 165,978 | 2,030,061 | 731,114 | 1,153,743 | 458,524 | 5,882,643 |
| 1998 |  |  | 822,000 | 94,663 |  | 484,900 |  | 163,169 | 2,368,393 | 726,179 | 1,476,502 | 308,068 | 6,443,874 |
| 1999 |  |  | 788,171 | 119,679 |  | 491,790 |  | 187,096 | 2,377,393 | 653,266 | 1,538,220 | 389,454 | 6,545,069 |
| 2000 |  |  | 779,736 | 111,812 |  | 542,659 |  | 140,634 | 2,411,554 | 666,001 | 1,883,856 | 162,736 | 6,698,988 |
| 2001 |  |  | 815,054 | 129,654 |  | 633,095 |  | 198,802 | 1,774,758 | 658,676 | 1,675,469 | 350,280 | 6,235,788 |
| 2002 |  |  | 924,870 | 129,172 |  | 518,573 |  | 160,560 | 1,852,634 | 521,048 | 1,592,910 | 299,508 | 5,999,275 |
| 2003 |  |  | 1,055,439 | 246,312 |  | 753,261 |  | 188,419 | 1,813,727 | 676,574 | 1,856,831 | 482,123 | 7,072,686 |
| 2004 |  |  | 1,206,305 | 245,204 |  | 741,668 |  | 181,974 | 1,899,539 | 772,333 | 1,668,307 | 604,824 | 7,320,154 |
| 2005 |  |  | 1,104,737 | 242,303 |  | 689,821 |  | 173,815 | 2,055,558 | 533,456 | 1,746,247 | 588,601 | 7,134,538 |
| 2006 |  |  | 1,312,168 | 238,797 |  | 688,446 |  | 185,987 | 2,207,350 | 673,508 | 1,413,914 | 63,458 | 6,783,628 |
| 2007 |  |  | 1,040,328 | 240,627 |  | 729,743 |  | 188,668 | 2,336,886 | 599,261 | 1,534,799 | 380,380 | 7,050,692 |
| 2008 |  |  | 1,160,122 | 245,988 |  | 653,100 |  | 188,719 | 2,326,023 | 611,789 | 1,714,564 | 288,410 | 7,188,715 |
| 2009 |  |  | 1,138,291 | 234,368 |  | 789,891 |  | 192,311 | 2,394,620 | 727,197 | 1,549,145 | 189,995 | 7,215,818 |
| 2010 |  |  | 1,224,356 | 249,520 |  | 782,402 |  | 185,410 | 2,150,577 | 680,496 | 1,434,219 | 272,632 | 6,979,612 |
| 2011 |  |  | 1,163,865 | 228,163 |  | 854,731 |  | 188,620 | 1,976,473 | 694,151 | 1,434,636 | 242,600 | 6,783,239 |
| 2012 |  |  | 1,219,665 | 239,913 |  | 681,399 |  | 194,324 | 1,928,982 | 733,789 | 1,509,940 | 6,226 | 6,514,238 |
| 2013 |  |  | 1,004,459 | 231,280 |  | 823,801 |  | 191,424 | 1,755,712 | 623,792 | 1,185,736 | 0 | 5,816,204 |
| 2014 |  |  | 1,138,507 | 217,037 |  | 531,456 |  | 167,902 | 1,926,612 | 603,068 | 1,353,080 | 0 | 5,937,622 |
| 2015 |  |  | 865,753 | 188,475 |  | 509,135 |  | 144,068 | 1,471,493 | 536,357 | 1,105,208 | 0 | 4,820,489 |
| 2016 |  |  | 938,740 | 174,701 |  | 560,803 |  | 136,536 | 1,465,317 | 500,602 | 1,041,513 | 0 | 4,817,695 |
| 2017 |  |  | 823,409 | 175,312 |  | 701,216 |  | 141,800 | 1,520,217 | 472,719 | 961,722 | 0 | 4,796,395 |

Table 3. Commercial harvest (numbers) of Atlantic striped bass by state and dead discards, 1990-2017. Source: 2016 stock assessment update for 1990-2015; state compliance reports for 2016-2017. ^Estimates exclude inshore harvest from A-R. * 2017 reported estimate is based on previous 10-year average (2007-2016).

