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## Executive Summary

Atlantic striped bass from Maine through North Carolina are managed under Amendment 6 to the Interstate Fishery Management Plan, and Addendum I to Amendment 6. A second addendum to the plan is being considered.

Stock status was last estimated in 2007; the stock was not overfished and overfishing was not occurring in 2006. An updated stock assessment is due in 2009, including data through 2008. The juvenile abundance indices will also be reviewed during the assessment process.

Total striped bass harvest in 2008 is estimated at 3.05 million fish or 32.87 million pounds, a decrease of $5 \%$ by number and an increase of $11 \%$ by weight from 2007. Recreational anglers harvested 2.05 million fish ( 25.69 million pounds) in 2008, while commercial fishermen harvested 1.01 million fish ( 7.19 million pounds). Dead discards for the recreational fishery are estimated at 1.07 million fish; commercial dead discards in 2008 will be estimated during the stock assessment update.

All states have implemented management programs consistent with Amendment 6. Substantial management changes in 2008 occurred to three recreational fisheries: the opening of a catch and keep fishery in the Susquehanna Flats (MD); the lifting of the Chesapeake Bay spring trophy fishery quota; and the alignment of District of Columbia regulations with the neighboring jurisdiction's regulations. Two states exceeded their coastal commercial quotas in 2008, although the Chesapeake Bay quota was not exceeded. Monitoring of the fisheries received substantial law enforcement attention in 2008.

All states have implemented monitoring programs consistent with Amendment 6. However, several states are late in analyzing data and/or reporting information to the Commission. Requirements by state vary, and may include commercial catch, effort, and catch composition data; recreational catch, effort, and catch composition data; juvenile abundance surveys; and spawning stock surveys.

Management and research recommendations are provided.
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## I. Status of the Fishery Management Plan

## Date of FMP Approval:

Amendments:
Management Unit:

States With Declared Interest:
Additional Jurisdictions:

Active Boards/Committees:

Original FMP: October 1981
Amendment 6: February 2003 (active January 2004)
Migratory stocks of Atlantic striped bass from Maine through North Carolina

Maine - North Carolina, including Pennsylvania
District of Columbia, Potomac River Fisheries Commission, National Marine Fisheries Service, United States Fish and Wildlife Service

Atlantic Striped Bass Management Board, Advisory Panel, Technical Committee, Stock Assessment Subcommittee, Tagging Subcommittee, Plan Review Team, and Plan Development Team

Under the Atlantic Striped Bass Conservation Act (P.L. 98-613), implementation of the Fishery Management Plan (FMP) is mandatory. Compliance with the FMP is monitored by the Commission's Striped Bass Management Board (Board) and Striped Bass Plan Review Team (PRT). Amendment 6 to the FMP was approved in February 2003, fully implemented by January 1, 2004, and completely replaces all previous Commission plans for Atlantic striped bass.

Amendment 6 was developed to address five limitations within the previous management program: potential inability to prevent the Amendment 5 exploitation target from being exceeded; perceived decrease in availability or abundance of large striped bass in the coastal migratory population; a lack of management direction with respect to target and threshold biomass levels; inequitable effects of regulations on the recreational and commercial fisheries, and coastal and producer area sectors; and excessively frequent changes to the management program.

Amendment 6 established biological reference points (BRPs) to define overfished and overfishing status. Overfished status is defined by a threshold female spawning stock biomass (SSB) comparable to the 1995 level, with a target female SSB of 125 percent the threshold. Overfishing is defined by a threshold fishing mortality rate (F) of Fmsy, with a target F based on the plan's objective to maintain an age structure for long-term sustainability. (The Chesapeake Bay and Albemarle-Roanoke stocks operate under a separate target F; see next paragraph.) The BRPs form the basis of a list of triggers for appropriate management response if reached.

The striped bass fisheries are managed with regulations set to achieve the target fishing mortality rate. Most recreational fisheries are constrained by a two fish creel limit, 28 inch minimum size limit, and year-round fishing season except in spawning areas. Through Management Program Equivalency, Amendment 6 allows a smaller minimum size limit (18 inches) in the Chesapeake Bay and Albemarle Sound/Roanoke River with the penalty of a lower target F.

Commercial striped bass fisheries are constrained by minimum size limits and state-by-state quotas. The same minimum size standards regulate the commercial fisheries as the recreational
fisheries, except for a 20 inch size limit in the Delaware Bay spring gillnet fishery. Amendment 6 restored the coastal commercial quotas to the average reported landings from 1972-1979, except for Delaware's coastal commercial quota, which remains at the level allocated in 2002. The responsible jurisdictions set quotas for the Chesapeake Bay and Albemarle Sound/Roanoke River commercial fisheries based on the areas' target F.

States are permitted the flexibility to deviate from these standards by submitting proposals for review by the Striped Bass Technical Committee, Advisory Panel, and Plan Review Team and contingent upon the approval of the Management Board. Alternative proposals must be "conservationally equivalent" to the management standards. This practice has resulted in a variety of regulations among states (see Tables 1 and 2).

Addendum I to Amendment 6 was approved and implemented in October 2007. The addendum establishes a bycatch monitoring and research program to increase the accuracy of data on striped bass discards, as required by Amendment 6, and also recommends that states, through the Commission if possible, develop a web-based angler education program on fishing techniques known to reduce post-release hooking mortality. The bycatch program establishes a suite of mandatory and voluntary data collection standards, discard mortality studies, and Technical Committee analyses for commercial, recreational, and for-hire fisheries.

The Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) has been closed to the harvest and possession of striped bass since 1990, with the exception of a defined route to and from Block Island in Rhode Island. A recommendation was made in Amendment 6, and submitted to the Secretary of Commerce, to re-open federal waters to commercial and recreational fisheries. Starting in July 2003 and continuing for several years, NOAA Fisheries took steps in the rulemaking process to consider the proposal. In September 2006, NOAA Fisheries concluded that it would be imprudent to open the EEZ to striped bass fishing and chose not to proceed further in its rulemaking.

## II. Status of the Stocks

The most recent striped bass stock assessment was conducted by the Striped Bass Technical Committee, Stock Assessment Subcommittee, and Tagging Subcommittee in 2007 and includes data through 2006 (NEFSC 2008a, NEFSC 2008b). Two models were included as the main models for stock assessment: the age-based statistical catch-at-age (SCA) model, and the tagbased catch equation (CE) model. Based on the results of both models and comparison to the BRPs, the assessment team determined that striped bass were not overfished nor experiencing overfishing in 2006.

The assessment was peer reviewed through the 46th Northeast Regional Stock Assessment Workshop (Murphy and others 2008). The Stock Assessment Review Committee (SARC) found each term of reference to have been met and endorsed the results of the assessment. Of the candidate assessment models, the SARC found that, the SCA model "best estimated parameters that could be judged against the current biological benchmarks... Based on these, the SARC agreed with the assessment team's stock status determination that striped bass is not currently overfished and overfishing is not occurring. Fishing mortality has increased in recent years and is currently (data up to and including 2006) at or very near the target level."

The SARC also advised the assessment team to: 1) reconsider the ratio of male to female fish used in the estimation of female SSB; 2) re-estimate the F threshold (Fmsy) based on data and stock estimates from the SCA model; and 3) link the female SSB target and threshold to the SCA model's 1995 SSB estimate. The assessment team undertook this work and in August 2008, the Board approved updated Amendment 6 biological reference points (see table below), as well as improved estimates of female SSB for 1982-2006 (developed with an empirical sex ratio). With these new estimates, the status of striped bass remains not overfished and not experiencing overfishing (Figures 1 and 2).

| Striped Bass Biological Reference Points |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female Spawning Stock Biomass | Fully-Recruited Fishing Mortality |
| Threshold | SSB $_{1995}=30,000$ metric tons | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {msy }}=0.34$ |
| Target | SSB $_{\text {threshold }} \times 1.25=37,500$ metric tons | 0.30 |

Spawning stock biomass and recruitment estimates were derived from the SCA model. Female SSB for 2006 is estimated at 40,639 metric tons (mt), well above the threshold SSB, as well as the target SSB (Figure 1). Female SSB grew steadily through 2003, when it peaked at $51,277 \mathrm{mt}$, but has since declined. This trend may reverse when several strong year classes enter the ages included in SSB. The 2003 cohort is the strongest in the time series. Recruitment of the 2005 cohort was estimated at 10.04 million age- 1 fish, which is near the average age- 1 recruitment observed since the stocks were declared recovered in 1995.

Coastwide fishing mortality rate (F) estimates are available from the SCA model and tag-based CE model (Figure 2). The F estimates from both models are for fully recruited fish, estimated as the average F on ages $8-11$ fish for the SCA model, and the average F on fish 28 inches plus for the CE model. The F estimates from the SCA and CE models show similar increasing trends from the late 1980s to the late 1990s, followed by declines through 2002. After 2002, Fs from the SCA model increase to 0.31 in 2006, while Fs from the CE model remain relatively flat, estimated at 0.16 in 2006. Only the terminal estimate of F from the SCA model exceeds the Ftarget. Results from retrospective analysis of the SCA, as well as the CE model results, suggest that the 2006 F estimate may be overestimated and could decrease below Ftarget with the addition of future data. As previously mentioned, the SARC endorsed the SCA model for determining stock status.

