

ATLANTIC STATES MARINE FISHERIES COMMISSION

REVIEW OF THE INTERSTATE FISHERY MANAGEMENT PLAN

AMERICAN EEL
(Anguilla rostrata)

2020 FISHING YEAR



Prepared by the American Eel Plan Review Team

Approved October 21, 2021



Sustainable and Cooperative Management of Atlantic Coastal Fisheries

**REVIEW OF THE ASMFC FISHERY MANAGEMENT PLAN AND STATE COMPLIANCE FOR
AMERICAN EEL (*Anguilla rostrata*) FOR THE 2020 FISHERY**

Management Summary

<u>Date of FMP approval:</u>	November 1999
<u>Addenda:</u>	Addendum I (February 2006) Addendum II (October 2008) Addendum III (August 2013) Addendum IV (October 2014) Addendum V (August 2018)
<u>Management unit:</u>	Migratory stocks of American Eel from Maine through Florida
<u>States with a declared interest:</u>	Maine through Florida, including the District of Columbia and the Potomac River Fisheries Commission
<u>Active committees:</u>	American Eel Management Board, Plan Review Team, Technical Committee, Stock Assessment Subcommittee, and Advisory Panel

I. Status of the Fishery Management Plan

The ASMFC American Eel Management Board (Board) first convened in November 1995 and finalized the Fishery Management Plan (FMP) for American Eel in November 1999 (ASMFC 2000).

GOAL

The goal of the FMP is to conserve and protect the American eel resource to ensure its continued role in the ecosystems while providing the opportunity for its commercial, recreational, scientific, and educational use.

OBJECTIVES

1. Improve knowledge of eel utilization at all life stages through mandatory reporting of harvest and effort by commercial fishers and dealers, and enhanced recreational fisheries monitoring.
2. Increase understanding of factors affecting eel population dynamics and life history through increased research and monitoring.
3. Protect and enhance American eel abundance in all watersheds where eel now occur.
4. Where practical, restore American eel to those waters where they had historical abundance but may now be absent by providing access to inland waters for glass eel, elvers, and yellow eel and adequate escapement to the ocean for pre-spawning adult eel.

5. Investigate the abundance level of eel at the various life stages, necessary to provide adequate forage for natural predators and support ecosystem health and food chain structure.

The FMP requires all states and jurisdictions to implement an annual young-of-year (YOY) abundance survey to monitor annual recruitment of each year's cohort. In addition, the FMP requires a minimum recreational size, a possession limit and a state license for recreational fishermen to sell eels. The FMP requires that states and jurisdictions maintain existing or more conservative American eel commercial fishery regulations for all life stages, including minimum size limits. Each state is responsible for implementing management measures within its jurisdiction to ensure the sustainability of its American eel population.

The FMP has been adapted through the following addenda:

[Addendum I \(February 2006\)](#)

In August 2005, the Board directed the American Eel Plan Development Team (PDT) to initiate an addendum to establish a mandatory catch and effort monitoring program for American eel. The Board approved Addendum I at the February 2006 Board meeting.

[Addendum II \(October 2008\)](#)

In January 2007, the Board initiated a draft addendum with the goal of increasing escapement of silver eels to spawning grounds. In October 2008, the Board approved Addendum II, which placed increased emphasis on improving the upstream and downstream passage of American eel. The Board chose to delay action on management measures in order to incorporate the results of the 2012 stock assessment.

[Addendum III \(August 2013\)](#)

In August 2012, the Board initiated Draft Addendum III with the goal of reducing mortality on all life stages of American eel. The Addendum was initiated in response to the findings of the 2012 Benchmark Stock Assessment, which declared American eel stock along the US East Coast depleted. The Board approved Addendum III in August 2013.

Addendum III requires states to reduce the yellow eel recreational possession limit to 25 eel/person/day, with the option to allow an exception of 50 eel/person/day for party/charter employees for bait purposes. The recreational and commercial size limit increased to a minimum of 9 inches. Eel pots are required to be ½ by ½ inch minimum mesh size or have at least a 4" by 4 inch escape panel of ½ by ½ inch mesh escape panel. The glass eel fishery is required to implement a maximum tolerance of 25 pigmented eels per pound of glass eel catch. The silver eel fishery is prohibited to take eels from September 1st to December 31st from any gear type other than baited traps/pots or spears. The Addendum also set minimum monitoring standards for states and required dealer and harvester reporting in the commercial fishery.

