

**2001 REVIEW OF THE FISHERY MANAGEMENT PLAN  
FOR ATLANTIC CROAKER  
(*Micropogonias undulatus*)**

Prepared by:

The Atlantic Croaker Plan Review Team

Joseph Desfosse, Ph.D., Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission, Chair  
Herb Austin, Ph.D., Virginia Institute of Marine Science  
Louis Daniel, Ph.D., North Carolina Division of Marine Fisheries  
Wilson Laney, Ph.D., United States Fish and Wildlife Service  
Harley Speir, Maryland Department of Natural Resources

October 2001



**2001 REVIEW OF THE FISHERY MANAGEMENT PLAN  
FOR ATLANTIC CROAKER  
(*Micropogonias undulatus*)**

**I. Status of the Fishery Management Plan**

The *Fishery Management Plan (FMP) for Atlantic Croaker* was adopted in 1987 and includes the states from Maryland through Florida. In reviewing the early plans created under the Interstate Fisheries Management Plan process, the Atlantic croaker plan was seen by the Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission (ASMFC) as in need of review and possible revision. A Wallop-Breaux grant from U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service was provided to conduct a comprehensive data collection workshop for croaker and spot which would lay the groundwork for a major amendment to the 1987 FMP. The October 1993 workshop at the Virginia Institute of Marine Science was attended by university and state agency representatives from six states. Presentations on fishery-dependent and fishery-independent data, population dynamics and bycatch reduction devices were made and discussed. All state reports and a set of recommendations were included in the workshop report.

Subsequent to the workshop and independent of it, the South Atlantic State/Federal Fisheries Management Board of ASMFC reviewed the status of several plans in order to define the compliance issues to be enforced under the Atlantic Coastal Fisheries Cooperative Management Act (ACFCMA). The Board found recommendations in the Atlantic Croaker FMP to be vague and no longer valid. The Board recommended that an amendment be prepared to the Atlantic Croaker FMP to define management measures necessary to achieve the goals of the FMP. In their final schedule for compliance under the ACFCMA, the Interstate Fisheries Management Program (ISFMP) Policy Board adopted the finding that the current Atlantic Croaker FMP does not contain any management measures that states are required to implement.

A Technical Committee was appointed in 1997 and began compiling data during the summer of 1998, the first step in preparing a stock assessment. The 1993 workshop proceedings provided the basis for a plan amendment, along with data collected by the states and federal agencies since then. The Technical Committee met in September, 1998 to review the available data and began preparing an assessment. A stock assessment subcommittee was appointed and is responsible for preparing the actual assessment. At that time, the committee was informed of ongoing work at North Carolina State University to develop a coastwide Atlantic croaker assessment. The committee reviewed the assessment in November 2001 and will provide a report to the management board regarding its utility for developing an FMP amendment. A Plan Development Team will also need to be appointed by the South Atlantic Board in order to begin preparation of the amendment.

## II. Status of the Stock

The area of greatest abundance on the Atlantic Coast extends from Chesapeake Bay to Florida, although significant catches are made in some years as far north as New York. The species is a major component in generalized fishery-independent trawl and seine surveys in several states. Annual recruitment is highly variable and dependent on natural environmental conditions. Mean density of croaker from the Southeast Area Monitoring and Assessment Program's (SEAMAP) spring shallow water trawl survey in the South Atlantic Bight averaged 71.6 individuals per hectare from 1989-1994. The mean density decreased to 39.9 individuals/ha in 1995, and then to 18.9 individuals/ha in 1997. North Carolina Estuarine Trawl Survey juvenile indices were low during the mid-80's with a 14 year low in 1991, followed by several good year-classes in 1993, 1995 and 1997. Recruitment appears to remain steady in North Carolina, with the 2000 estimate on line with the 1997 year-class. Virginia surveys indicate high juvenile abundance in the mid-70's with good year-classes in 1984 and 1985. Juvenile abundance was low in Virginia rivers during 1991 and 1993 but was high in 1996. Juvenile surveys in Maryland's portion of Chesapeake Bay indicated large year-classes during 1993-2000, with the exception of poor year-classes in 1995 and 1999, and an exceptionally large year-class in 1998. Juvenile surveys in Maryland's coastal waters indicated an increasing trend of Atlantic croaker abundance from the mid-1980s through 1999. Pound net surveys in Maryland's Chesapeake Bay and Potomac River indicated increasing mean length during 1993-98, consistent with the notion of an expanding stock. A total mortality rate of 55-60% has been calculated for Chesapeake Bay stocks. Analyses done at Virginia Institute of Marine Science (VIMS) indicate that croaker sampled in Chesapeake Bay may have a biological capacity to resist growth overfishing. North Carolina recently declared croaker to be "of concern," due to a reduction in the Sound fisheries, socioeconomic changes to the inshore fisheries, and unregulated catches in ocean waters. "Of concern" stock status is defined as those stocks that were once considered to be declining or depressed which have shown measurable and consistent improvement.