Because Amendment 6 implemented a distinct management program for the Chesapeake Bay with a fishing mortality target of 0.27 , the assessment also includes a separate estimate of fishing mortality for the area. The CE model was used with Maryland and Virginia tagging data. Chesapeake Bay F estimates range from 0.0 to 0.16 throughout the time series (1987-2006), and is estimated at 0.14 in 2006.

The CE model, which allows for a variable natural mortality, also provides program-specific estimates of F for the eight tagging programs participating in the USFWS Atlantic coastwide striped bass tagging program. Among the "producer area" programs (Delaware River, Hudson River, Potomac River and Upper Chesapeake Bay, and Rappahannock River), the 2006 stockspecific estimates of $F$ for fully recruited fish ranged from 0.16 to 0.28 . Among the coastal programs (Massachusetts, North Carolina, New Jersey Delaware Bay, and New York), the same estimates ranged from 0.11 to 0.19 .

Population estimates are available from both the SCA and CE models. The estimate of total abundance for January 1, 2007 from the SCA model was 55.8 million age- 1 and older fish. This estimate is about 9.0 million fish lower than the peak in 2004, and 3.2 million fish lower than the average population size of the previous 10 years. From the CE model, the 2006 population estimates were 47.9 million age $3+$ fish and 13.0 million age $7+$ fish. These tag-based estimates are higher than the SCA estimates, which were 38.7 million age $3+$ fish and 7.8 million age $7+$ fish.

## III. Status of the Fishery

Total striped bass harvest in 2008 is estimated at 3.05 million fish ( 32.87 million pounds; Tables 3-6). The commercial and recreational fisheries harvested 33 and 67 percent by number and 22 and 78 percent by weight, respectively. Total harvest decreased by $5 \%$ by number and increased by $11 \%$ by weight from 2007 .

In 2008, the recreational fishery harvested an estimated 2.05 million fish ( 25.69 million pounds), compared to the 2007 harvest of 2.19 million fish ( 22.58 million pounds; Tables 5 and 6 ). Recreational releases decreased by over 5 million fish to 11.85 million fish, for an estimated 1.07 million dead discarded fish ( $9 \%$ of releases; Table 7). Total recreational removals in 2008 (harvest and dead discards combined) decreased by over $16 \%$ from the previous year. New York harvested $21.9 \%$ of the coastwide recreational landings in number of fish, followed by Maryland (21.6\%), Massachusetts (16.8\%), New Jersey (15.6\%), and Virginia (10.1\%). The remaining states each landed less than $6 \%$ of the 2008 recreational harvest by number of fish. Massachusetts released $30.7 \%$ of the coastwide recreational releases in number of fish, followed by Connecticut (20.0\%), New Jersey (12.3\%), Maryland (11.9\%), and New York (10.8\%). The remaining states produced less than four percent of the coastwide releases each.

The commercial fishery landed an estimated 1.01 million fish ( 7.19 million pounds) in 2008, compared to the 2007 harvest of 1.02 million fish ( 7.05 million pounds; Tables 3 and 4 ). The Chesapeake Bay jurisdictions dominated the 2008 commercial harvest; by pounds, Maryland landed $32.4 \%$, Virginia landed $23.9 \%$, and PRFC landed $8.5 \%$. Elsewhere along the coast, Massachusetts landed $16.1 \%$ and New York 9.1\%. North Carolina, Delaware, and Rhode Island each landed between less than approximately $4 \%$ of the total commercial landings by pounds. Estimates of commercial dead discards in 2007 and 2008 are currently unavailable. In 2006, commercial dead discards numbered 216,753 fish (Figure 3).

See Figure 4 for the number of fish removed by commercial and recreation harvest and dead discards from 1982 to 2008 (except 2007 and 2008 commercial dead discards).

## IV. Status of Assessment Advice

The benchmark 2007 Atlantic striped bass stock assessment was favorably peer reviewed at the $46^{\text {th }}$ SAW. The SARC identified several topics deserving special attention or improvement in future assessments, including: examining sensitivity of assessment results to discard estimates and improving those estimates; age determination for striped bass older than about age 10 ; extracting more information out of the young-of-year indices; employing better methods of
averaging multiple survey indices; using regional surveys to get direct information about differences in recruitment levels for the sub-stocks of the fishery; and better standardization of state surveys (NEFSC 2008a).

The 2007 benchmark assessment will be updated in 2009 with data through 2008.

## V. Status of Research and Monitoring

The management plan requires certain jurisdictions to implement fishery-dependent monitoring programs for striped bass. All jurisdictions with commercial fisheries (Massachusetts, Rhode Island, New York, Delaware, Maryland, Virginia, PRFC, and North Carolina) or significant recreational fisheries (Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Connecticut, New York, New Jersey, Maryland, Virginia, and PRFC) are required to define the catch composition of these fisheries. Jurisdictions with significant commercial fisheries (Massachusetts, New York, Maryland, Virginia, and PRFC) and those agencies monitoring recreational fisheries (NOAA Fisheries, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Connecticut, New York, New Jersey, Maryland, Virginia, and PRFC) are required to gather representative catch and effort data for these fisheries.

In addition to fishery-dependent monitoring programs, the management plan requires certain states to monitor the striped bass population independent of the fishery. Juvenile abundance indices are required from Maine (Kennebec River), New York (Hudson River), New Jersey (Delaware River), Maryland (Chesapeake Bay tributaries), Virginia (Chesapeake Bay tributaries), and North Carolina (Albemarle Sound). Spawning stock sampling is mandatory for New York (Hudson River), Pennsylvania (Delaware River), Delaware (Delaware River), Maryland (Upper Chesapeake Bay and Potomac River), Virginia (Rappahannock River and James River), and North Carolina (Roanoke River and Albemarle Sound). Amendment 6 requires NOAA Fisheries, USFWS, Massachusetts, New York, New Jersey, Maryland, Virginia, and North Carolina to continue their tagging programs, which provide data used to determine survivorship and migration patterns.

## VI. Status of Management Measures and Issues

## Status of Amendment 6

Amendment 6 and Addendum I to Amendment 6 provided the regulatory measures for the 2008 fishing year. Following Board acceptance of the 2007 stock assessment, potential issues for a second addendum were discussed in 2008. Further deliberation on initiating the addendum process was postponed until completion of the Amendment 6 BRP update, as recommended by the $46^{\text {th }}$ SARC. Discussion was further postponed while the Technical Committee evaluated the performance of the fishery with the management objectives in Amendment 6. Subsequently, the Management Board issued a list of seven tasks to the Technical Committee and the Committee on Economics and Social Sciences (CESS) in preparation of considering an addendum. In May 2009, when the Technical Committee provided final responses and the CESS provided its work plan for its task, the Board initiated the development of an addendum to consider options to roll over unused coastal commercial quota up to fifty percent. The Board will consider approving Draft Addendum II for public comment in August 2009.

## Coastal Commercial Quota

Table 8 shows a history of coastal commercial quotas and harvests since the implementation of Amendment 6. In 2008, four states had coastal commercial quotas lower than their Amendment 6 allocation due to quota overages in 2007 and/or conservation equivalencies related to minimum size limits: Massachusetts (overage), Rhode Island (overage and size limit), New York (size limit), and Maryland (size limit).

In 2008, two states exceeded their coastal commercial quotas and should have their 2009 quotas reduced accordingly (Table 8). Massachusetts exceeded its adjusted coastal commercial quota by 43,469 pounds, resulting in an adjusted 2009 quota of $1,116,281$ pounds. Rhode Island exceeded its adjusted coastal commercial quota by 6,689 pounds, for an adjusted 2009 quota of 233,274 pounds.

The Massachusetts and Rhode Island compliance reports document slightly different quotas adopted in 2009: 1,107,456 pounds in Massachusetts, and 233,629 pounds for Rhode Island. The PRT believes that this is a result of the states calculating their 2009 quotas on preliminary harvest estimates for 2008 because that is what is available at the time.

## Chesapeake Bay Quota

Amendment 6 implements a separate management program for the Chesapeake Bay due to the size availability of striped bass in this area. Based on a target fishing mortality rate of $\mathrm{F}=0.27$, a bay-wide quota for resident fish is established for the area. In 2008, the bay-wide quota was $10,015,705$ pounds. Shares are allocated to Maryland ( $\sim 52 \%$ ), the PRFC ( $\sim 15 \%$ ), and Virginia ( $\sim 33 \%$ ) based on historical harvest, and each jurisdiction then allocates portions of the quota to its recreational and commercial fisheries (Table 9). In 2008, the bay-wide harvest was over two million pounds less than the quota. The 2009 Bay quota will be the same as the 2008 quota.

## Chesapeake Bay Spring Trophy Fishery

Recreational fishermen in the Chesapeake Bay are permitted to take adult migrant fish during a limited seasonal fishery, commonly referred to as the Spring Trophy Fishery. Staring in 1993, the fishery has been controlled by a Board-approved harvest cap: 3,000 fish in 1993, 5,000 fish in 1994, 25,000 fish in 1995, and 30,000 fish in 1996-2003. From 2004 to 2006, quotas were based on the number of age $8+$ striped bass in the population, as determined by the VPA output, minus any overage from the previous year. For the 2007 season, the Board approved a target harvest of 30,000 fish (VPA calculated quota minus the 2006 overage, to be no less than 30,000 fish). The resulting harvest was 36,328 fish. The Board then approved non-quota management for the 2008 season, which resulted in a harvest of 36,166 fish (Table 10). Subsequently, Maryland proposed and the Board approved extending non-quota management until stock assessment indicates that corrective action is necessary to reduce F on the coastal stock.