[Addendum IV \(October 2014\)](#)

In October 2014, the Board approved Addendum IV. This addendum was also initiated in response to the 2012 American Eel Benchmark Stock Assessment and the need to reduce

mortality on all life stages. The Addendum established a coastwide cap of 907,671 pounds of yellow eel, reduced Maine's glass eel quota to 9,688 pounds (2014 landings), and allowed for the continuation of New York's silver eel weir fishery in the Delaware River. For yellow eel fisheries, the coastwide cap was implemented for the 2015 fishing year and established two management triggers: (1) if the cap is exceeded by more than 10% in a given year, or (2) the cap is exceeded for two consecutive years regardless of the percent overage. If either one of the triggers are met, then states would implement state-specific allocation based on average landings from 2011-2013. The addendum also requires any state or jurisdiction with a commercial glass eel fishery to implement a fishery independent life cycle survey covering glass, yellow, and silver eels within at least one river system.

Addendum V (August 2018)

In August 2018, the Board approved Addendum V. The Addendum increases the yellow eel coastwide cap starting in 2019 to 916,473 pounds to reflect a correction in the historical harvest data. Further, the Addendum adjusts the method (management trigger) to reduce total landings to the coastwide cap when the cap has been exceeded, and removes the implementation of state-by-state allocations if the management trigger is met. Management action will now be initiated if the yellow eel coastwide cap is exceeded by 10% in two consecutive years. If the management trigger is exceeded, only those states accounting for more than 1% of the total yellow eel landings will be responsible for adjusting their measures. A workgroup was formed to define the process to equitably reduce landings among the affected states when the management trigger has been met (see appendix, approved October 2019). Additionally, the Addendum maintains Maine's glass eel quota of 9,688 pounds. The Board also slightly modified the glass eel aquaculture provisions, maintaining the 200 pound limit for glass eel harvest, but adjusting the criteria for evaluating the proposed harvest area's contribution to the overall population consistent with the recommendations of the Technical Committee.

II. Status of the Stock

In 2009, the Board initiated a benchmark stock assessment. After reviewing over 100 surveys and studies, the American Eel Stock Assessment Subcommittee (SAS) selected 19 YOY surveys and 15 yellow eel surveys along the East Coast for use as indices of abundance in the assessment. Despite the large number of surveys and studies available for use, the American eel stock is still considered data-poor because very few surveys target eels and collect information on length, age, and sex of the animals caught. Additionally, eels have an extremely complex life history that is difficult to describe using traditional stock assessment models. Therefore, several data-poor methods were used to assess the American eel resource.

The first set of analyses (trend analyses) aimed to determine if there was a statistically significant trend in the fishery-independent survey data and whether or not there was evidence for significant trends on the regional and coastwide scales. The second approach involved a Depletion-Based Stock Reduction Analysis (DB-SRA) model, which uses trends in historical catch to estimate biomass trends and maximum sustainable yield. Both the trend analyses and DB-SRA results indicated that the American eel stock declined in recent decades, and the prevalence of significant downward trends in multiple surveys across the coast is cause for

concern. Therefore, the stock status for American eels is depleted, although overfishing and overfished status in relation to the reference points could not be determined with confidence. The benchmark stock assessment was peer reviewed in March 2012 and was approved for management use in May 2012 (ASMFC 2012).

In 2003, declarations from the International Eel Symposium (AFS 2003, Quebec City, Quebec, Canada) and the Great Lakes Fisheries Commission (GLFC) highlighted concerns regarding the health of eel stocks worldwide. In 2010, the Canada Department of Fisheries and Oceans (DFO) conducted a stock assessment on American eels in Canadian waters and found that region-specific status indices show that abundance is very low in comparison to levels in the 1980s for the Lake Ontario and upper St. Lawrence River stock, and is either unchanged or increasing in the Atlantic Provinces.