A recent stock assessment indicates the population continues to increase and there has been a shift to larger fish in both the North Carolina commercial and coastwide recreational fisheries. This indicates that the age/size structure of the population is expanding.

## III. Status of the Fishery

Atlantic coast commercial landings of croaker have varied from one million pounds in 1970 to 64 million pounds in 1945. Commercial landings have increased steadily each year from a low of 3.7 million pounds in 1991 to 27 million pounds in 1997 (Table 1). North Carolina landings have continued to grow after experiencing steady catches in 1991-1994; however, the largest increase in landings has occurred in Virginia, where only 164,000 pounds were reported in 1991, while 12.8 million pounds were landed in 1997. Coastwide landings of Atlantic croaker have remained steady at 25-27 million pounds from 1997 to 2000. Croaker remain a major component of the seine, trawl, gill net and pound net fisheries in Virginia, North Carolina and

Maryland. Croaker is the major component of the North Carolina “scrap fishery” and is the primary bait in

**Table 1. Commercial landings (in pounds) of Atlantic croaker by state, 1960-2000 (source: NMFS commercial landings data).**

Year	MA	RI	NY	NJ	DE	MD	VA	NC	SC	GA	FLEC	Total
1960				8100	200	586000	3932700	2092800	20500	300	140700	6781300
1961				56900		48900	3082300	1753500	13300		142700	5097600
1962				4300		11100	1293700	1662800	33300	600	161300	3167100

<b>1963</b>						1500	122400	2275700	36200	700	113700	2550200
<b>1964</b>						2400	394200	1866900	10400	400	101200	2375500
<b>1965</b>						400	1531700	1753400	3400	2100	106800	3397800
<b>1966</b>						800	1463200	1267000	1300	5100	330700	3068100

<b>1967</b>						1200	323500	1282800		6000	143800	1757300
<b>1968</b>						100	6200	1200800			70000	1277100
<b>1969</b>						400	63200	1368700	200	1800	49900	1484200
<b>1970</b>				200		100	127900	806800	2700	9400	66900	1014000



<b>1971</b>				100		200	264900	948200	1500	500	89800	1305200
<b>1972</b>				400		500	484100	4108600	400	2400	101100	4697500
<b>1973</b>			100	37100		37300	1358300	4324100	3100	14900	102900	5877800
<b>1974</b>				45100		120300	1501700	6081700	39900	8500	65100	7862300

<b>1975</b>				885100	1300	639700	4721300	10251700	3500	4000	61500	16568100
<b>1976</b>	100			700600	2600	1069100	5897600	15038000	1300	13600	78400	22801300
<b>1977</b>		400		1478600	8900	692300	8600600	18994800	600	7000	49500	29832700
<b>1978</b>		100		654900	7300	597000	8099100	19945471	730	563	39470	29344634

<b>1979</b>		2600	6200	91000	3700	97400	2136600	20558193	7082	19137	38646	22960558
<b>1980</b>			900	12000		7100	711600	21144152	5107	4721	50911	21936491
<b>1981</b>			200	23500		2100	429800	11204580	2441	1038	72112	11735771
<b>1982</b>				100		7000	119300	10824762	318	2163	95357	11049000

<b>1983</b>	200			200		500	150400	7249496	3150		81737	7485683
<b>1984</b>		100	3000	57700		27100	817700	9170110	3068		131375	10210153
<b>1985</b>	400			48800	100	9500	2171821	8693528	1177		115641	11040967
<b>1986</b>				106000	500	137500	2367000	9424706	558		177414	12213678

<b>1987</b>				357600	800	119300	2719500	7287920	618		217932	10703670
<b>1988</b>				30100	200	98700	1749200	8434415	1614		140011	10454240
<b>1989</b>				137100		89500	947300	6823939	1950		94909	8094698
<b>1990</b>		20		644		3584	198195	5769512	1190	32	104402	6077579