## Law Enforcement

The Law Enforcement Committee reports that the FMP for striped bass is enforceable as written. Striped bass enforcement is a high priority with all Atlantic states and a significant amount of effort has been expended to obtain overall compliance. Joint Enforcement Agreements (JEAs) between NOAA-Office of Law Enforcement, United States Coast Guard, and individual states exist in all states of the management unit except North Carolina. The JEAs expanded enforcement efforts in the EEZ for the fourth year in 2008. The absence of a JEA between

NOAA-OLE, USCG, and North Carolina Marine Patrol prevents expanded EEZ patrols for striped bass violations. Enforcement of the Striped Bass FMP could be enhanced with this agreement.

Some states have been aggressively monitoring commercial catches and quota allocations. These investigations are difficult and time consuming but necessary to ensure a high level of compliance. The most notable of these cases involved a five year undercover operation in Maryland, Virginia, and the District of Columbia where Agents uncovered widespread commercial violations in the Potomac River watershed. The cases were prosecuted or resolved with several jail sentences, heavy fines, and seizures of licenses and equipment. Hundreds of thousands of pounds of striped bass were taken illegally and would not have been uncovered through uniformed patrols as they exist today. Other states have also made fairly significant cases involving charter and commercial enterprises. The work done is this area emphasizes the need for strong enforcement efforts supported by the fiscal support necessary to monitor catches and deter violations. Maryland and Virginia have taken steps to improve enforcement and monitoring as a result of the recent cases. All states should review their commercial regulations to ensure that implementation of the striped bass FMP is easily monitored for compliance.

## Juvenile Abundance Indices

In response to the suite of management triggers introduced in Amendment 6, the Technical Committee annually examines the trends in all required Juvenile Abundance Index (JAI) surveys. The Technical Committee is to recommend appropriate action to the Management Board if any JAI shows recruitment failure for three consecutive years. Recruitment failure is defined as a JAI lower than $75 \%$ of all other values in the dataset. The geometric mean is the preferred index of YOY striped bass abundance to model stock status.

The Technical Committee has not yet had the opportunity to examine the trends in the JAIs through 2008, but will do so at a meeting in September 2009. The Plan Review Team provides the following summary of the indices. The 2008 JAIs in Maine, New York, and New Jersey are above the time-series averages, although only the 2008 Maine JAI increased from 2007. The Maryland, Virginia, and North Carolina indices are below their time-series averages. While the 2007 Maryland and Virginia indices were above the time-series averages, 2008 marks the third year that the North Carolina index is below the time-series average, which should make technical review of the indices a priority matter.

## Albemarle/Roanoke Striped Bass FMP

The Interstate FMP for Atlantic Striped Bass requires North Carolina to inform the Commission of changes to striped bass management in the Albemarle Sound/Roanoke River (A/R) System. North Carolina must adhere to the compliance criteria in Amendment 6. After a Technical Committee review, the PRT previously determined that North Carolina's FMP complies with the mandatory components of Amendment 6.

The A/R System is managed jointly for striped bass by the North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Division of Marine Fisheries, which manages the Albemarle Sound Management Area (ASMA), and the North Carolina Wildlife Resources Commission, Division of Inland Fisheries, which manages the Roanoke River Management Area (RRMA). The 2004 FMP, which updated the 1994 FMP, set a target fishing mortality rate equal
to 0.22 and threshold spawning stock biomass equal to 400,000 pounds for the $A / R$ System. The annual total allowable catch ( 550,000 pounds in 2008) is allocated evenly between the recreational and commercial fisheries, with $25 \%$ for the RRMA recreational fishery, $25 \%$ for the ASMA recreational fishery, and $50 \%$ for the ASMA commercial fishery.

Total 2008 harvest in the A/R System is estimated as 144,279 pounds, over 400,000 pounds below the 2008 TAC. Each sector harvested within its quota allocation. An additional 150,771 pounds of estimated bycatch mortality are reported.

A stock assessment of the $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{R}$ striped bass stock is currently underway. The tagging model is being updated and a statistical catch at age assessment is also being conducted. The assessment is scheduled for peer review in late summer 2009. After peer review, Advisory Committees will convene to initiate a revision of the NC Estuarine Striped Bass FMP.

## VII. Annual State Compliance

Based on the annual state compliance reports, the Plan Review Team determines that each state/jurisdiction implemented a management program for 2008 that was approved by the Striped Bass Management Board and was consistent with the requirements of Amendment 6. (See Tables 1 and 2 for state-by-state regulations.) The Plan Review Team notes, however, that several states submitted reports past the June 15 due date (see Table 11).

Following Board approval (where necessary), the following regulatory changes occurred in 2008:

- Maryland adopted a catch and keep recreational fishery in the Susquehanna Flats. The fishery operates from May 16 to May 31 with a one fish limit and $18-26$ " slot limit. Harvest is counted towards the bay-wide quota. Circle hooks are required when fishing with a baited hook with a gap less than $1 / 2$ ".
- The Chesapeake Bay Spring Trophy Fishery quota was eliminated for a one year, trial season. In 2008, the Maryland trophy fishery operated from April 19 to May 13 with a 1 fish limit and 28 " minimum size limit. The PRFC implemented complementary measures, while Virginia maintained its 1 fish and 32" minimum size limit from May 1 through June 15.
- The District of Columbia changed its 2008 fishing regulations to match the neighboring PRFC regulations, that is a recreational hook and line fishery from May 16 to December 31,2 fish limit, 18 " minimum, and 28 " maximum for one fish.

Following Board approval, the following regulatory changes are occurring in 2009:

- Pennsylvania adopted a 20-26" slot limit with a 2 fish creel limit in April and May in the Delaware River from the PA/DE state line upstream to the Calhoun Street Bridge. The $28 "$ minimum size limit and 2 fish creel limit are in effect the remainder of the year.
- Delaware adopted a 20-26" slot limit with a 2 fish creel limit in July and August in its portion of the Delaware Bay, River, and tributaries. A survey of the sex ratio of landed fish will be conducted as proposed. The 28 " minimum size limit and 2 fish creel limit are in effect the remainder of the year, except the closure on the spawning grounds from April through May.
- The Chesapeake Bay Spring Trophy Fishery quota was eliminated until stock assessment indicates that corrective action is necessary to lower the coastal stock fishing mortality rate. The fishery operates under a 1 fish limit and 28 " minimum size limit from the third Saturday in April until May 15.
Additionally, New York indicated that it is contemplating a proposal to increase the 18 " minimum size limit to 28 " or adopt a slot limit in the Hudson River.

Amendment 6 includes compliance requirements for monitoring programs (summarized in Section V). Compliance with these requirements is summarized in Table 11. The PRT found that all states carried out the required monitoring programs in the 2008 fishing year, albeit with some delays.

Amendment 6 also requires states to submit annual law enforcement activity reports, which detail the effort and success involved in enforcing striped bass regulations in each jurisdiction. Having received reports on 2008 for each jurisdiction in the management unit, the Commission's Law Enforcement Coordinator submitted one Law Enforcement Report on the striped bass fishery. The Law Enforcement Report is summarized in Section VI of this report.

## VIII. Recommendations

## Management Recommendations

- The 2009 coastal commercial quotas for Massachusetts and Rhode Island should be lowered by the amounts the states harvested in excess of their 2008 quotas (Table 8). Quota adjustments should be based on the most accurate harvest data, even if it means a state has to revise its coastal commercial quota mid-year. The procedure for quota adjustments should be more clearly defined.
- Several states have reported divergent estimates of recreational harvest in pounds taken directly from the Marine Recreational Fisheries Statistics Survey (MRFSS) and from the conversion of MRFSS harvest in numbers to weight via a template used for the assessment data. The Stock Assessment Subcommittee should address this issue at the earliest possible opportunity, preferably before the next stock assessment.


## Research Recommendations

## STOCK ASSESSMENT AND POPULATION DYNAMICS

## High Priority

- Develop method to integrate catch-at-age and tagging models to produce a single estimate of F and stock status (ongoing, G. Nelson).
- Develop a spatial and temporal catch at age model incorporating tag-based movement information.
- Develop methods for combining tag results from programs releasing fish from different areas on different dates.
- Examine potential biases associated with the number of tagged individuals, such as gearspecific mortality (associated with trawls, pound nets, gill nets, and electrofishing), taginduced mortality, and tag loss.
- Continue improvements to statistical catch-at-age model as recommended by $46{ }^{\text {th }}$ SARC (e.g., include error from catch estimates, fit each sector of removals individually, run additional diagnostics, account for spatial differences in indices, incorporate stockrecruitment relationship).
- Review model averaging approach to estimate annual fishing mortality with tag-based models; review validity and sensitivity to year groupings.


## Medium Priority

- Improve methods for determining population sex ratio for use in estimates of spawning stock biomass and biological reference points.
- Evaluate the overfishing definition relative to uncertainty in biological parameters.
- Develop studies to provide information on gear-specific discard morality rates and to determine the magnitude of bycatch mortality (ongoing, G. Nelson).
- Develop refined and cost-efficient fisheries-independent coastal population index for striped bass stocks.
- Examine methods to estimate annual variation in natural mortality (ongoing, Striped Bass Tagging Subcommittee).
- Examine causes of different tag-based survival estimates among programs estimating similar segments of the population.
- Evaluate truncated matrices and covariate-based tagging models.
- Develop reliable estimates of poaching loss from striped bass fisheries.
- Develop maturity ogive applicable to coastal migratory stock.
- Improve estimates of striped bass harvest removals in coastal areas during wave 1 and in inland waters of all jurisdictions year-round.
- Develop tag-based reference points.