| Year | ME | NH | MA | RI | CT | NY | NJ | DE | MD | PRFC | VA | $\mathrm{NC}^{\wedge}$ | Total | Commercial Discards |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 |  |  | 5,927 | 784 |  | 11,784 |  | 698 | 534 | 38,884 | 56,222 | 803 | 115,636 | 510,011 |
| 1991 |  |  | 9,901 | 3,596 |  | 15,426 |  | 3,091 | 31,880 | 44,521 | 44,970 | 413 | 153,798 | 327,167 |
| 1992 |  |  | 11,532 | 9,095 |  | 20,150 |  | 2,703 | 119,286 | 23,291 | 42,912 | 1,745 | 230,714 | 186,601 |
| 1993 |  |  | 13,099 | 6,294 |  | 11,181 |  | 4,273 | 211,089 | 24,451 | 39,059 | 3,414 | 312,860 | 347,839 |
| 1994 |  |  | 11,066 | 4,512 |  | 15,212 |  | 4,886 | 208,914 | 25,196 | 32,382 | 5,275 | 307,443 | 359,518 |
| 1995 |  |  | 44,965 | 19,722 |  | 43,704 |  | 5,565 | 280,051 | 29,308 | 88,274 | 23,325 | 534,914 | 515,454 |
| 1996 |  |  | 38,354 | 18,570 |  | 39,707 |  | 20,660 | 415,272 | 46,309 | 184,495 | 3,151 | 766,518 | 394,824 |
| 1997 |  |  | 44,841 | 7,061 |  | 37,852 |  | 33,223 | 706,847 | 87,643 | 165,583 | 25,562 | 1,108,612 | 216,745 |
| 1998 |  |  | 43,315 | 8,835 |  | 45,149 |  | 31,386 | 790,154 | 93,299 | 204,911 | 16,040 | 1,233,089 | 326,032 |
| 1999 |  |  | 40,838 | 11,559 |  | 49,795 |  | 34,841 | 650,022 | 90,575 | 205,143 | 21,040 | 1,103,812 | 236,619 |
| 2000 |  |  | 40,256 | 9,418 |  | 54,894 |  | 25,188 | 627,777 | 91,471 | 202,227 | 6,480 | 1,057,712 | 666,997 |
| 2001 |  |  | 40,248 | 10,917 |  | 58,296 |  | 34,373 | 549,896 | 87,809 | 148,346 | 22,936 | 952,820 | 310,900 |
| 2002 |  |  | 48,926 | 11,653 |  | 47,142 |  | 30,440 | 296,635 | 80,300 | 127,211 | 15,784 | 658,091 | 168,201 |
| 2003 |  |  | 61,262 | 15,497 |  | 68,354 |  | 31,531 | 439,482 | 83,091 | 161,777 | 13,823 | 874,817 | 261,974 |
| 2004 |  |  | 66,556 | 15,867 |  | 70,367 |  | 28,406 | 461,064 | 91,888 | 147,998 | 31,014 | 913,160 | 465,642 |
| 2005 |  |  | 65,332 | 14,949 |  | 70,560 |  | 26,336 | 569,964 | 80,615 | 119,244 | 26,573 | 973,572 | 798,544 |
| 2006 |  |  | 75,062 | 15,429 |  | 73,528 |  | 30,212 | 655,951 | 92,288 | 109,396 | 2,799 | 1,054,664 | 194,524 |
| 2007 |  |  | 57,634 | 13,934 |  | 78,287 |  | 31,090 | 598,495 | 86,695 | 140,602 | 16,621 | 1,023,358 | 606,599 |
| 2008 |  |  | 65,330 | 16,616 |  | 73,263 |  | 31,866 | 594,655 | 81,720 | 134,603 | 12,903 | 1,010,955 | 308,715 |
| 2009 |  |  | 63,875 | 20,725 |  | 82,574 |  | 21,590 | 618,076 | 89,693 | 138,303 | 8,675 | 1,043,512 | 611,944 |
| 2010 |  |  | 65,277 | 17,256 |  | 81,896 |  | 19,830 | 584,554 | 90,258 | 159,197 | 12,670 | 1,030,938 | 254,841 |
| 2011 |  |  | 63,309 | 14,344 |  | 87,349 |  | 20,517 | 490,969 | 96,126 | 148,063 | 10,814 | 931,490 | 617,457 |
| 2012 |  |  | 66,394 | 14,953 |  | 66,897 |  | 15,738 | 472,517 | 90,616 | 111,891 | 323 | 839,329 | 792,861 |
| 2013 |  |  | 62,570 | 13,825 |  | 76,206 |  | 17,679 | 399,118 | 78,006 | 117,697 | 0 | 765,101 | 525,581 |
| 2014 |  |  | 60,619 | 10,468 |  | 52,903 |  | 14,894 | 370,661 | 81,429 | 175,324 | 0 | 766,298 | 931,391 |
| 2015 |  |  | 42,250 | 11,325 |  | 44,809 |  | 10,990 | 300,929 | 69,981 | 139,750 | 0 | 620,034 | 299,566 |
| 2016 |  |  | 48,044 | 11,693 |  | 50,780 |  | 8,792 | 286,092 | 70,737 | 129,539 | 0 | 605,677 | 404,815 |
| 2017 |  |  | 41,222 | 10,106 |  | 61,569 |  | 9,517 | 267,165 | 67,539 | 135,458 | 0 | 592,576 | 535,377* |

Table 4. Recreational harvest (numbers) of Atlantic striped bass by state, 1990-2017. Source: MRIP query on June 11, 2018; estimates based on MRIP’s previous Coastal Household Telephone Survey. ^ North Carolina estimates are from the Atlantic Ocean only.