<b>1991</b>		10		31292	700	6183	164126	3436960	480	59	56761	3696571
<b>1992</b>				51600	800	10685	1339388	2796612		210	73369	4272664
<b>1993</b>				183414	2500	158062	5264974	3267652			51465	8928067
<b>1994</b>				117256	3000	218744	5773430	4615791			96018	10824239

<b>1995</b>				334654	13000	549716	6991044	6021326			22879	13932619
<b>1996</b>			1	621889		810435	9442959	9961862			26045	20863191
<b>1997</b>			1309	2032365	10509	1455707	12790922	10711696			36572	27039080
<b>1998</b>			31	1029332	10368	1375646	12006988	10865928			26418	25314711

<b>1999</b>		4	2	2071046	14729	1584412	12849954	10184962			26441	26731550
<b>2000</b>		40	285	2130465	10995	1501655	12889406	10122634			31127	26686607

the blue crab fishery. A number of regulations instituted by North Carolina in the 1990s may have resulted in decreasing catches of croaker as well as changing the size and age distribution of the harvest. In Georgia, trawl-caught croaker is sold as unsorted mixed fish along with spot, whiting, and small flounder, therefore, commercial landings are a tenuous measurement there. Small croaker were previously a major part of the bycatch of the south Atlantic shrimp trawl fishery, however the use of TED's and BRD's has reduced this bycatch.

Recreational landings of croaker from Massachusetts through the Atlantic coast of Florida have varied from 2.8 to a high of 12.6 million fish during 1981-2000 (Table 2). Recreational landings have remained steady at 9-10 million fish each year from 1997-2000. The recent high level of overall recreational landings are reflected in major increases in the recreational fisheries in the mid-Atlantic region, particularly New Jersey, Delaware, Maryland and Virginia.

**Table 2. Atlantic croaker recreational landings (numbers of A + B1 fish) by state, 1981-2000 (source: pers. comm. NMFS Fish. Stats. & Econ. Div.).**

<b>Year</b>	<b>MA</b>	<b>NJ</b>	<b>DE</b>	<b>MD</b>	<b>VA</b>	<b>NC</b>	<b>SC</b>	<b>GA</b>	<b>FLEC</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>1981</b>		1054	3003	0	964013	1043240	165742	35591	598896	2811539
<b>1982</b>				10452	273039	596493	193554	169749	1682619	2925906
<b>1983</b>				108355	2154133	1620909	60811	75173	1148227	5167608
<b>1984</b>				211035	2047720	2147871	588114	202364	2781742	7978846
<b>1985</b>				21276	2284334	723933	260265	144341	1306955	4741104
<b>1986</b>			4694	123578	6384966	356742	599442	69887	5118552	12657861
<b>1987</b>				208488	3234224	904030	166978	44783	2580727	7139230



<b>1988</b>			1186	1005452	4048690	2256128	144057	64093	685778	8205384
<b>1989</b>			478	22871	2203504	2131763	217023	72598	359417	5007654
<b>1990</b>			281	100673	2374679	1063452	346631	585380	304064	4775160
<b>1991</b>		16235	37500	288471	4298542	434067	100816	184435	1030115	6390181
<b>1992</b>			9854	117427	4524040	723823	74051	440185	754595	6643975
<b>1993</b>		2552	19352	805560	4990098	755998	32700	89734	304067	7000061
<b>1994</b>		1567	5718	1633581	6494691	1179735	188520	102974	599032	10205818
<b>1995</b>		15714	139324	800463	5129268	853425	75514	104418	418986	7537112
<b>1996</b>		33917	268320	818597	5188429	629579	36517	58169	113576	7147104
<b>1997</b>		342089	385586	1053232	8066926	661116	118428	64050	235430	10926857
<b>1998</b>	1477	143404	391231	1126058	6730181	387427	170528	64953	234360	9249619
<b>1999</b>		357261	662724	1209572	5881671	442185	54761	104438	403982	9116594
<b>2000</b>		992237	495580	2590443	5317549	368677	31207	123423	443201	10362317

**Table 3. Atlantic croaker recreational landings (pounds of A + B1 fish) by state, 1981-2000 (source: pers. comm. NMFS Fish. Stats. & Econ. Div.).**