## Low Priority

- Develop simulation models to look at the implications of overfishing definitions relative to development of a striped bass population that will provide "quality" fishing. Quality fishing must first be defined.
- Examine issues with time saturated tagging models for the $\geq 18$ inch length group.


## RESEARCH AND DATA NEEDS

High Priority

- Continue in-depth analysis of migrations, stock compositions, etc. using mark-recapture data (ongoing, e.g., Cooperative Winter Tagging Cruise 20 Year Report, W. Laney)
- Continue evaluation of striped bass dietary needs and relation to health condition.
- Develop field or modeling studies to aid in estimation of natural mortality or other factors affecting the tag return rate.


## Medium Priority

- Continue to conduct research to determine limiting factors affecting recruitment and possible density implications.
- Evaluate the percentage of fishermen using circle hooks.
- Conduct study to calculate the emigration rates from producer areas now that population levels are high and conduct multi-year study to determine inter-annual variation in emigration rates.


## Low Priority

- Determine inherent viability of eggs and larvae.
- Conduct additional research to determine the pathogenicity of the IPN virus isolated from striped bass to other warm water marine species, such as flounder, menhaden, shad, and largemouth bass.


## Habitat Recommendations

A comprehensive list of habitat research, conservation, and restoration recommendations is provided in Greene et al., 2009.
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## X. Figures

Figure 1. Striped Bass Spawning Stock Biomass Estimates and Biological Reference Points


Figure 2. Striped Bass Fishing Mortality Estimates and Biological Reference Points
Notes: The $46^{\text {th }}$ SARC preferred the statistical catch at age (SCA) model estimates for comparison to biological reference points. Estimates from the tag-based catch equation (CE) model, as well as retrospective estimates of F from the SCA model, indicate that the 2006 F estimate from the SCA model may be overestimated and could decline below the F target with the addition of future years of data.


Figure 3. 2006 Striped Bass Total Catch (>6.1 million fish) (Source: 2007 Stock Assessment)


Figure 4. Commercial and Recreational Harvest and Dead Discards, 1982-2008
Sources: NMFS Fisheries Statistics Division, State Reports, and 2007 Stock Assessment Notes: No 2007 and 2008 commercial dead discard estimates available


## XI. Tables

Table 1. Summary of Atlantic Striped Bass Commercial Fishery Regulations for 2008

| STATE | SIZE LIMITS | SEASONAL QUOTA | OPEN SEASON |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ME | Commercial fishing prohibited |  |  |
| NH | Commercial fishing prohibited |  |  |
| MA | 34 " min. | $1,116,653 \mathrm{lb} .$ <br> Hook \& line only | 7.12 - 9.9; 5 fish/day on Sun; 30 fish/day Tues-Thurs |
| RI | Trap: 26" min. <br> General category: 34" min. | Total: 239,299 lb. <br> Split 39:61 between trap and general category. | Trap: 1.1-8.26, when $80 \%$ caught, 500 $\mathrm{lb} /$ trap/day; 8.27-12.31, $10,000 \mathrm{lb}$. quota set-aside available. <br> General: 6.1-8.31 or 75\% quota; 9.1-12.31 or quota full; 5 fish/day Sun-Thu |
| CT | Commercial fishing prohibited |  |  |
| NY | $24-36 "$ <br> Ocean only | $828,293 \mathrm{lb}$. for pound nets, gill nets ( $6-8$ "stretched mesh), and hook \& line. | $7.1-12.15$ <br> (Gill nets $<6$ or $>8$ ", 7 fish/trip; trawls 21 fish/trip) |
| NJ | Commercial fishing prohibited |  |  |
| PA | Commercial fishing prohibited |  |  |
| DE | $28^{\prime \prime}$ minimum except 20 " spring gillnet in DE Bay/River \& Nanticoke River (5.5" max mesh \& 0.28 mm max twine) | 193,447 lb. | Gillnet: 2.15-5.31 (3.1-31 for Nanticoke) \& 11.15-12.31; drift nets only $2.15-29$ \& 5.1-31; no fixed nets in DE River Hook and Line: 4.1-12.31 <br> Except 4.1-5.31 closed spawning areas |
| MD | Bay and Rivers: 18 " $-36^{\prime \prime}$ <br> Ocean: 24" | Bay and Rivers Quota: 2,254,831 lbs (with gear specific quotas and landing limits) <br> Ocean: $126,396 \mathrm{lb}$. (with landings limit) | Bay Pound Net: 6.2-11.29, Mon-Sat Bay Haul Seine: 6.9-11.29, Mon-Fri Bay Hook \& Line: 6.16-11.27, Mon-Thu Bay Drift Gill Net: 1.1-2.29, 12.1-12.31, Mon-Fri <br> Ocean Drift Gill Net \& Trawl: 1.1-4.30, 11.2-12.31, Mon-Fri |
| PRFC | $\begin{aligned} & 18^{\prime \prime} \text { min all year } \\ & 36^{\prime \prime} \text { max } 2.15-3.25 \end{aligned}$ | $848,580 \mathrm{lb}$. quota | Hook \& line: 2.15-3.25, 6.1-12.31 <br> Pound Net \& Other: 2.15-3.25, 6.1-12.15 <br> Gill Net: 1.1-3.25 <br> Other: 2.15-3.25, 6.1-12.15 |
| DC | Commercial fishing prohibited |  |  |
| VA | Bay and Rivers: 18 " min, 28" max 3.26-6.15 Ocean: 28 " minimum | Bay and Rivers Quota: 1,642,242 lb. <br> Ocean Quota: 184,853 lb. | Bay and Rivers: 2.1-12.31 <br> Ocean: 2.1-12.31 |
| NC | Albemarle Sound: 18 " Ocean: $28^{\prime \prime}$ | Albemarle Sound: 275,000 lb Ocean: 480,480 lb. | Albemarle Sound: 1.1-4.30, 10.1-11.30 (daily trip limits ranging from 5-15 fish) Ocean: set via proclamation with trip limits |

Table 2. Summary of Atlantic Striped Bass Recreational Regulations for 2008

| STATE | SIZE LIMITS | BAG LIMIT | OPEN SEASON | OTHER |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ME | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { fish } 20-26^{\prime \prime} \\ & \text { OR } 1 \text { fish } \geq 40^{\prime \prime} \end{aligned}$ | 1 fish | All year except 12.1-4.30 in spawning areas, with catch \& release only 5.1-6.30 | Hook \& line only |
| NH | 1 fish $28-40$ " \& 1 fish $28^{\prime \prime}$ min | 2 fish | All year | No netting; no gaffing |
| MA | $28^{\prime \prime}$ min | 2 fish | All year | Hook \& line only |
| RI | $28^{\prime \prime}$ min | 2 fish | All year |  |
| CT | $28^{\prime \prime}$ min | 2 fish | All year |  |
| NY | Ocean Private: 1 fish $28-40 " \& 1$ fish $>40$ " Ocean Charter: $28 "$ min Hudson: $18^{\prime \prime}$ min DE River: $28^{\prime \prime}$ min | Ocean: 2 fish <br> Hudson: 1 fish <br> DE River: 2 fish | Ocean: 4.15-12.15 <br> Hudson River: 3.16-11.30 <br> Delaware River: All year | Angling or spearing only |
| NJ | 28 " min | 2 fish, plus 1 additional through Bonus Program | All year except 1.1-2.28 in intracoastal waters plus 4.1-5.31 in lower DE River | No netting; bonus program quota: $321,750 \mathrm{lb}$ |
| PA | $28^{\prime \prime}$ min | 2 fish | All year except 1.1-2.28 \& 4.1-5.31 in tidal DE River |  |
| DE | $28^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{min}$ | 2 fish | All year except 4.1-5.31 in spawning grounds | Hook \& line, spear (divers) only |
| MD | Susquehanna Flats: 1826" <br> Chesapeake Bay <br> Trophy: 28" min <br> Chesapeake Bay <br> Regular: 18" min, $1>28$ " <br> Ocean: $28^{\prime \prime}$ min | Susquehanna Flats: 1 fish Chesapeake Bay Trophy: 1 fish Chesapeake Bay Regular 1: 2 fish Ocean: 2 fish | Susquehanna Flats: 3.1-5.31; catch \& release only 3.1-5.9 <br> Chesapeake Bay Trophy: 4.19-5.13 (most tribs closed) <br> Chesapeake Bay Regular: 5.1612.15 (most tribs closed until 6.1) Ocean: All year | Chesapeake Bay Quota (includes Susquehanna Flats, but not trophy season harvest): <br> 2,956,463 lb. |
| PRFC | Trophy: 28" <br> Regular: 18 " min, $1>28$ " | Trophy: 1 fish Regular: 2 fish | Trophy: 4.19-5.13 <br> Regular: 5.16-12.31 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Regular Quota: } \\ & 683,967 \mathrm{lb} \text {. } \end{aligned}$ |
| DC | $18^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{min}, 1>28^{\prime \prime}$ | 2 fish | 5.16-12.31 | Hook \& line only |
| VA | Trophy: 32" $\min$ (28" Potomac tribs) CB Spring: 18-28"; 1>32" <br> CB Fall \& Potomac Tribs: $18-28 " ; 1>34$ " Ocean: $28^{\prime \prime}$ | Trophy: 1 fish CB Spring: 2 fish <br> CB Fall: 2 fish (1 fish 12.21-31) <br> Potomac: 2 fish Ocean: 2 fish | Trophy: 5.1-5.15 (open 4.15 Potomac tribs), closed spawning areas <br> CB Spring: 5.16-6.15 (no fish $>32$ " in spawning areas) <br> CB Fall: 10.4-12.9, 12.21-31 <br> Potomac Tribs: 5.16-12.31 <br> Ocean: 1.1-3.31, 5.16-12.31 | Hook \& line, rod \& reel, hand line only <br> Chesapeake Bay Quota (excludes trophy harvest): $1,642,242 \mathrm{lb}$. |
| NC | Roanoke River: 2 fish 18-22" OR 1 fish 18 $22^{\prime \prime}$ and 1 fish $>27$ " Albemarle: 18 " min Ocean: 28 " min | Roanoke River: 2 fish <br> Albemarle Sound: <br> 3 fish <br> Ocean: 2 fish | Roanoke River: 3.1-4.30 <br> Albemarle Sound: 1.1 - 4.30, 10.112.31 <br> Ocean: All year | Roanoke River: 137,500 lb. <br> Albemarle Sound: $137,500 \mathrm{lb}$. |

