# Summer Flounder Retention Rate Analysis - Preliminary Steps 

## Data Caveats

- The TC is very interested and appreciative of the Boards intent with this analysis
- However, the TC does not know whether this particular analysis is the best course of action
-The following 6 slides will describe some of the issues associated with the analysis given the datasets available for use


## Data Caveats

- Any management strategy using North Carolina retention rates should be aware of the high degree of uncertainty associated with the available data due to the confounding of species
- Some states have small fluke fisheries that are not adequately sampled by MRIP - ie. precision of the catch and harvest estimates is potentially biased, particularly for states at ends of the range or states with both estuarine and ocean fisheries
- The proportion of all marine recreational fishing trips that target fluke is an important consideration

Example: MD has a low retention rate but only $\sim 7.5 \%$ of trips target (primary and secondary) fluke whereas 25\% of trips in DE target fluke and recent retention in DE has averaged less than 10\% (2008-2012)

## Data Caveats

- Needed to calculate retention rates are estimates of both total catch and harvest, doubling data requirements relative to current need for just observed harvest data for size-bagseason reductions
- Calculating a retention rate for a given state based on its aggregated total harvest and aggregated total catch assumes that the retention rate is uniform across modes, waves, and areas
- However, retention rates are clearly a function of the type of recreational fisheries that exist within a state and when/where they occur
- Clear differences in retention rates between fishery modes, waves, areas, and between combinations of mode, wave, and area


## Data Caveats



## Data Caveats



## Data Caveats

- Currently, we cannot satisfactorily estimate both catch and harvest at the mode, wave, and area level for most states, either due to very high PSEs or no collection of data
- Aggregating to the state level does reduce the PSE for catch and harvest, but using aggregated retention rates assumes that the retention rate is uniform across modes, waves, and areas for a given state
- Total catch estimates are based solely on angler reported catch rates that are 'unobserved' by agents, which may introduce further uncertainty


## Data Caveats

- Length compositions considerations
-Season and area greatly influence size composition and availability
- Fishery mode affects harvest retention rates through fisheryspecific variations in angler skill level and target species sought - Observed discard size data from the MRIP survey are solely collected from the Party Boat mode
- Party boat mode accounted for $2.2 \%$ of the 2012 coastwide harvest
- It is unlikely that the discard rates and size compositions from the party boat mode are the same as in other mode



## Massachusetts - Fishery Description

- MA rec fluke fishery small relative to total state recreational fishery
- Primarily occurs June - August
- Is geographically restricted to waters south of Cape Cod
- Shallow, warmer waters of Vineyard Sound, Nantucket Sound and Buzzards Bay
-Deeper, colder waters where larger fish can be harvested are far from mainland ports and too exposed for small boat fleet
- Harvest and catch almost exclusively from PR mode
- One or two party boats and approx. dozen charter boats target fluke
- Size of fish available is smaller than in neighboring states to the west and south


## Massachusetts - Available Data

- Did not use MRIP data
- Head boat sampling only for discards
- Infrequent sampling of other modes
- Propose using two Fl sources
- Fall trawl survey (total $n=635$ )
- Tagging study (total $\mathrm{n}=864,2011 \mathrm{n}=$

285, 2012 n = 188)

## Massachusetts - Trawl Data

Summer Flounder - stratified mean number per tow at length.
MDMF fall survey 1978-2012


## Massachusetts - Tagging Data



## Rhode Island - Fishery Description

- RI rec fluke fishery is a significant fishery for the state
- Primarily occurs May - August
- Harvest and catch predominately from PR mode
- Many party and charter boats target fluke in RI
- Majority of fishing occurs inshore (Narr Bay) and off the south coast, with some harvest occurring in federal waters


## Rhode Island - Available Data

- Did not use MRIP data
- Head boat sampling only for discards
- Infrequent sampling of other modes
- Propose using two sources, one FI and one FD
- RI trawl survey (2010 n = 134, 2011 n = 198, 2012 n = 166)
- Volunteer Angler survey (2012 n = 1,199)


## Rhode Island - Trawl Data



## Rhode Island - Volunteer Angler Data



## Connecticut - Fishery Description

- Rec fluke fishing in CT May - Sept
- Spring fishery - larger vessels from in the eastern portion of the state head into NY and RI waters near Block Island and Montauk Point
- July - Aug is peak wave, fluke available throughout the Sound
-Harvest rates and availability vary by depth and along the coast
- Sept, fish migrate off-shore and no longer available to CT anglers
- Most harvest from targeted trips
- CT LIS Trawl Survey indicates larger fluke $>60 \mathrm{ft}$ depth
- Limits access for shore anglers and anglers with smaller vessels