The 2017 American Eel Stock Assessment Update updates the 2012 American Eel Benchmark Stock Assessment with data from 2010-2016. The trend analysis results in this stock assessment update are consistent with the 2012 results, with few exceptions. Despite downward trends in the indices, commercial yellow American eel landings have been stable in recent decades along the Atlantic coast (U.S. and Canada), although landings still remain much lower than historical levels. The trend analysis and stable low landings support the Assessment Update's conclusion that the American eel population in the assessment range is similar to five years ago and remains depleted. Therefore, the resource is considered depleted and no stock status specific to overfishing determination can be made based on the trend analyses performed (ASMFC 2017).

Work has begun on the next benchmark stock assessment and is anticipated to be completed for peer review in 2022.

III. Status of the Fishery

American eel currently support commercial fisheries throughout their range in North America, with significant fisheries occurring in the US Mid-Atlantic region and Canada. These fisheries are executed in riverine, estuarine, and ocean waters. In the US, commercial fisheries for glass eel/elvers exist in Maine and South Carolina and a silver eel weir fishery exists in New York's Delaware River, whereas yellow eel fisheries exist in all states and jurisdictions with the exception of Pennsylvania and the District of Columbia.

Although eel have been continuously harvested, consistent data on harvest has not always been available. Harvest data from the Atlantic coastal states (Maine to Florida) indicate that the harvest fluctuated widely between 1970 and 1980, but showed an increasing trend that peaked in 1979 at 3,951,936 pounds. From then landings declined to a low of 641,000 pounds in 2002, recovered steadily to exceed one million pounds on average from 2010-2014, and since has experienced a decline in four of the last five years to a time series low in 2019. Because fishing effort data are unavailable for the entire time series, finding a correlation between population numbers and landings data is difficult.

Commercial

Please Note: Landings information for the following section are from state compliance reports and update the preliminary landings presented to the American Eel Management Board in May 2021.

State reported commercial landings of yellow/silver eels in 2020 totaled approximately 259,862 pounds¹ (Table 1, Figure 1), which represents a 51.8% decrease in landings from 2019 (539,301 pounds) and was the lowest value in the last 20 years. The decline in harvest appears to be largely driven by market demand; in April 2021 AP members indicated that the all-time low landings is due to market demand and decline in yellow eels being exported to European markets. More information on 2021 AP report can be found [here](#). Yellow eel landings decreased in ten states and jurisdictions, while increasing in one. In 2020, state reported landings from Maryland, PRFC, and Virginia together accounted for 78% of the coastwide commercial total landings. Landings of glass eels were reported from Maine totaled approximately 9,650 pounds; South Carolina’s landings are confidential.

Table 1. Preliminary 2020 Commercial Landings by State and Life Stage¹

	State Reported	
	Glass	Yellow
Maine	9,650	7,010
New Hampshire	No Fishery	0
Massachusetts	No Fishery	0
Rhode Island	No Fishery	1,425
Connecticut	No Fishery	1,134
New York	No Fishery	16,439
New Jersey	No Fishery	23,742
Pennsylvania	No Fishery	No Fishery
Delaware	No Fishery	1,942
Maryland	No Fishery	164,520
D.C.	No Fishery	No Fishery
PRFC	No Fishery	24,971
Virginia	No Fishery	14,799
North Carolina	No Fishery	3,291
South Carolina	Confidential (<750 pounds)	0
Georgia	No Fishery	0
Florida	No Fishery	499
Total	Glass: Approx 9,650 Elver: 0	259,862

NA: Not Available

¹ Preliminary landings data for 2020 comes from ACCSP and state compliance reports.