Table 3. Commercial harvest (pounds) of migratory striped bass by state, 1982-2008
Source: State Compliance Reports

| Year | ME | NH | MA | RI | CT | NY | NJ | DE | MD | PRFC | VA | NC | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1982 |  |  | 643,100 | 270,300 | 6,000 | 470,900 |  | 25,700 | 478,000 | 136,053 | 53,683 | 92,462 | 2,176,198 |
| 1983 |  |  | 224,000 | 196,400 | 2,200 | 309,500 |  | 6,800 | 379,000 | 164,245 | 54,349 | 52,796 | 1,389,290 |
| 1984 |  |  | 107,200 | 54,500 | 2,000 | 595,300 |  |  | 816,000 | 783,140 | 15,351 | 14,501 | 2,387,992 |
| 1985 | 1,414 |  | 118,800 | 61,200 | 5,500 | 469,040 |  |  |  | 222,196 | 59,577 |  | 937,727 |
| 1986 |  |  | 97,300 | 11,100 |  | 1,100 |  |  |  | 29,370 | 1,205 |  | 140,075 |
| 1987 |  |  | 78,600 | 500 |  |  |  |  |  | 57,945 | 2,178 |  | 139,223 |
| 1988 |  |  | 79,553 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 115,251 | 62,095 |  | 256,899 |
| 1989 |  |  | 199,900 |  |  | 300 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 200,200 |
| 1990 |  | 37 | 148,000 | 4,000 |  | 81,870 |  | 6,509 | 2,887 | 169,060 | 267,735 | 9,797 | 689,895 |
| 1991 |  |  | 235,000 | 28,000 |  | 105,163 |  | 21,079 | 191,066 | 216,755 | 668,454 | 6,186 | 1,471,703 |
| 1992 |  |  | 239,200 | 39,000 |  | 226,611 |  | 17,795 | 552,451 | 127,398 | 204,338 | 27,702 | 1,434,495 |
| 1993 |  |  | 262,600 | 40,000 |  | 109,362 |  | 28,032 | 916,764 | 142,742 | 213,665 | 36,463 | 1,749,628 |
| 1994 |  |  | 199,600 | 39,810 |  | 171,279 |  | 33,897 | 884,970 | 149,891 | 204,124 | 92,605 | 1,776,176 |
| 1995 |  |  | 782,000 | 113,461 |  | 500,784 |  | 38,198 | 856,568 | 198,478 | 557,741 | 343,707 | 3,390,937 |
| 1996 |  |  | 696,815 | 122,562 |  | 504,350 |  | 117,560 | 1,523,293 | 346,834 |  | 55,771 | 3,367,185 |
| 1997 |  |  | 785,942 | 96,519 |  | 460,762 |  | 165,978 | 2,030,061 | 731,114 | 1,153,743 | 458,524 | 5,882,643 |
| 1998 |  |  | 822,000 | 94,663 |  | 484,900 |  | 163,169 | 2,368,393 | 726,179 | 1,476,502 | 308,068 | 6,443,874 |
| 1999 |  | 33 | 788,171 | 119,679 |  | 491,790 |  | 187,096 | 2,377,393 | 653,266 | 1,538,220 | 389,454 | 6,545,102 |
| 2000 |  |  | 779,736 | 111,812 |  | 542,659 |  | 140,634 | 2,411,554 | 666,001 | 1,883,856 | 162,736 | 6,698,988 |
| 2001 |  |  | 815,054 | 129,654 |  | 633,095 |  | 198,802 | 1,774,758 | 658,676 | 1,675,469 | 350,280 | 6,235,788 |
| 2002 |  |  | 924,870 | 129,172 |  | 518,573 |  | 160,560 | 1,852,634 | 521,048 | 1,592,910 | 299,508 | 5,999,275 |
| 2003 |  |  | 1,055,439 | 246,312 |  | 753,261 |  | 188,419 | 1,813,727 | 676,574 | 1,856,831 | 482,123 | 7,072,686 |
| 2004 |  | 20 3 | 1,206,305 | 245,204 |  | 741,668 |  | 181,974 | 1,899,539 | 772,333 | 1,668,307 | 604,824 | 7,320,357 |
| 2005 |  |  | 1,104,737 | 242,303 |  | 689,821 |  | 173,815 | 2,055,558 | 533,456 | 1,746,247 | 588,601 | 7,134,538 |
| 2006 |  |  | 1,312,168 | 238,797 |  | 688,446 |  | 185,987 | 2,207,350 | 673,508 | 1,413,914 | 63,458 | 6,783,628 |
| 2007 |  |  | 1,040,328 | 240,627 |  | 729,743 |  | 188,668 | 2,336,886 | 599,261 | 1,534,799 | 380,380 | 7,050,692 |
| 2008 |  |  | 1,160,122 | 245,988 |  | 653,100 |  | 188,719 | 2,326,023 | 611,789 | 1,714,564 | 288,410 | 7,188,715 |

Notes: All harvests are based on the calendar year.

Table 4. Commercial harvest (numbers) of migratory striped bass by state, 1982-2008, and annual dead discard estimates
Sources: State Compliance Reports (landings); 2007 Stock Assessment (dead discards)

| Year | ME | NH | MA | RI | CT | NY | NJ | DE | MD | PRFC | VA | NC | Total | Dead Discards |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1982 |  |  | 26,183 | 52,896 | 207 | 74,935 |  | 12,794 | 189,089 | 54,421 | 14,905 | 3,200 | 428,630 | 57,624 |
| 1983 |  |  | 9,528 | 48,173 | 83 | 66,334 |  | 5,806 | 147,079 | 63,171 | 15,962 | 1,405 | 357,541 | 40,127 |
| 1984 |  |  | 5,838 | 8,878 | 192 | 70,472 |  | 12,832 | 392,696 | 372,924 | 6,507 | 532 | 870,871 | 65,639 |
| 1985 | 90 |  | 7,601 | 7,173 | 350 | 52,048 |  | 1,359 |  | 82,550 | 23,450 |  | 174,621 | 62,734 |
| 1986 |  |  | 3,797 | 2,668 |  |  |  | 0 |  | 10,965 | 251 |  | 17,681 | 174,024 |
| 1987 |  |  | 3,284 | 23 |  |  |  | 0 |  | 9,884 | 361 |  | 13,552 | 125,066 |
| 1988 |  |  | 3,388 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 19,334 | 10,588 |  | 33,310 | 245,552 |
| 1989 |  |  | 7,402 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 7,402 | 338,827 |
| 1990 |  |  | 5,927 | 784 |  | 11,785 |  | 612 | 534 | 38,884 | 56,222 | 803 | 115,551 | 510,011 |
| 1991 |  |  | 10,400 | 3,596 |  | 15,064 |  | 3,091 | 31,880 | 44,521 | 44,970 | 413 | 153,935 | 327,167 |
| 1992 |  |  | 11,300 | 9,095 |  | 20,353 |  | 2,704 | 119,286 | 23,291 | 42,912 | 1,745 | 230,686 | 186,601 |
| 1993 |  |  | 13,000 | 6,294 |  | 11,185 |  | 4,373 | 211,089 | 24,451 | 39,059 | 3,414 | 312,865 | 347,839 |
| 1994 |  |  | 10,400 | 4,512 |  | 15,357 |  | 4,887 | 208,914 | 25,196 | 32,382 | 5,275 | 306,923 | 359,518 |
| 1995 |  |  | 41,200 | 19,722 |  | 43,705 |  | 5,520 | 280,051 | 29,308 | 88,274 | 23,325 | 531,105 | 515,454 |
| 1996 |  |  | 38,354 | 18,570 |  | 40,523 |  | 20,234 | 415,272 | 46,309 | 184,495 | 3,151 | 766,908 | 394,824 |
| 1997 |  |  | 44,841 | 7,061 |  | 37,594 |  | 32,785 | 656,416 | 87,643 | 165,583 | 25,562 | 1,057,485 | 216,743 |
| 1998 |  |  | 45,314 | 8,835 |  | 45,149 |  | 31,390 | 780,893 | 93,299 | 204,911 | 16,040 | 1,225,831 | 326,031 |
| 1999 |  |  | 40,838 | 11,559 |  | 49,914 |  | 34,841 | 650,022 | 90,575 | 205,143 | 21,010 | 1,103,902 | 236,620 |
| 2000 |  |  | 40,256 | 9,418 |  | 54,895 |  | 25,188 | 627,777 | 91,471 | 202,227 | 6,480 | 1,057,712 | 666,996 |
| 2001 |  |  | 40,248 | 10,917 |  | 58,296 |  | 34,373 | 538,808 | 87,809 | 148,346 | 22,936 | 941,733 | 310,900 |
| 2002 |  |  | 44,897 | 11,653 |  | 47,143 |  | 26,527 | 296,635 | 80,300 | 127,211 | 15,784 | 650,150 | 168,201 |
| 2003 |  |  | 55,433 | 15,497 |  | 68,354 |  | 31,530 | 587,438 | 83,090 | 161,778 | 13,823 | 1,016,943 | 262,078 |
| 2004 |  |  | 60,632 | 16,040 |  | 70,367 |  | 28,406 | 461,064 | 91,980 | 147,740 | 31,014 | 907,243 | 518,847 |
| 2005 |  |  | 59,473 | 14,949 |  | 70,560 |  | 26,336 | 578,989 | 80,615 | 119,258 | 26,572 | 976,752 | 776,951 |
| 2006 |  |  | 69,986 | 15,429 |  | 73,528 |  | 31,350 | 655,951 | 92,288 | 109,395 | 2,325 | 1,050,252 | 216,753 |
| 2007 |  |  | 54,266 | 13,934 |  | 78,287 |  | 31,090 | 598,495 | 86,608 | 139,627 | 16,838 | 1,019,145 | NA |
| 2008 |  |  | 61,076 | 16,599 |  | 73,263 |  | 31,866 | 594,655 | 81,728 | 134,603 | 13,369 | 1,007,159 | NA |