| Year | ME | NH | MA | RI | CT | NY | NJ | DE | MD | VA | NC^ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 2,912 | 617 | 20,515 | 4,677 | 6,082 | 24,799 | 44,878 | 2,009 | 736 | 56,017 | 0 | 163,242 |
| 1991 | 3,265 | 274 | 20,799 | 17,193 | 4,907 | 54,502 | 38,300 | 2,741 | 77,873 | 42,224 | 391 | 262,469 |
| 1992 | 6,357 | 2,213 | 57,084 | 14,945 | 9,154 | 45,162 | 41,426 | 2,400 | 99,354 | 21,118 | 967 | 300,180 |
| 1993 | 612 | 1,540 | 58,511 | 17,826 | 19,253 | 78,560 | 64,935 | 4,055 | 104,682 | 78,481 | 264 | 428,719 |
| 1994 | 3,771 | 3,023 | 74,538 | 5,915 | 16,929 | 87,225 | 34,877 | 4,140 | 199,378 | 127,945 | 7,426 | 565,167 |
| 1995 | 2,189 | 3,902 | 73,806 | 29,997 | 38,261 | 155,821 | 254,055 | 15,361 | 355,237 | 149,103 | 11,450 | 1,089,182 |
| 1996 | 1,893 | 6,461 | 68,300 | 60,074 | 62,840 | 225,428 | 127,952 | 22,867 | 337,415 | 244,746 | 17,136 | 1,175,112 |
| 1997 | 35,259 | 13,546 | 199,373 | 62,162 | 64,639 | 236,902 | 67,800 | 19,706 | 334,068 | 518,483 | 96,189 | 1,648,127 |
| 1998 | 38,094 | 5,929 | 207,952 | 44,890 | 64,215 | 166,868 | 88,973 | 18,758 | 391,824 | 383,786 | 45,773 | 1,457,062 |
| 1999 | 21,102 | 4,641 | 126,755 | 56,320 | 55,805 | 195,261 | 237,010 | 8,772 | 263,191 | 411,873 | 65,658 | 1,446,388 |
| 2000 | 62,186 | 4,262 | 181,295 | 95,496 | 53,191 | 270,798 | 402,302 | 39,543 | 506,462 | 389,126 | 20,452 | 2,025,113 |
| 2001 | 59,947 | 15,291 | 288,032 | 80,125 | 54,165 | 189,714 | 560,208 | 41,195 | 382,557 | 355,020 | 58,873 | 2,085,127 |
| 2002 | 71,907 | 12,857 | 308,749 | 78,190 | 51,060 | 202,075 | 416,455 | 29,149 | 282,429 | 411,248 | 109,052 | 1,973,171 |
| 2003 | 57,765 | 24,878 | 407,100 | 115,471 | 95,983 | 313,761 | 391,842 | 29,522 | 525,191 | 455,812 | 127,727 | 2,545,052 |
| 2004 | 48,816 | 8,386 | 445,745 | 83,990 | 102,844 | 263,096 | 424,208 | 25,429 | 368,682 | 548,768 | 230,783 | 2,550,747 |
| 2005 | 83,617 | 24,940 | 340,743 | 110,490 | 141,290 | 376,894 | 411,532 | 20,438 | 533,929 | 293,161 | 104,904 | 2,441,938 |
| 2006 | 75,347 | 13,521 | 314,987 | 75,811 | 115,214 | 367,835 | 509,606 | 20,159 | 669,140 | 547,482 | 79,023 | 2,788,125 |
| 2007 | 53,694 | 6,348 | 315,409 | 101,400 | 118,549 | 474,062 | 289,656 | 8,465 | 765,169 | 353,372 | 37,376 | 2,523,500 |
| 2008 | 59,152 | 5,308 | 377,959 | 51,191 | 108,166 | 685,589 | 309,411 | 26,934 | 415,403 | 401,155 | 25,750 | 2,466,018 |
| 2009 | 62,153 | 8,587 | 344,401 | 71,427 | 60,876 | 356,311 | 283,024 | 19,539 | 501,845 | 326,867 | 5,650 | 2,040,680 |
| 2010 | 17,396 | 5,948 | 341,045 | 70,108 | 92,806 | 538,374 | 320,413 | 16,244 | 457,898 | 102,405 | 23,778 | 1,986,415 |
| 2011 | 18,105 | 32,704 | 255,507 | 88,635 | 63,288 | 674,844 | 393,194 | 18,023 | 445,171 | 146,603 | 94,182 | 2,230,256 |
| 2012 | 11,624 | 14,498 | 377,931 | 61,537 | 64,573 | 424,522 | 168,629 | 25,399 | 262,143 | 134,758 | 0 | 1,545,614 |
| 2013 | 23,143 | 17,657 | 298,945 | 218,236 | 143,373 | 490,855 | 345,008 | 19,520 | 477,295 | 118,686 | 0 | 2,152,718 |
| 2014 | 20,750 | 6,415 | 277,138 | 103,516 | 86,763 | 409,342 | 225,910 | 8,774 | 583,028 | 67,486 | 0 | 1,789,122 |
| 2015 | 4,720 | 1,828 | 170,770 | 39,857 | 70,522 | 262,181 | 284,257 | 3,101 | 406,371 | 94,473 | 0 | 1,338,080 |
| 2016 | 10,557 | 4,325 | 131,793 | 58,247 | 48,830 | 290,423 | 271,451 | 2,442 | 595,902 | 110,504 | 0 | 1,524,474 |
| 2017 | 13,198 | 3,935 | 181,141 | 36,725 | 40,046 | 114,000 | 85,745 | 15,904 | 580,569 | 51,585 | 0 | 1,122,848 |

Table 5. Recreational harvest (pounds) of Atlantic striped bass by state, 1990-2017. Source: MRIP query on June 11, 2018; estimates based on
MRIP's previous Coastal Household Telephone Survey. ^ North Carolina estimates are from the Atlantic Ocean only.