Year	MA	NJ	DE	MD	VA	NC	SC	GA	FLEC	Total
1981		582	2317	0	535297	426240	67284	9665	305547	1346932
1982				70276	455250	264607	67015	45161	754956	1657265
1983				32053	486006	395402	14158	25412	510599	1463630
1984				86462	634870	584660	161661	80684	1856599	3404936
1985				17169	843414	278214	72780	40421	684449	1939447
1986			2595	116542	2034337	126888	173028	21504	2783651	5258545
1987				191628	1306814	352346	64696	14947	1005053	2935484
1988			827	926399	2390573	935460	54313	20313	316900	4644785
1989			284	19189	1329680	658567	80580	21138	268335	2377773
1990			112	37873	875427	347183	123795	205352	127525	1717267
1991		4264	10972	117210	1728021	157660	16173	54116	460453	2548869
1992			3291	53556	1768962	233533	28512	132596	407672	2628122
1993		844	9641	476866	1993915	282910	18005	55604	180517	3018302
1994		818	2892	991166	3024118	351230	128306	34048	337474	4870052
1995		9874	84218	551432	2728523	325267	25463	21512	288514	4034803
1996		37849	232446	737348	2807267	329544	14116	20675	48391	4227636
1997		278758	340198	1117999	5522195	309457	53863	26272	113096	7761838
1998	1790	135733	293560	1150459	5920436	161117	76821	30966	141756	7912638
1999		301957	522201	1024398	4969283	212991	26356	32375	231692	7321253
2000		1091414	463092	2589131	4745404	189825	12987	59760	236124	9387737

#### IV. Status of Assessment Advice

A quantitative stock assessment of Atlantic croaker has not been conducted by the stock assessment subcommittee. The current management plan (1987) identified the lack of data needed for a stock assessment as one of the major problems facing management of this species. An assessment has been conducted by personnel with North Carolina State University and was reviewed by the technical committee in November 2001. The ISFMP Policy Board has recommended that the croaker assessment be peer reviewed as soon as an assessment has been approved. *The Croaker Stock Assessment Subcommittee should update this assessment as soon as possible with data from 1999-2000 prior to undergoing peer review.*

The results from the stock assessment suggest that biomass increased from 1991 through 1996, partly due to several strong year-classes. The downward trend in the population biomass in 1997-98 as estimated by the assessment, should be re-evaluated given the uncertainty inherent in the most recent years of any assessment. This estimated downturn is expected to disappear in an updated assessment with the addition of data from 1999-2000, given the steady landings, evidence of additional strong year-classes, and the expansion of the population age/size structure seen from the fisheries. A yield-per-recruit analysis suggested that increasing the age at entry

into the fishery to three (3) years would maximize yield-per-recruit. The current age at entry into the fisheries is estimated to be age-1. The model also estimated the most recent (1998) fishing mortality (F) over all ages to be 0.77, and the F for age-1 fish to be 1.22. Maximum yield-per-recruit was estimated to occur at  $F=0.85$  if natural mortality (M) is set at 0.20, while maximum yield-per-recruit at the default M of 0.35 would occur at  $F=0.55$ . Future analyses should include developing alternative biological reference points for comparison and evaluation for Amendment 1.

## **V. Status of Research and Monitoring**

Catch and effort data are collected by state commercial and recreational statistics programs. More complete and timely data should be available as the Atlantic Coastal Cooperative Statistics Program is further developed and implemented. Fishery-independent data, from Cape Hatteras to Cape Canaveral, are collected in the SEAMAP program. Recruitment indices are available from ongoing juvenile surveys in Delaware, Maryland, Virginia, North Carolina, Florida and through the SEAMAP program. Researchers at VIMS have conducted studies on temperature tolerance, developed a juvenile recruitment model based on the effect of winter water temperature and offshore wind velocities, and developed population dynamics parameters to evaluate growth overfishing potential. The Virginia Marine Resources Commission and state of North Carolina have evaluated the use of culling panels in pound nets for the release of small spot and croaker. The Potomac River Fisheries Commission has implemented the use of culling panels for pound nets on a voluntary basis which allows the fishermen to start earlier in the season. Gear research for bycatch reduction in shrimp trawls may continue in the future under interstate and federal sponsorship. A number of studies from the University of Delaware were published which investigated the link between recruitment and low temperatures, genetic stock identification, and geographic variation in life history traits/population dynamics. A scale-otolith comparison study for aging croaker was recently completed by NCDMF. NCDMF has also initiated a gill net selectivity study to examine various species abundance and gather age/length data.

## **VI. Status of Management Measures and Issues**

The FMP for Atlantic croaker identifies the following management measures (recommendation 1 as amended) for implementation:

1. Promote the development and use of bycatch reduction devices through demonstration and application in trawl fisheries.
2. Promote increases in yield per recruit through delaying entry to croaker fisheries to age one and older.