Figure 1. American Eel Yellow-Life Stage Coastwide Landings 1998-2020

Table 2. State commercial regulations for the 2020 fishing year.*

State	Min Size Limit	License/Permit	Other
ME	Glass No minimum size	Daily dealer reports/swipe card program; monthly harvester report of daily landings. Tribal permit system in place for some Native American groups.	In 2017, the Legislature authorized the DMR commissioner to adopt rules to implement the elver fishing license lottery, including provisions for the method and administration of the lottery.
	Yellow 9"	Harvester/dealer license and monthly reporting. Tribal permit system in place for some Native American groups.	Seasonal closures. Gear restrictions. Weekly closures.
NH	9"	Commercial saltwater license and wholesaler license. No dealer reports. Monthly harvester reporting includes dealer information.	Gear restrictions in freshwater.
MA	9"	Commercial permit with annual catch report requirement. Registration for dealers with purchase record requirement. Dealer/harvester reporting.	Traps, pots, spears, and angling only. Mesh restrictions.
RI	9"	Commercial fishing license. Dealer/harvester reporting.	Seasonal gear restrictions.
CT	9"	Commercial license (not required for personal use). Dealer/harvester reporting.	Gear restrictions.
NY	9"	Harvester/dealer license and monthly reporting.	Gear restrictions. Maximum limit of 14" in some rivers.
NJ	9"	License required. No dealer reports. Monthly harvester reporting includes dealer information.	Gear restrictions.
PA	NO COMMERCIAL FISHERY		
DE	9"	Harvester reporting, no dealer reporting. License required.	Commercial fishing in tidal waters only. Gear restrictions.
MD	9"	Dealer/harvester license and monthly reporting.	Prohibited in non-tidal waters. Gear restrictions. Commercial crabbers may fish 50 pots per day, must submit catch reports.
DC	NO COMMERCIAL FISHERY		
PRFC	9"	Harvester license and reporting. No dealer reporting.	Seasonal gear restrictions. Mesh size restrictions on eel pots.

State	Min Size Limit	License/Permit	Other
VA	9"	Harvester license required. Dealer/harvester monthly reporting.	Mesh size restrictions on eel pots. Seasonal closures.
NC	9"	Standard Commercial Fishing License for all commercial fishing. Dealer/harvester monthly combined reports on trip ticket.	Mesh size restrictions on eel pots. Seasonal closures.
SC	Glass No minimum size	Fyke and dip net only permitted. Dealer/harvester monthly combined reports on trip ticket. License required.	Max 10 individuals. Gear and area restrictions.
	Yellow 9"	Pots and traps permitted only. Dealer/harvester monthly combined reports on trip ticket. License required.	Gear restrictions.
GA	9"	Personal commercial fishing license and commercial fishing boat license. Dealer/harvester monthly combined reports on trip ticket.	Gear restrictions on traps and pots. Area restrictions.
FL	9"	Permits and licenses. Harvester reporting. No dealer reporting.	Gear restrictions.

* For specifics on licenses, gear restrictions, and area restrictions, please contact the individual state.

Recreational

Available information indicates that few recreational anglers directly target American eel. For the most part, hook-and-line fishermen catch eel incidentally when fishing for other species. American eel are often purchased by recreational fishermen for use as bait for larger gamefish such as striped bass, and some recreational fishermen may catch their own to use as bait.

The National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) Marine Recreational Information Program (MRIP) shows a declining trend in the catch of eel during the latter part of the 1990s. As of 2009, recreational data are no longer provided for American eel, due to the unreliable design of MRIP that focuses on active fishing sites along coastal and estuarine areas.

Table 3. State recreational regulations for the 2020 fishing year.* For specifics on licenses, gear restrictions, and area restrictions, please contact the individual state.

State	Size Limit	Possession Limit (eels/person/day)	Other
ME	9"	25	Gear restrictions. License requirement and seasonal closures (inland waters only). Bait limit of 50 eels/day for party/charter boat captain and crew.
NH	9"	25	Coastal harvest permit needed if taking eels other than by angling. Gear restrictions in freshwater.
MA	9"	25	Nets, pots, traps, spears, and angling only; seasonal gear restrictions and mesh requirements. Bait limit of 50 eels/day for party/charter boat captain & crew.
RI	9"	25	Bait limit of 50 eels/day for party/charter boat captain & crew.
CT	9"	25	
NY	9"	25	Maximum limit of 14" in some rivers. Bait limit of 50 eels/day for party/charter boat captain & crew.
NJ	9"	25	Bait limit of 50 eels/day for party/charter boat captain and crew. Mesh size restriction on pots.
PA	9"	25	Gear restrictions.
DE	9"	25	Two pot limit/person.
MD	9"	25	Gear restrictions.
DC	9"	10	
PRFC	9"	25	
VA	9"	25	Recreational license. Two pot limit. Mandatory monthly catch report. Gear restrictions. Bait limit of 50 eels/day for party/charter boat captain and crew.
SC	9"	25	Gear restrictions. Permits and licenses. Two pot limit.
GA	9"	25	
FL	9"	25	Gear restrictions. Wholesale/retail purchase exemption applies to possession limit for bait.