| Year | ME | NH | MA | RI | CT | NY | NJ^ | DE | MD | VA | NC^ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 60,483 | 11,363 | 319,092 | 73,349 | 193,011 | 505,440 | 588,974 | 18,115 | 12,967 | 443,751 | 0 | 2,226,545 |
| 1991 | 58,177 | 6,731 | 440,605 | 496,723 | 125,309 | 1,053,589 | 643,571 | 25,501 | 456,954 | 333,743 | 3,091 | 3,643,994 |
| 1992 | 107,693 | 44,612 | 972,116 | 203,109 | 196,278 | 921,201 | 746,343 | 25,677 | 613,174 | 187,852 | 8,602 | 4,026,657 |
| 1993 | 11,953 | 28,115 | 1,113,446 | 292,428 | 400,067 | 1,575,938 | 874,296 | 52,540 | 794,853 | 505,742 | 1,701 | 5,651,079 |
| 1994 | 66,451 | 66,017 | 1,686,049 | 109,817 | 355,829 | 1,974,759 | 438,080 | 63,832 | 1,096,409 | 870,140 | 50,503 | 6,777,886 |
| 1995 | 45,933 | 67,992 | 1,504,390 | 436,058 | 671,647 | 3,296,025 | 3,141,222 | 175,347 | 2,057,450 | 955,822 | 73,663 | 12,425,549 |
| 1996 | 44,802 | 102,271 | 1,291,706 | 950,973 | 915,418 | 4,809,381 | 1,736,508 | 281,481 | 1,560,389 | 1,340,414 | 89,989 | 13,123,332 |
| 1997 | 185,178 | 206,904 | 2,891,970 | 927,919 | 920,465 | 4,449,564 | 821,784 | 232,186 | 1,962,947 | 2,813,471 | 301,683 | 15,714,071 |
| 1998 | 178,584 | 114,342 | 2,973,456 | 671,841 | 989,923 | 2,318,291 | 1,333,329 | 236,926 | 1,908,344 | 1,581,560 | 150,626 | 12,457,222 |
| 1999 | 98,623 | 84,255 | 1,822,818 | 886,666 | 824,031 | 3,171,344 | 3,342,372 | 100,541 | 1,137,940 | 1,741,857 | 268,026 | 13,478,473 |
| 2000 | 269,325 | 71,370 | 2,618,216 | 1,160,304 | 515,962 | 4,050,569 | 4,286,040 | 346,905 | 2,100,854 | 2,005,721 | 72,946 | 17,498,212 |
| 2001 | 290,233 | 223,072 | 3,644,561 | 1,138,974 | 628,044 | 2,996,805 | 5,341,867 | 382,498 | 2,072,943 | 2,140,713 | 284,449 | 19,144,159 |
| 2002 | 383,270 | 152,342 | 4,304,883 | 1,192,295 | 600,482 | 2,813,596 | 4,133,678 | 299,561 | 1,423,515 | 2,648,115 | 267,406 | 18,219,143 |
| 2003 | 253,910 | 281,549 | 5,120,554 | 1,502,455 | 1,537,899 | 4,687,685 | 4,545,515 | 303,909 | 2,975,437 | 2,789,745 | 772,981 | 24,771,639 |
| 2004 | 226,200 | 98,995 | 6,112,746 | 1,386,138 | 1,617,561 | 3,727,105 | 5,548,167 | 330,623 | 2,347,752 | 2,956,310 | 4,833,112 | 29,184,709 |
| 2005 | 381,058 | 281,114 | 5,097,821 | 1,732,581 | 2,173,638 | 5,537,432 | 5,958,454 | 286,777 | 4,612,417 | 1,996,840 | 2,164,859 | 30,222,991 |
| 2006 | 323,355 | 179,181 | 4,832,355 | 999,300 | 2,030,878 | 6,028,409 | 7,067,533 | 260,134 | 3,868,944 | 3,694,529 | 1,759,796 | 31,044,414 |
| 2007 | 232,328 | 68,142 | 5,136,580 | 1,584,354 | 1,468,499 | 7,913,817 | 3,718,451 | 99,800 | 3,504,041 | 2,392,258 | 876,707 | 26,994,977 |
| 2008 | 271,768 | 73,807 | 5,763,763 | 751,507 | 1,868,335 | 10,925,408 | 4,696,090 | 333,149 | 2,728,048 | 2,657,976 | 525,891 | 30,595,742 |
| 2009 | 329,064 | 113,705 | 4,786,895 | 1,123,434 | 835,970 | 5,004,604 | 4,238,319 | 275,410 | 4,278,145 | 1,791,058 | 160,922 | 22,937,526 |
| 2010 | 104,117 | 67,409 | 4,270,401 | 1,096,369 | 1,259,008 | 6,997,089 | 5,382,743 | 251,853 | 2,630,802 | 481,147 | 453,844 | 22,994,782 |
| 2011 | 91,705 | 370,798 | 3,504,522 | 1,257,302 | 758,623 | 8,969,762 | 6,197,026 | 241,149 | 2,640,309 | 1,160,914 | 2,042,981 | 27,235,091 |
| 2012 | 57,509 | 163,804 | 5,489,928 | 851,460 | 815,545 | 6,540,024 | 2,376,866 | 360,106 | 1,260,490 | 1,353,351 | 0 | 19,269,083 |
| 2013 | 102,437 | 233,039 | 4,193,416 | 3,043,251 | 2,286,969 | 8,624,422 | 4,945,069 | 253,062 | 2,203,319 | 526,306 | 0 | 26,411,290 |
| 2014 | 100,213 | 78,310 | 4,397,183 | 2,161,265 | 1,783,224 | 7,552,788 | 4,133,460 | 107,421 | 3,251,151 | 497,152 | 0 | 24,062,167 |
| 2015 | 63,878 | 30,614 | 2,701,724 | 798,394 | 1,262,377 | 4,620,923 | 5,145,204 | 34,808 | 3,095,910 | 430,360 | 0 | 18,184,192 |
| 2016 | 128,324 | 45,719 | 2,048,238 | 1,001,147 | 799,458 | 5,188,892 | 5,476,495 | 40,602 | 4,312,637 | 838,218 | 0 | 19,879,730 |
| 2017 | 160,529 | 37,695 | 2,325,778 | 974,602 | 512,959 | 2,258,259 | 1,725,147 | 435,518 | 3,541,718 | 294,433 | 0 | 12,266,638 |

Table 6. Commercial Discards, Recreational Releases and Recreational Dead Discards (numbers) of Atlantic striped bass by state, 1990-2017. Recreational data source: MRIP query on June 11, 2018; estimates based on MRIP's previous Coastal Household Telephone Survey. Commercial data source: 2016 stock assessment update. * 2017 reported estimate is based on previous 10-year average (2007-2016).