Note: All harvests are based on the calendar year.

Table 5. Recreational harvest (pounds) of migratory striped bass by state, 1982-2008
Source: NMFS Fisheries Statistics Division, 07.01.09

| Year | ME | NH | MA | RI | CT | NY | NJ | DE | MD | VA | NC | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1982 | 2,663 |  | 2,003,948 | 16,012 | 110,964 | 61,438 | 327,024 |  |  |  |  | 2,522,049 |
| 1983 | 13,031 | 7,061 | 248,917 | 16,340 | 310,798 | 275,033 | 1,662,403 | 29 | 149,351 |  |  | 2,682,963 |
| 1984 |  |  | 33,697 | 12,879 | 91,705 | 896,770 | 58,616 | 139,626 | 44,262 |  |  | 1,277,555 |
| 1985 | 140,951 |  | 224,788 |  | 41,144 | 210,815 | 190,555 |  | 8,825 | 3,585 |  | 820,663 |
| 1986 |  |  | 298,816 | 97,961 | 21,537 | 33,115 | 644,394 |  | 3,104 | 5,362 |  | 1,104,289 |
| 1987 |  | 2,987 | 269,459 | 69,793 | 13,307 | 278,578 | 159,556 |  | 40,818 | 19,976 |  | 854,474 |
| 1988 |  | 13,549 | 421,317 | 108,182 | 47,536 | 348,920 | 136,374 |  | 1,058 | 178,626 | 972 | 1,256,534 |
| 1989 | 15,221 |  | 295,227 | 59,346 | 100,688 | 236,730 | 25,520 |  |  |  |  | 732,732 |
| 1990 | 60,483 | 11,363 | 319,092 | 73,349 | 193,011 | 505,440 | 588,974 | 18,115 | 12,967 | 443,751 |  | 2,226,545 |
| 1991 | 58,177 | 6,731 | 440,605 | 496,723 | 125,309 | 1,053,589 | 643,571 | 25,501 | 456,954 | 333,743 | 3,882 | 3,644,785 |
| 1992 | 107,693 | 44,612 | 972,116 | 203,108 | 196,278 | 921,201 | 746,343 | 25,677 | 613,174 | 187,852 | 16,197 | 4,034,251 |
| 1993 | 11,953 | 28,115 | 1,113,446 | 292,429 | 400,067 | 1,575,938 | 874,296 | 52,540 | 794,853 | 505,742 | 3,029 | 5,652,408 |
| 1994 | 66,451 | 66,017 | 1,686,049 | 109,818 | 355,829 | 1,974,759 | 438,080 | 63,832 | 1,096,409 | 870,140 | 71,195 | 6,798,579 |
| 1995 | 45,933 | 67,992 | 1,504,390 | 436,061 | 671,647 | 3,296,025 | 3,141,222 | 175,347 | 2,057,450 | 955,822 | 158,096 | 12,509,985 |
| 1996 | 44,802 | 102,271 | 1,291,706 | 950,978 | 915,418 | 4,809,381 | 1,736,508 | 281,481 | 1,560,389 | 1,340,414 | 199,675 | 13,233,023 |
| 1997 | 185,178 | 206,904 | 2,891,970 | 927,921 | 920,465 | 4,449,564 | 821,784 | 232,186 | 1,962,947 | 2,813,471 | 607,978 | 16,020,368 |
| 1998 | 178,584 | 114,342 | 2,973,456 | 671,847 | 989,923 | 2,318,291 | 1,333,329 | 236,926 | 1,908,344 | 1,581,560 | 415,585 | 12,722,187 |
| 1999 | 98,623 | 84,255 | 1,822,818 | 886,668 | 824,031 | 3,171,344 | 3,342,372 | 100,541 | 1,137,940 | 1,741,857 | 556,922 | 13,767,371 |
| 2000 | 269,325 | 71,370 | 2,618,216 | 1,160,305 | 515,962 | 4,050,569 | 4,286,040 | 369,030 | 2,100,854 | 2,005,721 | 187,276 | 17,634,668 |
| 2001 | 290,233 | 223,072 | 3,644,561 | 1,138,978 | 628,044 | 2,996,805 | 5,341,867 | 382,498 | 2,072,943 | 2,140,713 | 608,617 | 19,468,331 |
| 2002 | 383,270 | 152,342 | 4,304,883 | 1,192,296 | 600,482 | 2,813,596 | 4,133,678 | 266,920 | 1,423,515 | 2,648,115 | 602,586 | 18,521,683 |
| 2003 | 253,910 | 281,549 | 4,889,036 | 1,502,455 | 1,251,538 | 3,409,573 | 4,258,557 | 292,167 | 2,808,923 | 2,789,745 | 848,416 | 22,585,869 |
| 2004 | 171,741 | 121,566 | 5,466,059 | 1,169,587 | 921,737 | 2,388,825 | 5,458,534 | 311,025 | 2,333,042 | 3,101,870 | 5,574,787 | 27,018,773 |
| 2005 | 322,996 | 291,662 | 5,093,748 | 1,590,072 | 1,643,946 | 3,936,227 | 3,793,471 | 254,018 | 3,533,652 | 2,655,119 | 2,195,043 | 25,309,954 |
| 2006 | 385,598 | 212,012 | 4,907,270 | 873,965 | 1,388,296 | 4,820,089 | 6,623,538 | 206,432 | 3,541,582 | 4,133,292 | 2,153,231 | 29,245,305 |
| 2007 | 316,331 | 73,283 | 4,784,948 | 1,407,549 | 1,718,924 | 5,767,505 | 2,441,469 | 112,071 | 3,178,237 | 1,729,112 | 1,048,581 | 22,578,010 |
| 2008 | 238,452 | 92,179 | 5,516,183 | 732,564 | 1,799,097 | 7,009,424 | 4,743,038 | 209,995 | 2,637,998 | 1,767,646 | 938,703 | 25,685,279 |