Although the ISFMP Policy Board judged that the FMP management recommendations were too vague and did not furnish objective compliance criteria, progress has been made on developing bycatch reduction devices (BRD's). The October 1993 spot and croaker workshop proceedings summarized experimental bycatch reduction work and examined the population implications of bycatch reduction. It was clear that there are economically viable shrimp gears that reduce finfish bycatch. At the state level, North Carolina has been testing bycatch reduction devices in the shrimp trawl fishery and has achieved finfish reductions of 50-70% with little loss of shrimp and is looking at tail bag modifications. North Carolina requires fish excluder devices in every trawl (except try nets) in the shrimp fishery (commercial and recreational). In the North Carolina flynet fishery, where a large portion of the croaker catch occurs, there is a requirement for a minimum tail-bag mesh of 3.5-inch diamond or 3-inch square mesh. Also, North Carolina banned flynet fishing in waters south of Cape Hatteras in 1994 which has indirectly led to a reduction in the catch of small croaker.

Currently no regulations directly govern fishing practices for Atlantic croaker in North Carolina. However, the regulation limiting the scrapfish catch to 5,000 pounds per vessel per day has an indirect effect since croaker comprise a large percentage by weight of the scrapfish landed by NC commercial fishing gears. BRDs were required in all shrimp trawls in the fall of 1992 by proclamation. Flynet fishery restrictions such as a minimum mesh size (3" square or 3.5" diamond) in 1992 and the closure of ocean waters south of Cape Hatteras to flynets in 1994, also affect the fishing impact on croaker. The NCDMF is actively working with long haul and pound net fishermen to develop escape panels for these fisheries. A reduction in the average catch of the scrapfish species occurred in the 1996 haul seine fishery when several crews began to consistently use escape panels in their nets. Reducing the quantity of sub-adult croaker harvested should increase spawning stock biomass and yield per recruit.

The states of Florida through North Carolina have promoted and require the use of TED's (turtle excluder devices) and BRD's (bycatch reduction devices) in state waters. None of the states have minimum trawl mesh sizes or culling panels in directed gears however, Florida has a maximum shrimp trawl size. Evaluation of the beneficial effects of BRD's to the croaker population which is a component of a mixed species fishery, may be available from work conducted on weakfish during preparation of Amendment 3 to that FMP and should be compiled. A target reduction in bycatch of croaker may be a suitable objective criteria in an amended plan. Size limits that are in place in the states have been there for several years and do not represent a response to the FMP. *In order to minimize recreational discard mortality, a new amendment may evaluate the concept of encouraging the use of hook types which minimize such mortality.*

## **VII. Current State-by-State Implementation of FMP Compliance Requirements as of June 1, 1998**

There are no regulatory compliance requirements in the 1987 Atlantic Croaker FMP.

**Table 4. Summary of current state and federal regulations for Atlantic croaker.**

State/Agency	Recreational	Commercial	Other
New York	none	none	
New Jersey	none	none	trawling prohibited from 0-2 miles from shore
Delaware	8"	none	
Maryland	9"; 25 fish limit	9"	trawling restricted in Ches. Bay; closed 1/1-3/15
PRFC	25 per person/day		
Virginia	none	none	trawling prohibited in state waters
North Carolina			gear-related restrictions; TED/BRD requirements
South Carolina	none	none	gear-related restrictions; TED/BRD requirements; license to land/sell
Georgia	8"; 25 fish limit	8"; 25 fish limit	BRD requirement; no trawling in sounds
Florida	none	none	net ban in state waters
Federal (EEZ waters)			

## VIII. Recommendations of FMP Review Team

### General

- Compile and analyze existing data for updating the stock assessment.

### Management and Regulatory Recommendations

Management recommendations in the 1987 Croaker FMP should be adopted and implemented by appropriate regulations or legislation. They are as follows:

- Promote the development and use of trawl efficiency devices (TED's and BRD's) through demonstration in the southern shrimp fishery, and fish separators in the finfish trawl fishery; and
- Promote increases in yield per recruit through delaying entry to croaker fisheries to age one or older.

### Amendments

- Develop an amended Atlantic Croaker FMP with objective compliance criteria.

## Research and Monitoring Recommendations

### High Priority

- Determine migratory patterns and mixing rates through cooperative, multi-jurisdictional tagging studies.
- Conduct an aging workshop to develop criteria for aging croaker otoliths, comparison study of scales vs. otoliths.
- Studies of croaker growth rates and age structure need to be conducted throughout the species range.
- Age-size data that are representative of all gear types in the fisheries should be developed on an annual basis.
- Fishery-independent size, age and sex specific relative abundance estimates should be developed to monitor long term changes in croaker abundance.
- Improve catch and effort statistics from the commercial and recreational fisheries.
- Examine reproductive biology of croaker with emphasis on developing maturity schedules and estimates of fecundity across the management unit (**partially met: Barbieri et al. 1994**).