| Year | Commercial <br> Dead Discards | Recreational <br> Releases (B2) | Recreational ^ <br> Dead Discards | Total <br> Dead Discards |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | 510,011 | $1,653,594$ | 148,823 | 658,834 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | 327,167 | $3,061,047$ | 275,494 | 602,661 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 2}$ | 186,601 | $3,367,397$ | 303,066 | 489,667 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | 347,839 | $4,344,569$ | 391,011 | 738,850 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | 359,518 | $7,930,839$ | 713,776 | $1,073,293$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | 515,454 | $9,743,862$ | 876,948 | $1,392,401$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | 394,824 | $12,288,668$ | $1,105,980$ | $1,500,804$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | 216,745 | $15,718,341$ | $1,414,651$ | $1,631,396$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | 326,032 | $14,928,368$ | $1,343,553$ | $1,669,585$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | 236,619 | $12,514,721$ | $1,126,325$ | $1,362,944$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 666,997 | $16,808,809$ | $1,512,793$ | $2,179,790$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | 310,900 | $13,444,497$ | $1,210,005$ | $1,520,905$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | 168,201 | $13,693,056$ | $1,232,375$ | $1,400,577$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | 261,974 | $14,611,333$ | $1,315,020$ | $1,576,994$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | 465,642 | $17,053,333$ | $1,534,800$ | $2,000,442$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 798,544 | $18,078,899$ | $1,627,101$ | $2,425,645$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | 194,524 | $23,343,300$ | $2,100,897$ | $2,295,421$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | 606,599 | $16,110,023$ | $1,449,902$ | $2,056,501$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | 308,715 | $12,510,987$ | $1,125,989$ | $1,434,704$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | 611,944 | $7,970,813$ | 717,373 | $1,329,317$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 254,841 | $6,258,081$ | 563,227 | 818,068 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | 617,457 | $5,932,479$ | 533,923 | $1,151,380$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | 792,861 | $5,191,891$ | 467,270 | $1,260,131$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | 525,581 | $8,503,024$ | 765,272 | $1,290,853$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | 931,391 | $7,265,050$ | 653,855 | $1,585,246$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | 299,566 | $8,424,186$ | 758,177 | $1,057,743$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | 404,815 | $11,516,493$ | $1,036,484$ | $1,441,299$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $535,377^{*}$ | $12,003,813$ | $1,080,343$ | $1,615,720$ |

[^6]Table 7a. Results of 2017 Atlantic Coastal Commercial Quota Accounting in pounds. Source: 2018 state compliance reports.

| State | Add IV Quota $^{\dagger}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ Quota | 2017 harvest | overage | 2018 Quota |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maine* $^{*}$ | 188 | 188 | - |  | 188 |
| New Hampshire* | 4,313 | 4,313 | - |  | 4,313 |
| Massachusetts | 869,813 | 800,886 | 823,409 | 22,523 | 847,290 |
| Rhode Island | 182,719 | 181,540 | 175,312 |  | 181,572 |
| Connecticut** | 17,813 | 17,813 | - |  | 17,813 |
| New York | 795,795 | 795,795 | 701,216 |  | 795,795 |
| New Jersey** | 241,313 | 241,313 | - |  | 241,313 |
| Delaware | 145,085 | 145,085 | 141,800 |  | 145,085 |
| Maryland | 98,670 | 90,727 | 80,457 |  | 90,727 |
| Virginia | 138,640 | 136,141 | 133,874 |  | 138,640 |
| North Carolina | 360,360 | 360,360 |  |  | 360,360 |
| Coastal Total | $\mathbf{2 , 8 5 4 , 7 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 7 7 6 , 0 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 0 5 6 , 0 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 , 5 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 8 2 3 , 0 9 6}$ |

* Commercial harvest/sale prohibited, with no re-allocation of quota.
** Commercial harvest/sale prohibited, with re-allocation of quota to the recreational fishery.
$\dagger 25 \%$ reduction from Amendment 6 quota allocations. Quota reduced through conservation equivalency for MD (90,727 lbs ) and RI (181,572 lbs)

Table 7b. Results of 2017 Chesapeake Bay Commercial Quota Accounting in pounds. Source: 2018 state compliance reports.

| Jurisdiction | Add IV Quota | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ Quota | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ harvest | overage | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ Quota |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maryland | $1,471,888$ | $1,471,888$ | $1,439,760$ |  | $1,471,888$ |
| Virginia | $1,064,997$ | $1,064,997$ | 827,848 |  | $1,064,997$ |
| PRFC | 583,362 | 583,362 | 472,719 |  | 583,362 |
| Chesapeake Bay Total | $\mathbf{3 , 1 2 0 , 2 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 1 2 0 , 2 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 7 4 0 , 3 2 7}$ |  | $\mathbf{3 , 1 2 0 , \mathbf { 2 4 7 }}$ |

Table 8. Summary of Atlantic Striped bass commercial regulations in 2017. Source: 2018 State Compliance Reports. Minimum sizes and slot size limits are in total length (TL). *commercial quota reallocated to recreational bonus fish program

| STATE | SIZE LIMITS | SEASONAL QUOTA | OPEN SEASON |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ME | Commercial fishing prohibited |  |  |
| NH | Commercial fishing prohibited |  |  |
| MA | $34^{\prime \prime}$ minimum size | 869,813 lbs. Hook \& line only | 6.23 until quota reached, Monday and Thursdays only; 15 fish/day with commercial boat permit; 2 fish/day with rod and reel permit (striped bass endorsement required for both permits) |
| RI | Floating fish trap (FFT): <br> 26" minimum size <br> General category (GC; mostly rod \& reel): 34 " min. | Total: 181,449 lbs., split 39:61 between the FFT and GC. Gill netting prohibited. | FFT: 4.1 - 12.31, or until quota reached; unlimited possession limit until $70 \%$ of quota projected to be harvested, then $500 \mathrm{lbs} /$ day <br> GC: 5.29-8.31, 9.8-12.31, or until quota reached. <br> Closed Fridays and Saturdays during both seasons. |
| CT* | Commercial fishing prohibited; bonus program: 22-<28" slot size limit, 5.1-12.31 (voucher required) |  |  |
| NY | 28-38" minimum size (Hudson River closed to commercial harvest) | $795,795 \mathrm{lb}$. Pound nets, gill nets (68"stretched mesh), hook \& line. | $6.1-12.15$, or until quota reached. Limited entry permit only. |
| NJ* | Commercial fishing prohibited; bonus program: 1 fish at $24-<28^{\prime \prime}$ slot size limit, $9.1-12.31$ (permit required) |  |  |
| PA | Commercial fishing prohibited |  |  |
| DE | Gillnet: 28" minimum size, except $20^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{min}$ in Del. Bay and River during spring season. Hook and Line: 28 " min | Gillnet: 137,831 lbs. <br> Hook and line: 14,509 lbs. | Gillnet: 2.15-5.31 (2.15-3.30 for Nanticoke River) \& 11.15-12.31; drift nets only 2.15-2.28 \& 5.1-5.31; no fixed nets in Del. River. No trip limit. Hook and Line: 4.1-12.31, $200 \mathrm{lbs} /$ day trip limit |