Table 6. Recreational harvest (numbers) of migratory striped bass by state, 1982-2008
Source: NMFS Fisheries Statistics Division, 07.01.09*

| Year | ME | NH | MA | RI | CT | NY | NJ | DE | MD | VA | NC | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1982 | 929 |  | 83,933 | 1,757 | 50,081 | 21,278 | 58,294 |  | 984 |  |  | 217,256 |
| 1983 | 7,212 | 4,576 | 39,316 | 1,990 | 42,826 | 43,731 | 127,912 | 135 | 31,746 |  |  | 299,444 |
| 1984 |  |  | 3,481 | 1,230 | 5,678 | 57,089 | 13,625 | 16,571 | 16,789 |  |  | 114,463 |
| 1985 | 11,862 |  | 66,019 | 670 | 15,350 | 23,107 | 13,145 |  | 2,965 | 404 |  | 133,522 |
| 1986 |  |  | 29,434 | 3,291 | 1,760 | 27,477 | 36,999 |  | 14,077 | 1,585 |  | 114,623 |
| 1987 |  | 90 | 10,807 | 2,399 | 522 | 14,191 | 9,279 |  | 4,025 | 2,442 |  | 43,755 |
| 1988 |  | 647 | 21,050 | 5,226 | 2,672 | 20,230 | 12,141 |  | 133 | 24,259 | 347 | 86,705 |
| 1989 | 738 |  | 13,044 | 4,303 | 5,777 | 12,388 | 1,312 |  |  |  |  | 37,562 |
| 1990 | 2,912 | 617 | 20,515 | 4,677 | 6,082 | 24,799 | 44,878 | 2,009 | 736 | 56,017 |  | 163,242 |
| 1991 | 3,265 | 274 | 20,799 | 17,193 | 4,907 | 54,502 | 38,300 | 2,741 | 77,873 | 42,224 | 391 | 262,469 |
| 1992 | 6,357 | 2,213 | 57,084 | 14,945 | 9,154 | 45,162 | 41,426 | 2,400 | 99,354 | 21,118 | 967 | 300,180 |
| 1993 | 612 | 1,540 | 58,511 | 17,826 | 19,253 | 78,560 | 64,935 | 4,055 | 104,682 | 78,481 | 264 | 428,719 |
| 1994 | 3,771 | 3,023 | 74,538 | 5,915 | 16,929 | 87,225 | 34,877 | 4,140 | 199,378 | 127,945 | 7,426 | 565,167 |
| 1995 | 2,189 | 3,902 | 73,806 | 29,997 | 38,261 | 155,821 | 254,055 | 15,361 | 355,237 | 149,103 | 11,450 | 1,089,182 |
| 1996 | 1,893 | 6,461 | 68,300 | 60,074 | 62,840 | 225,428 | 127,952 | 22,867 | 337,415 | 250,731 | 35,996 | 1,199,957 |
| 1997 | 35,259 | 13,546 | 199,373 | 62,162 | 64,639 | 236,902 | 67,800 | 19,706 | 334,068 | 518,483 | 96,189 | 1,648,127 |
| 1998 | 38,094 | 5,929 | 207,952 | 44,890 | 64,215 | 166,868 | 88,973 | 18,758 | 391,824 | 383,786 | 45,768 | 1,457,057 |
| 1999 | 21,102 | 4,641 | 126,755 | 56,320 | 55,805 | 195,261 | 237,010 | 8,772 | 263,191 | 411,873 | 65,658 | 1,446,388 |
| 2000 | 62,186 | 4,262 | 181,295 | 95,496 | 53,191 | 270,798 | 402,302 | 39,543 | 506,462 | 389,126 | 20,452 | 2,025,113 |
| 2001 | 59,947 | 15,291 | 288,032 | 80,125 | 54,165 | 189,714 | 560,208 | 41,195 | 382,557 | 355,020 | 58,876 | 2,085,130 |
| 2002 | 71,907 | 12,857 | 308,749 | 78,190 | 51,060 | 202,075 | 416,455 | 29,149 | 282,429 | 411,248 | 109,052 | 1,973,171 |
| 2003 | 57,765 | 24,878 | 407,100 | 115,471 | 95,983 | 313,761 | 391,842 | 29,522 | 525,191 | 455,812 | 127,727 | 2,545,052 |
| 2004 | 36,886 | 10,359 | 400,252 | 84,814 | 75,244 | 242,623 | 448,524 | 25,178 | 380,461 | 633,018 | 278,270 | 2,615,629 |
| 2005 | 68,638 | 26,026 | 368,422 | 112,918 | 114,965 | 298,387 | 327,016 | 19,955 | 490,275 | 403,792 | 104,997 | 2,335,391 |
| 2006 | 72,827 | 14,748 | 345,105 | 73,650 | 83,390 | 313,464 | 489,319 | 19,076 | 648,644 | 607,344 | 90,753 | 2,758,320 |
| 2007 | 71,443 | 7,070 | 347,102 | 102,112 | 109,856 | 370,722 | 206,275 | 10,095 | 679,024 | 245,691 | 45,502 | 2,194,892 |
| 2008 | 49,172 | 6,642 | 343,347 | 56,056 | 112,972 | 448,271 | 318,115 | 16,994 | 442,280 | 206,797 | 44,890 | 2,045,536 |

*Values for North Carolina (1996-2003) and Virginia (1996-2006) include Technical Committee estimates of wave 1 harvest.

Table 7. Recreational releases (numbers) of migratory striped bass by state, 1982-2008, and annual dead discard estimates
Source: NMFS Fisheries Statistics Division, 07.01.09

| Yea | ME | NH | MA | RI | CT | NY | NJ | DE | MD | VA | NC | Total | Dead Discards ${ }^{\wedge}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1982 | 687 |  | 6,441 | 2,551 | 643,187 | 12,297 | 87,648 |  | 30,376 |  |  | 783,187 | 70,487 |
| 1983 |  |  | 34,018 | 5,444 |  | 1,469 | 117,807 |  | 213,487 | 11,997 |  | 384,222 | 34,580 |
| 1984 | 1,887 |  | 98,405 | 85,135 | 31,176 | 40,469 | 52,930 |  | 104,095 | 8,775 |  | 422,872 | 38,058 |
| 1985 | 81,153 | 93 | 12,360 | 40,567 | 26,946 | 57,540 | 5,524 | 702 | 147,103 | 2,598 |  | 374,586 | 33,713 |
| 1986 | 4,379 |  | 442,298 | 2,014 | 10,494 | 123,842 |  |  | 390,063 | 7,528 |  | 980,618 | 88,256 |
| 1987 | 18,106 | 435 | 93,660 | 63,849 | 78,434 | 253,986 | 56,697 | 16,988 | 118,395 | 7,611 |  | 708,161 | 63,734 |
| 1988 | 4,528 | 6,699 | 209,632 | 23,347 | 25,532 | 92,611 | 486,306 | 2,455 | 132,250 | 5,631 |  | 988,991 | 89,009 |
| 1989 | 16,028 | 4,822 | 193,067 | 38,007 | 125,370 | 365,712 | 265,958 | 4,807 | 114,269 | 72,766 |  | 1,200,806 | 108,073 |
| 1990 | 12,542 | 15,518 | 339,511 | 67,509 | 89,490 | 265,099 | 254,384 | 14,411 | 420,084 | 175,046 |  | 1,653,594 | 148,823 |
| 1991 | 67,490 | 6,559 | 448,735 | 30,975 | 301,476 | 756,663 | 166,198 | 38,334 | 1,036,011 | 208,350 | 256 | 3,061,047 | 275,494 |
| 1992 | 31,177 | 27,613 | 779,814 | 120,410 | 292,259 | 799,149 | 413,506 | 36,932 | 749,959 | 115,899 | 679 | 3,367,397 | 303,066 |
| 1993 | 373,064 | 14,979 | 833,566 | 100,993 | 271,318 | 694,107 | 308,253 | 89,543 | 1,556,848 | 100,374 | 1,524 | 4,344,569 | 391,011 |
| 1994 | 363,703 | 43,501 | 2,102,514 | 138,989 | 489,967 | 1,132,707 | 568,047 | 103,992 | 2,785,392 | 197,022 | 5,005 | 7,930,839 | 713,776 |
| 1995 | 505,758 | 285,486 | 3,280,882 | 356,324 | 507,124 | 1,209,585 | 694,889 | 115,363 | 2,401,277 | 370,949 | 16,225 | 9,743,862 | 876,948 |
| 1996 | 1,626,705 | 292,820 | 3,269,746 | 314,336 | 1,051,612 | 1,436,091 | 776,165 | 99,372 | 2,545,238 | 759,916 | 116,667 | 12,288,668 | 1,105,980 |
| 1997 | 1,417,976 | 279,298 | 5,417,751 | 606,746 | 722,708 | 1,018,892 | 736,734 | 130,073 | 4,019,987 | 1,232,323 | 135,853 | 15,718,341 | 1,414,651 |
| 1998 | 691,378 | 243,301 | 7,184,358 | 613,421 | 1,026,192 | 884,626 | 488,319 | 185,016 | 2,641,680 | 796,372 | 173,704 | 14,928,367 | 1,343,553 |
| 1999 | 649,816 | 145,730 | 4,576,208 | 360,121 | 704,025 | 1,228,628 | 1,152,682 | 105,696 | 2,387,615 | 940,755 | 263,445 | 12,514,721 | 1,126,325 |
| 2000 | 942,593 | 209,606 | 7,382,031 | 541,516 | 926,367 | 1,373,069 | 885,289 | 151,838 | 3,244,731 | 1,022,040 | 129,729 | 16,808,809 | 1,512,793 |
| 2001 | 870,522 | 164,336 | 5,410,899 | 377,474 | 1,107,707 | 824,278 | 965,650 | 162,677 | 2,890,054 | 620,947 | 49,953 | 13,444,497 | 1,210,005 |
| 2002 | 1,392,200 | 238,003 | 5,718,984 | 530,402 | 696,976 | 588,155 | 715,099 | 114,650 | 2,928,589 | 706,729 | 63,269 | 13,693,056 | 1,232,375 |
| 2003 | 846,708 | 260,167 | 4,361,710 | 448,707 | 843,037 | 1,083,808 | 925,885 | 169,012 | 4,652,800 | 970,554 | 48,945 | 14,611,333 | 1,315,020 |
| 2004 | 748,388 | 196,806 | 5,891,661 | 669,975 | 1,079,304 | 1,492,703 | 1,323,535 | 151,179 | 3,738,523 | 1,767,596 | 230,356 | 17,290,026 | 1,556,102 |
| 2005 | 3,024,291 | 512,771 | 4,839,752 | 741,022 | 1,713,541 | 1,348,377 | 1,197,440 | 224,841 | 3,753,328 | 1,484,540 | 109,535 | 18,949,438 | 1,705,449 |
| 2006 | 4,062,579 | 567,892 | 8,657,473 | 1,356,245 | 1,682,372 | 1,578,167 | 2,101,816 | 245,682 | 3,895,798 | 1,689,642 | 37,713 | 25,875,379 | 2,328,784 |
| 2007 | 1,105,347 | 288,985 | 5,772,100 | 740,941 | 1,831,899 | 1,456,055 | 1,494,572 | 251,074 | 2,998,085 | 913,849 | 16,195 | 16,869,102 | 1,518,219 |
| 2008 | 470,237 | 83,533 | 3,641,258 | 435,629 | 2,372,205 | 1,277,102 | 1,452,248 | 260,733 | 1,405,613 | 442,048 | 14,002 | 11,854,608 | 1,066,915 |

$\wedge$ Dead discards are estimated by multiplying the number of released fish by a mortality rate of $9 \%$.