IV. Status of Research and Monitoring

The FMP requires states and jurisdictions with a declared interest in the species to conduct an annual YOY survey to monitor annual recruitment of each year's cohort. Please note that due to the COVID-19 Pandemic a number of state fishery independent surveys were not conducted to the same extent or at all in 2020.

In 2020, the states and jurisdictions of Maine (West Harbor Pond), Connecticut (Fishing Brook Eel Pass), New York (Carmans River), Virginia (Gloucester Point), and New Hampshire (Lamprey River) had above average YOY counts. The 2020 catch at Maine's West Harbor Pond site was the largest catch of YOY and the fourth largest catch of yellow eels. The 2020 catch at Connecticut's Fishing Brook Eel Pass was the ninth highest in the 20 year time series. Catch at New York's Carmans River was second highest in the 19 year time series. Catch at New Jersey's Patcong Creek was seventh highest in the 18 year time series. Delaware's Millsboro Pond was the 12th highest (total catch) in the 20 year time series. The second highest index for elvers at Gloucester Point, VA was observed in 2020 following the highest index last year. New Hampshire's lamprey river YOY survey in Newmarket had the fourth highest index in the time series.

All other states with YOY surveys (Rhode Island, New Jersey, Delaware, Maryland, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Florida) had at or below average survey counts. The results from Virginia's YOY surveys are forthcoming. D.C. and Georgia do not have YOY surveys, but instead have yellow eel surveys. The 2020 catch at Maryland's Turville Creek site was the second lowest in the survey's 21-year history. Due to a state mandated telework policy due to the COVID-19 pandemic, staff were unable to complete the survey in its entirety. As a result, uncertainty will remain with accurately estimating annual abundance in 2020. North Carolina samples from the Beaufort Bridge Net survey for 2020 and 2021 have not been processed yet due to a backlog.

New Jersey additionally developed and implemented a fishery-independent eel pot survey to collect abundance data of yellow American eels within nursery grounds. This survey, which began in 2015, supplements the current glass eel survey by sampling more life stages and will allow biologists to collect additional biological samples (age-length-weight data).

As required by Addendum IV, Maine continued the fishery independent life cycle survey covering glass, yellow, and silver eels within at least one river system (West Harbor Pond) in 2020. This site was changed from Cobboseecontee Stream to West Harbor Pond YOY site to improve collection of eels at all life stages by Maine Department of Marine Resources staff starting in 2019.

Maine's glass eel aquaculture proposal for the 2019 season was approved and 130 pounds were harvested for aquaculture grow out. Maine submitted a similar proposal for the 2020 fishing season that was also approved. For both years, the approved proposals allow for an additional 200 pounds of glass eels to be harvested for aquaculture; this amount is in addition to the Maine's glass eel quota of 9,688 pounds. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, a total of 0 pounds

were harvested out of the 200 pound allocation in 2020. Maine did submit a proposal for 2021 and was approved by the Board in August 2020.

North Carolina's aquaculture plan for an American Eel Farm was approved for 2019-2020, allowing the harvest of up to 200 pounds of glass eel aquaculture. The American Eel Farm (AEF) harvested 0 pounds. A proposal was not submitted for 2021 to continue efforts at establishing glass eel aquaculture. There is no indication if North Carolina plans to submit a proposal in future years.