(Table 8 continued - Summary of commercial regulations in 2017)

| STATE | SIZE LIMITS | SEASONAL QUOTA | OPEN SEASON |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MD | Ocean: 24" minimum <br> CB and Rivers: 18-36" | Ocean: 90,727 lbs. <br> CB and Rivers: 1,471,888 lbs. (part of Baywide quota). | Ocean: 1.1-5.31, 10.1-12.31, Mon- Fri <br> Bay Pound Net: 6.1-12.30, Mon-Sat <br> Bay Haul Seine: 6.1-12.29, Mon-Fri <br> Bay Hook \& Line: 6.1-12.28, Mon-Thu <br> Bay Drift Gill Net: 1.2-2.28, 12.1-12.29, Mon-Thu |
| PRFC | 18-36" slot size limit 2.15- <br> 3.25 and $18^{\prime \prime}$ minimum <br> size all other seasons | 583,362 lbs. (part of Bay-wide quota). Allocated by gear and season. | Hook \& line: 1.1-3.25, 6.1-12.31 <br> Pound Net \& Other: 2.15-3.25, 6.1-12.15 <br> Gill Net: 1.1-3.25, 11.13-12.31 <br> Misc. Gear: 2.15-3.25, 6.1-12.15 |
| DC | Commercial fishing prohibited |  |  |
| VA | Bay and Rivers: $18^{\prime \prime}$ min size, and $18-28^{\prime \prime}$ slot size limit 3.26-6.15 Ocean: 28" min | Bay and Rivers: 1,064,997 lbs. (part of Baywide quota). Ocean: 136,141 lbs. ITQsystem for both areas. | Bay and Rivers: 1.16-12.31 <br> Ocean: 1.16-12.31 |
| NC | Ocean: 28" | 360,360 lbs. (split between gear types). Number of fish allocated to each permit holder. Allocation varies by permit. | Seine fishery was open for 120 days, 150 fish/permit Gill net fisher was open for 45 days, 50 fish/permit Trawl fishery was open for 70 days, 100 fish/permit |

Table 9. Summary of Atlantic Striped bass recreational regulations in 2017. Source: 2018 State Compliance Reports. Minimum sizes and slot size limits are in total length (TL).

| STATE | SIZE LIMITS | BAG LIMIT | GEAR RESTRICTIONS | OPEN SEASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ME | $\geq 28^{\prime \prime}$ minimum size | 1 fish/day | Hook \& line only; circle hooks only when using live bait | All year, except spawning areas are closed 12.1 <br> - 4.30 and catch and release only 5.1-6.30 |
| NH | $\geq 28^{\prime \prime}$ minimum size | 1 fish/day | Gaffing and culling prohibited | All year |
| MA | $\geq 28^{\prime \prime}$ minimum size | 1 fish/day | Hook \& line only; no high-grading | All year |
| RI | $\geq 28^{\prime \prime}$ minimum size | 1 fish/day | None | All year |
| CT | $\geq 28^{\prime \prime}$ minimum size | 1 fish/day | Spearing and gaffing prohibited | All year |
| NY | Ocean and Delaware River: 28" minimum size Hudson River: 18-28" slot limit, or $\geq 40$ " | 1 fish/day | Angling only. Spearing permitted in ocean waters. Catch and release only during closed season. | Ocean: 4.15-12.15 <br> Hudson River: 4.1 - 11.30 <br> Delaware River: All year |
| NJ | 1 fish at 28 to $<43^{\prime \prime}$, and 1 fish $\geq 43^{\prime \prime}$ |  |  | Closed $1.1-2.28$ in all waters except in the Atlantic Ocean, and $4.1-5.31$ in the lower Delaware River and tributaries (spawning ground closure) |
| PA | Upstream from Calhoun St Bridge: 1 fish at $\geq 28^{\prime \prime}$ minimum size, year round Downstream from Calhoun St Bridge: 1 fish at $\geq 28^{\prime \prime}$ minimum size, $1.1-3.31$ and $6.1-12.31$ 2 fish at 21-25" slot size limit, 4.1-5.31 |  |  |  |
| DE | $28^{\prime \prime}$ minimum size, no harvest 38-43" (inclusive) | 2 fish/day | Hook \& line, spear (for divers) only. Circle hooks required in spawning season. | All year except 4.1-5.31 in spawning grounds (catch \& release allowed). In Del. River, Bay \& tributaries, may only harvest 20-25"slot from 7.1-8.31 |