Table 8. Coastal Commercial Quotas and Harvests (pounds)

|  | Am 6 <br> Allocation | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ <br> Quota^ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ <br> Harvest | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ <br> Difference | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ <br> Quota | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ <br> Harvest | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ <br> Difference | 2005 <br> Quota | 2005 <br> Harvest | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ <br> Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MA | $1,159,750$ | $1,036,880$ | $1,055,439$ | 18,559 | $1,141,191$ | $1,206,305$ | 65,114 | $1,094,636$ | $1,104,737$ | 10,101 |
| RI | 243,625 | 242,159 | 246,312 | 4,153 | 243,625 | 245,204 | 1,579 | 242,046 | 242,303 | 257 |
| NY | $1,061,060$ | 828,293 | 753,261 | $-75,032$ | 828,293 | 741,668 | $-86,625$ | 828,293 | 689,821 | $-138,472$ |
| NJ+ | 321,750 | 321,750 | 121,410 | $-200,340$ | 321,750 | 81,870 | $-239,880$ | 321,750 | 29,866 | $-291,884$ |
| DE | 193,447 | 193,447 | 188,419 | $-5,028$ | 193,447 | 181,974 | $-11,473$ | 193,447 | 173,815 | $-19,632$ |
| MD | 131,560 | 126,936 | 98,149 | $-28,787$ | 126,936 | 115,453 | $-11,483$ | 126,936 | 46,871 | $-80,065$ |
| VA | 184,853 | 184,853 | 159,786 | $-25,067$ | 184,853 | 160,301 | $-24,552$ | 184,853 | 184,734 | -119 |
| NC~ | 480,480 | 480,480 | 434,369 | $-46,111$ | 526,591 | 421,645 | $-104,946$ | 480,480 | 454,521 | $-25,959$ |


|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ <br> Quota | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ <br> Harvest | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ <br> Difference | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ <br> Quota* | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ <br> Harvest | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ <br> Difference | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ <br> Quota | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ <br> Harvest | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ <br> Difference | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ <br> Quota |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MA | $1,149,649$ | $1,312,168$ | 162,519 | 997,231 | $1,040,328$ | 43,097 | $1,116,653$ | $1,160,122$ | 43,469 | $1,116,281$ |
| RI | 243,368 | 238,797 | $-4,571$ | 239,963 | 240,627 | 664 | 239,299 | 245,988 | 6,689 | 233,274 |
| NY | 828,293 | 688,446 | $-139,847$ | 828,293 | 729,743 | $-98,550$ | 828,293 | 653,100 | $-175,193$ | 828,293 |
| NJ+ | 321,750 | 23,656 | $-298,094$ | 321,750 | 13,615 | $-308,135$ | 321,750 | 7,345 | $-314,405$ | 321,750 |
| DE | 193,447 | 185,987 | $-7,460$ | 193,447 | 188,668 | $-4,779$ | 193,447 | 188,719 | $-4,728$ | 193,447 |
| MD | 126,396 | 91,093 | $-35,303$ | 126,396 | 96,301 | $-30,095$ | 126,396 | 118,005 | $-8,391$ | 126,936 |
| VA | 184,853 | 194,934 | 10,081 | 174,772 | 165,587 | $-9,185$ | 184,853 | 164,400 | $-20,453$ | 184,853 |
| NC~ | 480,480 | 352,036 | $-128,444$ | 480,480 | 424,723 | $-55,757$ | 480,480 | 299,162 | $-181,318$ | 480,480 |

${ }^{\wedge}$ Beginning in 2003, NY and MD quotas reduced due to conservation equivalency; MA and RI quotas reduced in 2003 due to quota overages in previous year.

* Beginning in 2007, RI quota reduced due to conservation equivalency.
+ NJ quota applied to recreational bonus fish program
$\sim$ NC harvests and quotas are for the December 1 to November 30 fishing year

Table 9. Chesapeake Bay Quotas and Harvests (pounds), 2008

| Year: 2008 | Jurisdiction | Quota | Harvest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial <br> Fisheries | Maryland | $2,254,831$ | $2,208,018$ |
|  | PRFC | 835,960 | 611,789 |
|  | Virginia | $1,642,242$ | $1,550,164$ |
| Recreational <br> Fisheries | Subtotal | $\mathbf{4 , 7 3 3 , 0 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 3 6 9 , 9 7 1}$ |
|  | Maryland | $2,956,463$ | $2,163,691$ |
|  | PRFC | 683,967 | $*$ |
|  | Virginia | $1,642,242$ | $1,106,345$ |
| Chesapeake Bay Total |  | $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 1 5 , 7 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 6 4 0 , 0 0 7}$ |

* Recreational harvest in the Potomac River is included in Maryland and Virginia harvest estimates.

Notes: Recreational harvests based on MRFSS estimates of Bay harvest (minus migratory fish harvest estimate for Maryland); PRFC recreational quota includes the charter boat quota of 75,996 pounds.

Table 10. Chesapeake Bay Spring Trophy Fishery Quotas and Harvests (numbers of fish)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base quota | 30,000 | 40,624 | $40,624^{\wedge}$ | 55,208 | 50,030 | No Quota $\dagger$ | No Quota |
| Previous overage | 0 | 13,900 | 4,680 | $13,720^{*}$ | 26,283 | NA | NA |
| Adjusted quota | 30,000 | 26,724 | 35,944 | 41,488 | $30,000^{\nabla}$ | NA | NA |
| Harvest | 43,900 | 31,404 | 65,664 | 67,771 | 36,328 | 36,166 |  |
| Overage | 13,900 | 4,680 | 29,720 | 26,283 | 6,328 | NA |  |

${ }^{\wedge}$ The Board approved the same base quota for 2005 as used in 2004.

* The 2005 overage of 29,720 fish was adjusted to a direct payback of 13,720 fish under an increased minimum size limit and future additional Maryland effort controls.
$\nabla$ The Board approved a target for the 2007 season of the VPA calculated quota minus the 2006 overage, to be no less than 30,000 fish.
$\dagger$ The Board approved non-quota management for the 2008 fishery, and later approved continuing non-quota management until stock assessment indicates that corrective action is necessary.

Table 11. Status of compliance with monitoring and reporting requirements, 2008 ( $\mathrm{JAI}=$ juvenile abundance index survey, $\mathrm{SSB}=$ spawning stock biomass survey, tag = participation in coastwide tagging program, $\mathrm{Y}=$ compliance standards met, $\mathrm{N}=$ compliance standards not met, na $=$ not applicable)

| Jurisdiction | Fishery-independent monitoring |  | Fishery-dependent monitoring |  | Annual reporting |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Requirement(s) | Status | Requirement(s) | Status | Status |
| ME | JAI | Y | x | na | Y |
| NH | x | na | X | na | Y |
| MA | tag | Y | composition, catch \& effort (C\&R) | Y | Y |
| RI | X | na | composition (C\&R), catch \& effort (R) | Y | Y* |
| CT | X | na | composition, catch \& effort (R) | Y | Y |
| NY | JAI, SSB, tag | Y $\dagger$ | composition, catch \& effort (C\&R) | Y | Y* |
| NJ | JAI, tag | Y | composition, catch \& effort (R) | Y | Y |
| PA | SSB | Y | X | na | Y |
| DE | SSB, tag | Y | composition, catch \& effort (C) | Y | N* |
| MD | JAI, SSB, tag | Y | composition, catch \& effort (C\&R) | $\mathrm{Y}^{\wedge}$ | Y |
| PRFC | x | na | composition, catch \& effort (C\&R) | Y | Y |
| DC | X | na | x | na | Y |
| VA | JAI, SSB, tag | Y | composition, catch \& effort (C\&R) | Y | Y |
| NC | JAI, SSB, tag | Y | composition (C) | Y | Y |

* Reports from Rhode Island, New York, and Delaware were submitted late. The due date for striped bass compliance report submission is June 15.
${ }^{\wedge}$ Changes are currently being made to the commercial harvest monitoring and reporting procedures, including individual transferable quotas, in Maryland.
$\dagger$ While not Amendment 6 requirements, the Western Long Island sub-adult survey and the coastal ocean trawl survey did not receive funds in New York's 2009-2010 budget, the former due to a lack of funding through the Anadromous Fish Conservation Act.
${ }^{\circ}$ Rhode Island has not aged some or all of the commercial scale samples collected in 2006-2008.