## Connecticut - Available Data

- Did not use MRIP data (2012 n = 31)
- Head boat sampling only for discards
- Infrequent sampling of other modes
- Propose using two sources, one FD and one

FI

- LIS trawl survey (total $\mathrm{n}=2,203$ )
- CT Volunteer Angler Survey (total $\mathrm{n}=$ approximately $1,000 / \mathrm{yr}$ )


## Connecticut- Trawl Data

Connecticut Long Island Sound Trawl Survey (LISTS)
Percent of Summer Flounder above Length for fish over 14 inches.
(Depths 60 feet and less)

| Year | 16" | 16.5" | 17" | 17.5" | 18" | 18.5" | 19.0" | 19.5" | 20" | 20.5" | 21" | 21.5" |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2008 | 70\% | 57\% | 49\% | 44\% | 41\% | 38\% | 34\% | 31\% | 28\% | 25\% | 21\% | 17\% |
| 2009 | 61\% | 52\% | 47\% | 42\% | 36\% | 31\% | 28\% | 24\% | 23\% | 20\% | 18\% | 15\% |
| 2010 | 60\% | 55\% | 49\% | 41\% | 35\% | 25\% | 20\% | 19\% | 16\% | 12\% | 12\% | 10\% |
| 2011 | 52\% | 43\% | 39\% | 34\% | 31\% | 27\% | 23\% | 20\% | 18\% | 17\% | 15\% | 12\% |
| 2012 | 46\% | 38\% | 30\% | 24\% | 20\% | 16\% | 12\% | 10\% | 8\% | 6\% | 5\% | 4\% |



## Connecticut - VAS Data

CT Volunteer Angler Survey (CTVAS)
Percent of Summer Flounder Lengths by size for fish over 14 inches.

| Year | $\mathbf{1 6 "}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 5 "}$ | $\mathbf{1 7} "$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 5} "$ | $\mathbf{1 8} "$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 5 "}$ | $\mathbf{2 0} "$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 5} "$ | $\mathbf{2 1 "}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $76 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $73 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $77 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $60 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $64 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $5 \%$ |



## New York - Fishery Description

- Fluke is a very popular marine recreational fishery in NY
- 2008-2012, 29.5\% rec trips targeted fluke (prim or sec species)
- During the same time period, only $17.5 \%$ of these targeted trips were successful (fish kept).
- Likely reason for lack of success is high minimum size limits (5 yr avg = 20.5") NY has used to not exceed its RHL
-Fluke harvested in both bays and ocean
- Ocean fishery has increased in prevalence in recent years
-Harvestable fluke caught in water depths 2 to 40 m
-Possession limits have been low (2-4 fish) and the season shortened in some years.
- General fluke fishing season in NY is May thru September
- The peak occurs during Waves $3 \& 4$
-Many would fish in April and Oct if the season were open
- Seasonal cuts unpopular because they do not affect all anglers and businesses equally due to variability in local fisheries in time and space


## New York- Available Data

- Potentially could use five sources depending on years needed to review, three FD and two FI
- NY strata NEAMAP trawl survey (2008-2012 total $n=4,206$ )
- NY Peconic Bay trawl survey (2008-2012 total $\mathrm{n}=1,441$ )
- MRIP (2011 - 2012 total $n=1,847$, more years potentially available)
- Private Angler Log (2011 - 2012 total $n=399$ )
- Head Boat Sampling (2011-2012 total $n=1,095$ )

Variability across surveys and years still needs to be assessed.


## New York - Peconic Bay Trawl Data

| Peconic Bay Trawl Survey |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| LENGTH (IN) | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| 14 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| 14.5 | $93 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $87 \%$ | $87 \%$ |
| 15 | $88 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $76 \%$ |
| 15.5 | $68 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $66 \%$ |
| 16 | $46 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $55 \%$ |
| 16.5 | $27 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $48 \%$ |
| 17 | $19 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $34 \%$ |
| 17.5 | $15 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $27 \%$ |
| 18 | $14 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| 18.5 | $8 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| 19 | $5 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| 19.5 | $5 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| 20 | $5 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| 20.5 | $3 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| 21 | $2 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| 21.5 | $2 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| 22 | $2 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| 22.5 | $0 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| 23 | $0 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| 23.5 | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| 24 | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| 24.5 | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| 25 | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| 26 | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |



## New York - NEAMAP Trawl Data

NEAMAP Trawl Survey

| LENGTH (IN) | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| 14.5 | 89\% | 83\% | 90\% | 82\% | 86\% |
| 15 | 75\% | 71\% | 70\% | 55\% | 79\% |
| 15.5 | 62\% | 61\% | 65\% | 44\% | 75\% |
| 16 | 53\% | 49\% | 53\% | 30\% | 61\% |
| 16.5 | 42\% | 42\% | 49\% | 25\% | 56\% |
| 17 | 38\% | 33\% | 44\% | 19\% | 44\% |
| 17.5 | 31\% | 29\% | 41\% | 14\% | 39\% |
| 18 | 27\% | 23\% | 36\% | 9\% | 32\% |
| 18.5 | 23\% | 21\% | 30\% | 8\% | 27\% |
| 19 | 18\% | 20\% | 28\% | 7\% | 22\% |
| 19.5 | 15\% | 16\% | 20\% | 6\% | 20\% |
| 20 | 12\% | 13\% | 18\% | 6\% | 17\% |
| 20.5 | 10\% | 12\% | 14\% | 5\% | 12\% |
| 21 | 8\% | 10\% | 12\% | 5\% | 11\% |
| 21.5 | 6\% | 8\% | 11\% | 4\% | 9\% |
| 22 | 5\% | 6\% | 8\% | 3\% | 8\% |
| 22.5 | 3\% | 4\% | 6\% | 3\% | 6\% |
| 23 | 2\% | 3\% | 6\% | 3\% | 5\% |
| 23.5 | 1\% | 3\% | 5\% | 3\% | 4\% |
| 24 | 1\% | 2\% | 4\% | 2\% | 3\% |
| 24.5 | 1\% | 1\% | 3\% | 1\% | 2\% |
| 25 | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| 25.5 | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| 26 | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| 26.5 | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 1\% |
| 27 | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| 27.5 | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% |

NY Fluke Catch Relative to 14" (2011\&2012 combined) NEAMAP Trawl Survey


## New York - MRIP Data

| MRIP |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| LENGTH(IN) 2011 2012 <br> 17 $33 \%$ $36 \%$ <br> 17.5 $27 \%$ $29 \%$ <br> 18 $22 \%$ $24 \%$ <br> 18.5 $17 \%$ $19 \%$ <br> 19 $12 \%$ $15 \%$ <br> 19.5 $10 \%$ $13 \%$ |  |  |

NY Fluke Catch Relative to 14" (2011\&2012 combined) - MRIP Survey


## New York - Private Angler Log Data

PRIVATE ANGLER

| LENGTH(IN) | 2011 | 2012 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 17 | $39 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
| 17.5 | $34 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| 18 | $28 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| 18.5 | $18 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| 19 | $16 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| 19.5 | $11 \%$ | $7 \%$ |



## New York - Headboat Data

HEADBOAT SAMPLING

| LENGTH(IN) | 2011 | 2012 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 17 | $34 \%$ | $33 \%$ |
| 17.5 | $27 \%$ | $28 \%$ |
| 18 | $22 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| 18.5 | $19 \%$ | $21 \%$ |
| 19 | $13 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| 19.5 | $11 \%$ | $12 \%$ |

NY Fluke Catch Relative to 14" (2011\&2012 combined) -
Headboat Survey


## New Jersey - Fishery Description

- NJ has 4 distinct fisheries within 2 zones; Northern=North of Barnegat Inlet into Sandy Hook/Raritan Bay, and Southern=Barnegat Inlet south into Delaware Bay
- Northern Ocean: June-Sept, peak in July/Aug/Sept. Fish tend to be larger in size, fleet consists of private, charter and party.
- Northern Bays: May - July, peak in June/July. Fish are smaller in size, and consists of private and charter/party.
- South ocean: July - August. Fish are medium in size, consists of private and charter
- South bays: May - July, peak in June/July. Fish are small, mostly private sector
- Northern region are almost an even split from bays to ocean
- Southern region are predominately from the bays
- Timing of peaks and sizes may vary quite a bit if the season was elongated in either direction, earlier start and/or later end.