(Table 9 continued - Summary of recreational regulations in 2017). SF = Susquehanna Flats; C\&R = catch and release

| STATE | SIZE LIMITS | BAG LIMIT | OTHER | OPEN SEASON |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MD | Ocean: 28-38" slot limit or $\geq 44^{\prime \prime}$ <br> CB Spring Trophy: $35^{\prime \prime}$ minimum size CB Summer/Fall^: $20^{\prime \prime}$ minimum size and only one fish can be $>28^{\prime \prime}$ | Ocean: 2 fish/day <br> CB Spring Trophy: 1 fish/day CB Summer/Fall^: 2 fish/day | See compliance report for specifics. | Ocean: All year <br> CB: C\&R only 1.1-4.14^ <br> CB Spring Trophy: 4.15-5.15 <br> Bay Summer/Fall: 5.16-12.20 |
| PRFC | Spring Trophy: $35^{\prime \prime}$ minimum size Summer/Fall: $20^{\prime \prime}$ minimum size and only 1 fish can be $>28^{\prime \prime}$ | Trophy: 1 fish/day Summer/Fall: 2 fish/day | No more than two hooks or sets of hooks for each rod or line | Spring Trophy: 4.15-5.15 Summer/Fall: 5.16-12.31 |
| DC | $20^{\prime \prime}$ minimum size and only one fish can be >28" | 2 fish/day | Hook \& line only | 5.16-12.31 |
| VA | Ocean: 28" <br> Ocean Trophy: 36" minimum size <br> CB Trophy: $36^{\prime \prime}$ minimum size CB Spring: 20-28" (with 1 fish >36") CB Fall: 20" minimum size and only one fish can be >28" | Ocean: 1 fish/day Ocean Trophy: 1 fish/day <br> Bay Trophy: 1 fish/day <br> Bay Spring: 2 fish/day <br> Bay Fall: 2 fish/day | Hook \& line, rod \& reel, hand line only. Gaffing is illegal in Virginia marine waters. No possession in the spawning reaches of the Bay during trophy season | Ocean: 1.1-3.31, 5.16-12.31 Ocean Trophy: 5.1-5.15 <br> Bay Trophy: 5.1-6.15 <br> Bay Spring: 5.16-6.15 <br> Bay Fall: 10.4-12.31 |
| NC | Ocean: 281 min size | Ocean: 1 fish/day | No gaffing allowed. | Ocean: All year |

${ }^{\wedge}$ in Susquehanna Flats and Northeast River: C\&R only from 1.1-5.3 and 1 fish/day at 20-26" slot size limit from 5.16-5.31

Table 10. Status of Commercial Tagging Programs by state for 2017.

| State | Number of Participants | Number of Tags Issued | Number of Tags Used | Point of Tag (sale/harvest) | ${ }^{1}$ Biological Metric (Y/N) | Year, State and Unique ID on Tag (Y/N) | Size Limit on Tag (Y/N) | Tag Colors | Annual Tag Color Change (Y/N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MA | 111 | 65,500 | 41,222 | Sale | Y | Y | Y | one tag color | Y |
| RI | 29 | 13,661 | 10,106 | Sale | Y | Y | N | two tag colors by gear | Y |
| NY | 434 | 74,759 | 61,569 | Harvest | Y | Y | N | One tag color | Y |
| DE* | $\begin{gathered} 111 \text { (gill net) } \\ 129 \text { (H\&L) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 16,715 \\ 1,935 \end{gathered}$ | 9,517 | Both | Y | Y | N | Harvest: two tag colors by gear Sale: one color | Y |
| MD | 917 | 472,120 | 309,867 | Harvest | Y | Y | N | Three tag colors by gear and permit | Y |
| PRFC | 348 | 78,545 | 67,539 | Harvest | $Y$ | $Y$ | N | Five tag colors by gear | N |
| VA | 409 | 151,200 | 135,458 | Harvest | Y | Y | Y | two tag colors by area | Y |
| $\mathrm{NC}^{\wedge}$ | 69 | 26,200 | 22,045 | Sale | Y | Y | Y | Three tag colors by area | N |

${ }^{1}$ States are required to allocate commercial tags to permit holders based on a biological metric. Most states used the average weight per fish from the previous year, or some variation thereof. Actual biological metric used is to be included in State Annual Commercial Tag Reports.

* The number of tags issued represent the combined total from tags used by harvesters and weigh stations, such that each fish has two tags
$\wedge$ All commercial tags were used in the internal waters of North Carolina

Table 11. Status of compliance with monitoring and reporting requirements in 2017. JAI = juvenile abundance index survey, $\mathrm{SSB}=$ spawning stock biomass survey, tag = participation in coastwide tagging program, $\mathrm{Y}=$ compliance standards met, $\mathrm{N}=$ compliance standards not met, NA = not applicable, $R=$ recreational, $C=$ commercial

| Jurisdiction | Fishery-independent <br> monitoring | Fishery-dependent monitoring |  | Annual <br> reporting |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Requirement(s) | Status | Requirement(s) | Status | Status |
|  | JAI | Y | composition, catch and effort (R) | NA | Y |
| NH | NA | NA | composition, catch and effort (R) | NA | Y |
| MA | tag | Y | composition, catch \& effort (C\&R), tag program | Y | Y |
| RI | NA | NA | composition (C\&R), catch \& effort (R), tag program | Y | Y |
| CT | NA | NA | composition, catch \& effort (R) | Y | Y |
| NY | JAI, SSB, tag | Y | composition, catch \& effort (C\&R), tag program | Y | Y |
| NJ | JAI, tag | Y | composition, catch \& effort (R) | Y | Y |
| PA | SSB | Y | composition, catch and effort (R) | NA | Y |
| DE | SSB, tag | Y | composition, catch \& effort (C), tag program | Y | Y |
| MD | JAI, SSB, tag | Y | composition, catch \& effort (C\&R), tag program | Y | Y |
| PRFC | NA | NA | composition, catch \& effort (C\&R), tag program | Y | Y |
| DC | NA | NA | composition, catch and effort (R) | NA | Y |
| VA | JAI, SSB, tag | Y | composition, catch \& effort (C\&R), tag program | Y | Y |
| NC | JAI, SSB, tag | Y | composition, catch \& effort (C\&R), tag program | Y | Y |

## X. Figures

Figure 1. Atlantic striped bass spawning stock biomass (SSB) and recruitment estimates (age-1 fish), and biological reference points, 1982-2015. Source: 2016 Stock Assessment Update


Figure 2. Atlantic striped bass fishing mortality rate (F) estimates, and biological reference points, 1983-2015. Source: 2016 Stock Assessment Update


Figure 3. Albemarle/Roanoke striped bass female spawning stock biomass and recruitment (abundance of age-1), and biological reference points, 1982-2014. Source: Stock Status of Albemarle Sound-Roanoke River Striped bass, 2016


Figure 4. Albemarle-Roanoke striped bass fishing mortality (F) estimates, and biological reference points, 1982-2014. Source: Stock Status of Albemarle Sound-Roanoke River Striped bass, 2016.