The FMP does not require any other research initiatives for participating states and jurisdictions. Nonetheless, the American Eel Technical Committee (TC) has identified several research topics to further understanding of the species' life history, behavior, and biology. **Please note** that the Stock Assessment Subcommittee will consider these research needed as part of the current benchmark stock assessment scheduled to be completed in 2022 and any updates will be in the full report next year. Research needs for American eel identified by the TC include:

High Priority

- Accurately document the commercial eel fishery to understand participation in the fishery and the amount of directed effort.
- Investigate, develop, and improve technologies for American eel passage upstream and downstream at various barriers for each life stage. In particular, investigate low-cost alternatives to traditional fishway designs for passage of eel.
- Formulate a coastwide sampling program for yellow and silver American eels using standardized and statistically robust methodologies.
- Conduct regular periodic stock assessments and establish sustainable reference points for eel to develop a sustainable harvest rate and to determine whether the population is stable, decreasing, or increasing.
- Research coastwide prevalence of the swim bladder parasite *Anguillacolla crassus* and its effects on the American eel's growth and maturation, migration to the Sargasso Sea, and spawning potential.
- Evaluate the impact, both upstream and downstream, of barriers to eel movement with respect to population and distribution effects. Determine relative contribution of historic loss of habitat to potential eel population and reproductive capacity.

Medium Priority

- Investigate survival and mortality rates of different life stages (leptocephalus, glass eel, yellow eel, and silver eel) to assist in the assessment of annual recruitment. Continuing and initiating new tagging programs with individual states could aid such research.
- Tagging Programs: A number of issues could be addressed with a properly designed tagging program. These include:
 - Natural, fishing, and/or discard mortality; survival
 - Growth

- Validation of aging method(s)
- Reporting rates
- Tag shedding or tag attrition rate
- Research contaminant effects on eel and the effects of bioaccumulation with respect to impacts on survival and growth (by age) and effect on maturation and reproductive success.
- Investigate fecundity, length, and weight relationships for females throughout their range; growth rates for males and females throughout their range; predator-prey relationships; behavior and movement of eel during their freshwater residency; oceanic behavior, movement, and spawning location of adult mature eel; and all information on the leptocephalus stage of eel.
- Assess characteristics and distribution of eel habitat and the value of habitat with respect to growth and sex determination.
- Identify triggering mechanism for metamorphosis to mature adult, the silver eel life stage, with specific emphasis on the size and age of the onset of maturity, by sex. A maturity schedule (proportion mature by size or age) would be extremely useful in combination with migration rates.

Low Priority

- Perform economics studies to determine the value of the fishery and the impact of regulatory management.
- Review the historic participation level of subsistence fishers in wildlife management planning and relevant issues brought forth with respect to those subsistence fishers involved with American eel.
- Examine the mechanisms for exit from the Sargasso Sea and transport across the continental shelf.
- Research mechanisms of recognition of the spawning area by silver eel, mate location in the Sargasso Sea, spawning behavior, and gonadal development in maturation.
- Examine age at entry of glass eel into estuaries and fresh waters.
- Examine migratory routes and guidance mechanisms for silver eel in the ocean.
- Investigate the degree of dependence on the American eel resource by subsistence harvesters (e.g., Native American Tribes, Asian and European ethnic groups).
- Examine the mode of nutrition for leptocephalus in the ocean.
- Provide analysis of food habits of glass eel while at sea.

V. Status of Management Measures and Issues

The FMP required that all states and jurisdictions implement an annual YOY abundance survey by 2001 in order to monitor annual recruitment of each year's cohort. Addendum III requires a 9 inch minimum size restriction in the commercial and recreational yellow eel fisheries, as well as the use of ½ by ½ inch mesh in the commercial yellow eel pot fishery. The recreational bag limit is 25 fish/angler/day, and the silver eel fishery is restricted, as is the development of pigmented eel fisheries.