## New Jersey - Available Data

- Potentially could use three sources of data, two FD and one FI
-MRIP
-NJ Volunteer Angler Survey
-NJ Ocean Trawl Survey


## New Jersey - Volunteer Angler Survey Data



NJ Volunteer Angler Survey

|  | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 30 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2008 | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% | 35\% | 24\% | 12\% | 10\% | 8\% | 3\% | 4\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| 2009 | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 29\% | 26\% | 16\% | 10\% | 10\% | 4\% | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| 2010 | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 40\% | 24\% | 13\% | 7\% | 9\% | 4\% | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| 2011 | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 35\% | 25\% | 12\% | 7\% | 10\% | 6\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| 2012 | 3\% | 1\% | 9\% | 21\% | 22\% | 15\% | 10\% | 9\% | 6\% | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |

New Jersey - MRIP Data


## New Jersey - Ocean Trawl Data



## Delaware - Fishery Description

- In DE, fluke fishery is predominately a bay fishery
- Estimates of percentage of harvest from each location:
- $85.5 \%$ is inland (bay)
- $13 \%$ is offshore (>3 mi)
- remaining $1.5 \%$ is from offshore less than 3 miles
- The peak harvest waves are waves 3 and 4 (88.7\%), though there is some harvest in waves $2-$ 6
- Main mode of harvest is the private/rental mode (88.8\%)


## Delaware - Available Data

- Only one source of data available to DE:
- MRIP


## Delaware - MRIP

| Sum of Landings (No.-atLength) | Year |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Straight Fork Length (in) |  | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | Grand Total |
|  | 13 |  | 1591.72 |  | 1591.72 |
|  | 14 |  |  | 2.67 | 2.67 |
|  | 16 | 528.74 | 16.74 | 769.15 | 1314.63 |
|  | 17 | 941.56 | 3280.63 | 1268.43 | 5490.62 |
|  | 18 | 16620.09 | 31901.2 | 16593.12 | 65114.41 |
|  | 19 | 12876.51 | 14345.29 | 8392.08 | 35613.88 |
|  | 20 | 10145.09 | 5175.77 | 5098.33 | 20419.19 |
|  | 21 | 6551.58 | 4232.03 | 3676.28 | 14459.89 |
|  | 22 | 2932.78 | 4415.55 | 2523.23 | 9871.56 |
|  | 23 | 2114.31 | 1262.52 | 142.28 | 3519.11 |
|  | 24 | 493.78 | 594.09 |  | 1087.87 |
|  | 25 | 307.63 | 4.14 | 4.1 | 315.87 |
| Grand Total |  | 53512.07 | 66819.68 | 38469.67 | 158801.42 |

## Delaware - MRIP



## Maryland - Fishery Description

- In MD, fluke fishery is in Coastal Bays, near shore wrecks, and in the Ches Bay
- No reliable estimates of percentage of harvest from each location but best estimate:
- $10 \%$ is near shore and offshore wrecks
- $10 \%$ to $30 \%$ is Chesapeake Bay
- remaining $40 \%$ to $70 \%$ is from the MD Coastal

Bays.

- Fish in the Coastal Bays are smaller than the offshore fish
- Almost all fishing that targets flounder is either drifting or bottom fishing


## Maryland - Available Data

- Potentially could use two sources depending on years needed to review, one FD, one FI
- MD Offshore Trawl Survey (2008 n = 253; $2009 \mathrm{n}=207$; $2010 \mathrm{n}=295$; $2011 \mathrm{n}=155$; $2012 \mathrm{n}=79$ )
- MD Volunteer Angler Survey (2008 n = 7,304; $2009 \mathrm{n}=5,875$; $2010 \mathrm{n}=1,183$; $2011 \mathrm{n}=$ 3,067; 2012 n = 166)


## Maryland - Volunteer Angler Survey Data



MD Volunteer Angler Survey

|  | 14 | 14.5 | 15 | 15.5 | 16 | 16.5 | 17 | 17.5 | 18 | 18.5 | 19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2008 |  | 96\% | 89\% | 84\% | 75\% | 70\% | 65\% | 61\% | 58\% | 43\% | 30\% |
| 2009 |  | 91\% | 79\% | 70\% | 57\% | 48\% | 35\% | 25\% | 18\% | 12\% | 9\% |
| 2010 |  | 94\% | 72\% | 66\% | 49\% | 44\% | 31\% | 25\% | 16\% | 10\% | 7\% |
| 2011 |  | 94\% | 89\% | 78\% | 72\% | 59\% | 51\% | 41\% | 38\% | 30\% | 27\% |
| 2012 |  | 84\% | 80\% | 64\% | 59\% | 46\% | 34\% | 30\% | 24\% | 16\% | 12\% |