Figure 5. Total removals in millions of fish by sector, 1982-2017. Recreational data source: MRIP query on June 11, 2018; estimates based on MRIP's previous Coastal Household Telephone Survey. Commercial data source: 2016 stock assessment update for 1990-2015; state compliance reports for 2016-2017. Estimates exclude inshore harvest from A-R.


Figure 6. Commercial landings, in pounds, of migratory Striped bass, by state, 1990-2017.
Source: 2016 stock assessment update for 1990-2015; state compliance reports for 2016-2017. Commercial harvest and sale prohibited in ME, NH, CT, and NJ. NC is ocean only.


Figure 7. Recreational catch, harvest and the proportion of fish released, 1982-2017. Source: MRIP query on June 11, 2018; estimates based on MRIP's previous Coastal Household Telephone Survey. North Carolina estimates are from the Atlantic Ocean only.


Figure 8. Juvenile abundance index analysis for Maine, New York, Jew Jersey, Maryland, Virginia, and North Carolina. Source: Annual State Compliance Reports. Q1 = first quartile, which is the value that is below $75 \%$ of all values in a specified time series. An open bar in the last three years indicates a value below the Q1 threshold.



[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The 1997 reauthorization of the Striped Bass Act also required the Secretaries of Commerce and Interior provide a biennial report to Congress highlighting the progress and findings of studies of migratory and estuarine Striped Bass. The ninth such report was recently provided to Congress (Shepherd et al. 2017).

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ While NOAA Fisheries continues to implement a complete ban on the fishing and harvest of striped bass in the EEZ, Amendment 6 includes a recommendation to consider reopening the EEZ to striped bass fisheries. In September 2006,

[^2]:    NOAA Fisheries concluded that it would be imprudent to open the EEZ to striped bass fishing because it could not be certain that opening the EEZ would not lead to increased effort and an overfishing scenario, and due to the inability to immediately respond to an overfishing or overfished situation (e.g., the lag time in which a given year's data is available to management).

[^3]:    ${ }^{3}$ Recreational catch estimates are based on MRIP's Coastal Household Telephone Survey, not the new mail-based survey.
    ${ }^{4}$ The 2017 commercial dead discards estimate was not available at the time of this report, therefore the PRT used the previous 10-year (2007-2016) average of 535,377 fish in the interim.
    ${ }^{5}$ Maryland also landed the largest proportion of the total recreational harvest by weight (29\%) in 2017, followed by Massachusetts (19\%), New York (18\%), New Jersey (14\%), and Connecticut and Delaware each at 4\%.

[^4]:    ${ }^{6}$ All coastal jurisdictions were required to implement regulations to achieve a $25 \%$ reduction from 2013 removals, and Chesapeake Bay fisheries implemented regulations to achieve a $20.5 \%$ reduction from 2012 removals.
    ${ }^{7}$ Analysis uses 2012 removals as the base period for the Chesapeake Bay and 2013 removals as the base period for coastal fisheries, as specified in Addendum IV. Also, 2017 commercial discards are compared to 2013 commercial discards which are essentially equal to each other.

[^5]:    - ${ }^{1}$ The Fish and Wildlife Service has archived otolith samples from known-age (CWT-tagged), stocked fish, for which scale ages were derived as well. These fish were collected during past Cooperative Winter Tagging Cruises and the otoliths, once aged, will increase our sample size, and since these are known-age fish, will also allow an examination of extent that which reader error affects both otolith age, and scale age.
    - ${ }^{2}$ Literature search and some modeling work completed.
    - ${ }^{3}$ Work ongoing in New York through the Hudson River Angler Diary, Striped Bass Cooperative Angler Program, and ACCSP e-logbook.
    - ${ }^{4}$ Model developed, but the tagging data overwhelms the model. Issues remain with proper weighting.
    - ${ }^{5}$ Model developed with Chesapeake Bay and the rest of the coast as two fleets. However, no tagging data has been used in the model.
    - ${ }^{6}$ Work ongoing by Striped Bass Tagging Subcommittee to evaluate the best years to use for the IRCR and the periods to use for the MARK models.
    - ${ }^{7}$ Gear specific survival being examined in Hudson River.
    - ${ }^{8}$ Ongoing work by the Striped Bass Tagging Subcommittee
    - ${ }^{9}$ Ongoing through Cooperative Winter Tagging Cruise and striped bass charter boat tagging trips. See Cooperative Winter Tagging Cruise 25 Year Report, in preparation.
    - ${ }^{10}$ Plans for a stomach content collection program in the Chesapeake Bay by the Chesapeake Bay Ecological Foundation.
    - ${ }^{11}$ Ongoing in New York.
    - ${ }^{12}$ Significant habitat designations completed in the Hudson River and New York Marine Districts.
    - ${ }^{13}$ Samples collected from two size groups ( $\geq 28$ inches and 20-26 inches) in Pennsylvania and processed by the Department of Environmental Protection to compare contamination of the two size groups.

[^6]:    ^ Dead discards are estimated by multiplying the number of released fish by a mortality rate of 9\%.