Proposed Listing of American Eel

The US Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) reviewed the status of American eel in 2007 and found that, at that time, protection under the Endangered Species Act (ESA) was not warranted. American eel was later petitioned for listing as threatened under the ESA in April 2010 by the Center for Environmental Science, Accuracy, and Reliability (CESAR, formally the Council for Endangered Species Act Reliability). The USFWS published a positive 90 day finding on the petition in September 2011, acknowledging that the petition may be warranted and that a status review would be conducted. CESAR filed a lawsuit in August 2012 against the USFWS for failure to comply with the statutes of the ESA, which specifies a proposed rule based on the status review be published within one year of the receipt of the petition. A Settlement Agreement was approved by the court in April 2013, which required the USFWS to publish a 12-month finding by September 30, 2015. In the published finding, the USFWS determined that a listing under the ESA was not warranted.

VI. Current State-by-State Implementation of FMP Compliance Requirements

The PRT reviewed the state compliance reports for the 2020 fishing year. The PRT continues to note the following regarding states implementing the required provisions of the American Eel Fishery Management Plan:

Silver Eel Fishery Measures:

- Florida does not have a regulation preventing harvest of eels from pound nets from September 1 through December 31, but the state is unaware of any active pound net fishery in the past 10-15 years.

Reporting Measures:

- The following jurisdictions do not have dealer reporting:
 - New Hampshire and New Jersey do not have dealer reporting (there are no permitted eel dealers for either state), but harvesters report some information on dealers.
 - Delaware (no permitted eel dealers)
 - the Potomac River Fisheries Commission (jurisdiction reports harvest, not landings)
 - Florida (considered a freshwater species and there is dealer reporting for freshwater species)

Section 4.4.2 of the FMP stipulates that states may apply for *de minimis* status for each life stage if (given the availability of data), for the preceding two years, their average commercial landings (by weight) of that life stage constitute less than 1% of the coastwide commercial landings for that life stage for the same two-year period. States meeting this criterion are exempted from having to adopt commercial and recreational fishery regulations for a particular life stage listed in Section 4 and any fishery-dependent monitoring elements for that life stage listed in Section 3.4.1.

Qualification for *de minimis* is determined from state-reported landings found in compliance reports. In 2020, New Hampshire, Massachusetts, Pennsylvania, District of Columbia, Georgia, and Florida requested *de minimis* status for their yellow eel fisheries. All states that applied for *de minimis* of the yellow eel fishery meet the *de minimis* criteria.

VII. Recommendations/Findings of the Plan Review Team

1. The PRT recommends the Board consider state compliance notes as detailed in Section VI.
2. The PRT recommends *de minimis* be granted to New Hampshire, Massachusetts, Pennsylvania, District of Columbia, Georgia, and Florida for their yellow eel fisheries.
3. The PRT noted the significant drop in yellow eel harvest in 2020 due to market demand; anecdotal information indicates harvest may remain at a low level due to continued decrease in market demand and challenges posed by the COVID-19 pandemic.
4. The PRT had previously requested that the Board reevaluate the requirement that states provide estimates of the percent of harvest going to food versus bait, as there is a high level of uncertainty and subjectivity inherent in the data. Additionally, the PRT notes that this information does currently impact regulations and is unclear of the benefit for management. The PRT requests again that the Board consider tasking the Committee on Economic and Social Sciences (CESS) to conduct an analysis of the market demand for all life stages of eel, specific to food vs bait markets, as well as international market demand.
5. The PRT requests that states continue to work with the law enforcement agencies to include information on any confiscated poundage from illegal or undocumented fisheries, and that the Board continue to encourage interstate enforcement actions with regards to poaching, due to the broad geographic scale at which the issue occurs.
6. The PRT recommends that the Commission and USFWS work together to annually compare domestic landings data to export data for American eel across all life stages.
7. The PRT requests that New York separate its yellow and silver eel landings, if possible, when reporting harvest.
8. The PRT requests that states quantify escapements, changes in upstream and downstream passage (e.g. dam removals, new impediments to passage) annually and provide this information to the Technical Committee for evaluation.

VIII. Works Cited

Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission (ASMFC). 1998. Interstate Fishery Management Plan for American Eel (*Anguilla rostrata*). Washington D.C. NOAA Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Award No. NA97 FGO 0034 and NA07 FGO 024.

Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission (ASMFC). 2012. American Eel Benchmark Stock Assessment. Arlington, VA.

Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission (ASMFC). 2017. American Eel Stock Assessment Update. Arlington, VA.