### Medium Priority

- Conduct stock identification research on croaker (**partially met: Lankford et al. 1999**).
- Evaluate hook and release mortality under varying environmental factors and fishery practices and include in updated assessment.
- The effects of mandated bycatch reduction devices (BRD's) on croaker catch should be evaluated and compiled.
- In trawl fisheries or other fisheries that historically take significant numbers of croaker, states should monitor and report on the extent of unutilized bycatch and fishing mortality on fish less than age-1.
- The optimum utilization (economic and biological) of a long term fluctuating population such as croaker should be evaluated.
- Continue monitoring of juvenile croaker populations through fishery-independent surveys.
- Identify essential habitat requirements.

### Low Priority

- Determine species interactions and predator/prey relationships for croaker (prey) and other more highly valued fisheries (predators).
- Determine the impacts of any dredging activity (i.e. for beach re-nourishment) on all life history stages of croaker.

### Identified Management Issues

- Develop appropriate management goals, objectives and biological reference points.

### Research needs identified as being met

- Cooperative coastwide croaker juvenile indices should be developed and validated to

clarify stock status. (Lee et al. 2001)

- Cooperatively develop a yield per recruit analysis to establish a minimum size that maximizes YPR (Barbieri et al. 1997, Lee et al. 2001)

### **List of References**

Barbieri, L.R., M.E. Chittenden, Jr. and S.K. Lowerre-Barbieri. 1994. Maturity, spawning, and ovarian cycle of Atlantic croaker, *Micropogonias undulatus*, in the Chesapeake Bay and adjacent coastal waters. Fish. Bull. 92: 671-685.

Barbieri, L.R., M.E. Chittenden, Jr. and C.M. Jones. 1997. Yield-per-recruit analysis and management strategies for Atlantic croaker, *Micropogonias undulatus*, in the Middle Atlantic Bight. Fish. Bull. 95: 637-645.

Lankford, T.E., Jr., T.E. Targett and P.M Gaffney. 1999. Mitochondrial DNA analysis of population structure in the Atlantic croaker, *Micropogonias undulatus* (Perciformes: Sciaenidae). Fish. Bull. 97: 884-890.

Lee, Laura M., J.E. Hightower and P.S. Rand. 2001. Population dynamics of Atlantic croaker occurring along the U.S. east coast, 1981-1998.

**Table 5. Numbers of recreational releases (B2 fish) of Atlantic croaker by state, 1981-2000  
(source: pers. comm. NMFS, Fish. Stats. and Econ. Div.).**

Year	MA	RI	NY	NJ	DE	MD	VA	NC	SC	GA	FLEC	Total
1981		246	4369	0	0	16233	324238	704259	128192	13481	85740	1276758
1982						0	77756	641327	107340	111630	188277	1126330
1983						1507184	1410151	424562	119036	70499	379021	3910453
1984						70192	673080	1701418	746905	37573	236432	3465600
1985						13132	1616052	1596901	238678	66649	1146582	4677994
1986					1757	43399	2578268	137841	84335	40623	318511	3204734
1987				1374	861	32074	2056580	560853	108366	76908	1770697	4607713
1988					582	273231	832284	984219	112271	20021	200630	2423238
1989					1307	41822	1342169	891926	58642	17632	72822	2426320
1990					1268	88688	3922564	1351152	111085	317497	168144	5960398
1991				91633	75319	3352190	7418045	669385	25168	140402	647824	12419966
1992				4103	43583	856292	4167137	954494	26729	178267	251343	6481948
1993				5799	13194	2504362	5795479	1499217	16949	83203	138875	10057078
1994				17253	14069	1628824	7676780	3110528	141513	99026	331736	13019729
1995				29976	41387	478421	5611653	1181356	106541	91474	138013	7678821
1996				17023	82132	418084	5255144	1165365	62900	57005	122338	7179991
1997				111468	384233	1497670	7275160	1443568	138107	25630	116276	10992112
1998	10422			221324	839932	3021780	4990541	1060928	266068	159928	152744	12713667
1999				860325	1017499	2483800	5668925	1368478	116826	57567	967894	12541314
2000				667478	664280	4809161	7586064	1482196	92573	162319	416248	15880319