## Maryland - Offshore Trawl Survey Data



| Offshore Commercial Trawl |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 14 | 14.5 | 15 | 15.5 | 16 | 16.5 | 17 | 17.5 | 18 | 18.5 | 19 |
| 2008 |  | 95\% | 88\% | 78\% | 66\% | 49\% | 38\% | 29\% | 24\% | 20\% | 16\% |
| 2009 |  | 97\% | 96\% | 90\% | 85\% | 76\% | 70\% | 61\% | 53\% | 45\% | 37\% |
| 2010 |  | 88\% | 71\% | 62\% | 49\% | 41\% | 35\% | 29\% | 25\% | 22\% | 18\% |
| 2011 |  | 87\% | 64\% | 53\% | 43\% | 36\% | 28\% | 24\% | 18\% | 13\% | 10\% |
| 2012 |  | 99\% | 93\% | 92\% | 87\% | 76\% | 71\% | 58\% | 50\% | 41\% | 36\% |

## Virginia - Fishery Description

- The majority of recreational summer flounder landings occur from mid-April through August. MRIP estimates that waves 3 and 4 account for $80 \%$ of the harvest on average over the last decade (20032012)
- $80 \%$ of the harvest is also estimated to come from the private/rental mode for the last decade. 15\% from shore mode
- MRIP estimates that 60-99\% of the rec harvest comes from inland, averaging 87\% for the 20032012 period


## Virginia - Available Data

- Three sources available to VA, one FD and two FI:
- Volunteer Angler Survey data are provided to VMRC through online reporting
- ChesMMAP and NEAMAP surveys
- VIMS Juvenile Trawl data can be lagged (2,3 or 4 years) to estimate availability


## Virginia - CHESMMAP Data



| Year | 14 | $\mathbf{1 4 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| 2010 | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| 2011 | $2 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| 2012 | $0 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $5 \%$ |

## Virginia - CHESMMAP Data



| Year | 14.5 | 15 | 15.5 | 16 | 16.5 | 17 | 17.5 | 18 | 18.5 | 19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 | $93 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| 2010 | $90 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| 2011 | $96 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| 2012 | $100 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $23 \%$ |

## Virginia - NEAMAP Data



| Year | 14 | 14.5 | 15 | 15.5 | 16 | 16.5 | 17 | 17.5 | 18 | 18.5 | 19 | 19.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| 2010 | $2 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| 2011 | $5 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| 2012 | $1 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ |

## Virginia - NEAMAP Data



| Year | 14.5 | 15 | 15.5 | 16 | 16.5 | 17 | 17.5 | 18 | 18.5 | 19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 | $89 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| 2010 | $92 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| 2011 | $83 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| 2012 | $97 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $17 \%$ |

## Virginia - Volunteer Angler Data



| Year | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 | $6 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ |  |
| 2010 | $16 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $10 \%$ |  |
| 2011 | $17 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $4 \%$ |  |
| 2012 | $21 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $9 \%$ |  |

## North Carolina - Fishery Description

- The North Carolina rec flounder fishery catches three flounder species
- 1981-2001, over $50 \%$ of the flounder harvested were fluke
-2002-12, southern flounder made up the majority of the harvest in most years and in 2009-12 an average of $28 \%$ of flounder harvested were fluke
-The three species have fairly similar morphologies and anglers are usually unable to distinguish amongst them in the discards reported to MRIP samplers
- Harvest is higher in northern portions of the state, but caught throughout
- Percentage caught in inshore vs. ocean waters has varied from year to year
- Small percentage of the total harvest is from ocean areas beyond three miles


## North Carolina - Available Data

- Only one source available and it is FD:
- MRIP (2009 n = 166; 2010 n = 262; 2011 $\mathrm{n}=235 ; 2012 \mathrm{n}=228$ )


## North Carolina - MRIP

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Summer flounder |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | $<15$ | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 23 | measured |
| 2009 | 27.8 | 35.9 | 23.9 | 7.4 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 0.3 |  |  | 166 |
| 2010 | 40.0 | 33.4 | 15.1 | 5.9 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 1.2 |  | 262 |
| 2011 | 12.5 | 37.8 | 24.7 | 17.7 | 4.3 | 2.4 | 0.2 |  | 0.5 | 235 |
| 2012 | 15.9 | 40.8 | 18.7 | 12.0 | 8.2 | 2.4 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 228 |

## Next Steps

- The TC can continue working on this analysis if the Board wishes
- The next step in the analysis would be to:
- begin to analyze each individual states chosen datasets
- using similar methodology to the normal management setting specifications, the TC will begin to develop a set of management metrics that meet:
-a pre-chosen retention rate (currently working with
14.7)
-begin with a reasonable minimum size based on the
LF review
-that will together remain within the bounds of the coastwide RHL
- The TC can also develop a list of alternative approaches if the Board wishes

